

# **DEVON AREA INTERNAL REPORT**

AN INVESTIGATION INTO ELEVATED BOD LEVELS AT BROCKEN BRIDGE (R30H001) ON THE BARNSTAPLE YEO

DECEMBER 1995 DEV/E/20/95

Author: P ROSE INVESTIGATIONS TECHNICIAN

National Rivers Authority South Western Region

G R Bateman Area Manager (Devon)

614.77 NAT

# AN INVESTIGATION INTO ELEVATED BOD LEVELS AT BROCKHAM BRIDGE (R30H001) ON THE BARNSTAPLE YEO.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

The Barnstaple Yeo is a tributary of the River Taw and rises east of Berry Down in north Devon. The river flows south then south west for approximately 18 km before its confluence with the River Taw estuary.

There are at present three sites on the River Yeo that are routinely chemically monitored. Brockham Bridge (R30H001 at NGR SS 6034 4083) has a current River Ecosystem Use (RE) Class target of 2. However, it is being considered that in the future this target will be increased to an RE class of 1.

## 2. TERMS OF REFERENCE

#### 2.1 **OBJECTIVES**

A request was received from Regional Quality Planning to briefly investigate the area above Brockham Bridge. Although current classification will meet the River Quality Objective (RQO) of RE class 2, if the class is calculated on the future proposed Environmental Quality Standards (EQS) for a class 1, the site would fail.

In this study, the area concerned has been investigated to identify possible cause of poor water quality of the watercourse.

# 2.3 PROJECT TEAM

- T. Cronin (Project Leader)
- P. Rose (Project Manager, author)

# 3. METHOD

- 1. Analysis of routine water quality data to establish any trends and / or relationships between water quality and other factors such as rainfall and drought.
- 2. Talk to Water Quality Officers to ascertain possible problem areas.
- 3. Carry out a catchment investigation using sewage fungus as a primary indicator to track down problem areas.
- 4. Inform the Water Quality Officer for the area of any major inputs that are causing impact in the watercourse.



#### 4. RESULTS

#### 4.1 HISTORIC RESULTS

Analysis of routine water quality data taken at Brockham Bridge between the period of 01 January 1992 and 08 November 1995 (see APPENDIX I) show the following exceedances (using RE class 1 EQS's, see APPENDIX II):

BOD 6 (from 46 samples taken) EQS = 2.5 mg/l as 90 %-ile Total ammonia 1 (from 46 samples taken) EQS = 0.25 mg/l as 95 %-ile

The exceedances are associated with rainfall.

#### 4.2 INVESTIGATION RESULTS

See proformas enclosed.

#### 5. DISCUSSION

The Beccott Farm area is the most likely cause of the elevated BOD levels and of the single total ammonia exceedance detected at Brockham Bridge.

From the quantity of sewage fungus present in the tributary, it would appear that there may be a chronic input to the stream from the farm which may in itself be insufficient to result in exceedance at the routine monitoring site under dry weather conditions. With rainfall however, the input is probably great enough to result in the failures recorded downstream.

Preston Farm is probably contributing to the problem of low water quality during and after rain.

#### 6. CONCLUSION

- 1. Beccott Farm area has an illegal discharge that is entering the watercourse and is probably responsible for exceedances recorded at the downstream routine monitoring site during wet weather.
- 2. Preston Farm has the potential to contribute to poor water quality downstream during wet weather.

## 7. RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1. Beccott Farm area to be visited by the Water Quality Officer (WQO).
- Preston Farm area to be visited by the WQO.

SITE:

Beccott Farm area.

WATERCOURSE

Tributary of Barnstaple Yeo

NGR

SS 6120 4190

# **EVIDENCE OF WATER QUALITY PROBLEM**

Farm Tributary covered with 100% sewage fungus, sewage fungus downstream of this tributary increased to approximately 20 % cover.

On following up, the tributary smelt of farm effluent. Upstream of Lower Beccott Farm, colony sizes increased substantially. No sewage fungus located upstream.

#### SOURCE OF PROBLEM:

Area of Beccott Farm. Several farms inter-twined. Water Quality Officer for area informed immediately in order to inspect and sample area as necessary.



#### **IMPLICATIONS:**

By the cover and colony size of the sewage fungus present, this is probably a chronic problem exacerbated by rainfall events. The Beccott Farm area has the potential to cause chemical impact at the routine water quality monitoring site downstream at Brockham Bridge.

#### **RECOMMENDATIONS:**

The Water Quality Officer has been notified in order that the discharge may be controlled.

SITE: Preston Farm, 'Rectory' area.

WATERCOURSE Tributary of Barnstaple Yeo, Parsonage Lane area

NGR SS 6240 4290

# **EVIDENCE OF WATER QUALITY PROBLEM**

Small stream at side of track covered with 100 % sewage fungus. Causing sewage fungus to approximate 5 - 10 % cover downstream at Kentisbury Ford. Upstream of the farm area there was no sewage fungus present.

#### SOURCE OF PROBLEM:

The stream runs through Preston Farm and the old Rectory' property. This area was particularly deep with farm waste. Further investigation was not thought appropriate as two work-men downstream of this area has described the farmer to be slightly difficult to deal with.

The Water Quality Officer for the area was informed.



#### **IMPLICATIONS:**

This farm will probably cause localised impact during wet weather and could contribute to impact downstream at Brockham Bridge under the same conditions.

#### RECOMMENDATIONS:

The Water Quality Officer has been notified.

# APPENDIX I

# ANALYTICAL SUMMARY OF:-

# RIVER YED (BARNSTAPLE) AT BROCKHAM BRIDGE

						BOD	AMMON-
		Type		D159	날	ATU	IΑ
Date	Time	Purp	Mat	% S	ATN	MG/L C	MG/L N
140192	1440	SOMR	25		107	1.2	0.02
180232	1015	SOMR	2F		99	2.2	0.18
020392	1030	SOMR	2F		97	1.0	0.024
310392	0905	SOME	26		97	1.1	0.02(
290492	0745	SOMR	2F		97	1.1	0.02<
050692	1110	SOMR	⊇F	7	93	1.4	0.03
150792	1480	SOME	2F		92	1.03	0.04 •
160892	1130	SOMR	2F		94	1.3	0.03
300992	1300	SOMR	2F		96	1.0	0.16
291092	1200	SOMR	2F		94	1.1	0.02(
171192	1210	SOME	==		97	1 - 1	0.04

Type "C" to Continue, "P" for previous screen, "Q" to Quit ( )

TYPE ONLINE

## ANALYTICAL SUMMARY OF:-

# RIVER YEO (BARNSTAPLE) AT BROCKHAM BRIDGE

		Type			(YGEN [SS	EOD ATU	AMMON- IA
Date	Time		Mat		SATN	MG/L O	MG/L N
		SOMR			96	1.0	
130193 050293	1050 0810	SOMR SOMR	2F		97 100	1.2	
240393					99	1.1	0.02(
100492	1 1 1 1	SOME SOME		+	94 90	1.0 1.4	( 0,02( 0.02(
110693		SOMR	2F		93		0.95
140793 110893	1055	SQMR SQMR	2F 2F		92 94	1.8	0.03 ` 0.03
151099	1500	SOME			98	1.2	0.03
011193	1120	SOMR	25		95	1.0	0.024

Type "C" to Continue, "P" for previous screen, "O" to Quit ( )

TYPE ONLINE

## ANALYTICAL SUMMARY OF:-

#### RIVER YEO (BARNSTAPLE) AT BROCKHAM BRIDGE

Date	Time	Type	Mat	OXYGEN DISS % SATN	BOD ATU MG/L O	AMMON- IA MG/L N
151192 021293	1300 1330	SQMR SQMR	2F 2F	94	1.3	0.04
120194	1125	SOMR	2F	97 98	1.5 2.8	0.21 0.22
020294 170294	1235 1155	SOMR SOMR	2F 2F	98 101	1.1	0.03 0.03
250394 280494	1150 1445	SOMR SOMR	2F	100 95	10.7) 1.0	0.07 0.15
140694 250794	1725 0940	SOMR	2F 2F	96 99	1.1	0.02 0.02(
140994	1550	SOME	2F	98	2.8	0.15
061094	1100	SOMR	2F	104	1.2	0.02(

Type "C" to Continue, "P" for previous screen, "8" to Quit ( )

TYPE ONLINE - READY

# ANALYTICAL SUMMARY OF:-

## RIVER YED (BARNSTAPLE) AT BROCKHAM BRIDGE

				(3)	(YGEN	BOD		AMMON-
		Type		$\mathbb{D}$	SS	ATU		IA
Date	Time	Purp	Mat	7,	SATN	MG/L		MG/L N
							·	
271094	1550	SOME	2F		95	2	.7	0.23
161194	1610	SOMR	2F		98	1	. E	0.08
151294	1610	SOMR	25		98	1	.2	0.03
020295	0940	SOME	2F		103	1	" ()	0.04
080395	1050	SOME	2F		- 98	1.	2	0.06
220395	1525	SOMR	2F		100	1	"OK	0.03(
200495	1000	SOME	2F		101	ĺ	Ę,	0.05.
220595	1195	SOMR.	2F		105	1	.3	0.09(
260695	1020	SOMR	2F		⋺@.	1	.4	0.03(
310795	j (47	SOMR	2F		80	1	.04	0.03(
290995	1590	SOMR	2F		100	1	<u>, 4</u>	୦.୦ଅ(

Type "C" to Continue, "P" for previous screen, "G" to Guit ( )

TYPE ONLINE READY

# ANALYTICAL SUMMARY OF:-

# RIVER YEO (BARNSTAPLE) AT BROCKHAM BRIDGE

				0.	XYGEN	$\mathtt{BOD}$		AMMON-	
		Type		$\mathbf{D}$	198	UTA		IA	
Date	Time	Purp	Mat	1/4	SATN	MG/L	0	MG/L N	
251095	1040	SOMR	2F		89	2	.8	0.16	
081195	1215	SOMR	2F		94			( 0.03	

Type "C" to Continue, "P." for previous screen, "Q" to Quit ( )
. TYPE ONLINE

READY

APPENDIX II

TABLE 1: STANDARDS FOR THE FIVE RIVER ECOSYSTEM USE CLASSES

Use Class	DO % sat 10%ile	BOD (ATU) mg/l 90%ile	Total Ammonia mgN/I 95%ile	Un-ionised Ammonia mgN/l 95%ile	pH 5%ile & 95%ile	Hardness mg/I CaCO <sub>3</sub>	Dissolved Copper µg/1 95%ile	Total Zinc µg/1 95%ile	Class Description
	80	2.5	0.25	0.021	6.0 - 9.0	≤10 >10 and ≤50 >50 and ≤100 >100	5 22 40 112	30 200 300 500	Water of very good quality suitable for all fish species
2	70	4.0	0.6	0,021	6.0 - 9.0	≤10 >10 and ≤50 >50 and ≤100 >100	.5 22 40 112	30 200 300 500	Water of good quality suitable for all fish species
3	60	6.0	1.3	0.021	6.0 - 9.0	≤10 >10 and ≤50 >50 and ≤100 >100	22 40 112	300 700 1000 2000	Water of fair quality suitable for high class coarse fish populations
4	50	8.0	2.5		6.0 - 9.0	≤10 >10 and ≤50 >50 and ≤100 >100	5 22 40 112	300 700 1000 2000	Water of fair quality suitable for coarse fish populations
5	20	15.0	9.0	11.7		E)		3	Water of poor quality which is likely to limit coarse fish populations

