# **Environmental Protection Report**

River Gannel Catchment River Water Quality Classification 1991

> April 1992 WQP/92/0028 Author: B L Milford Water Quality Planner



C V M Davies Environmental Protection Manager

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Water Quality Planner acknowledges the substantial contributions made by the following staff:

R Broome - Co-ordinator and Editor Freshwater Planning - Production of Maps C McCarthy - Administration and report compilation A Gurney - Statistical Schedule production

Thanks are extended to A. Burghes of Moonsoft, Exeter for computer support.

Suggestions for improvements that could be incorporated in the production of the next Classification report would be welcomed.

Further enquiries regarding the content of these reports should be addressed to:

Freshwater Officer, National Rivers Authority, Manley House, Kestrel Way, EXETER, Devon EX2 7LQ



## RIVER WATER QUALITY IN THE RIVER GANNEL CATCHMENT

## LIST OF CONTENTS

1	Introd	uction	1
2	River (	Gannel Catchment	1
3	Nationa	al Water Council's River Classification System	2
4	1991 R	iver Water Quality Classification	2
5	Non-ca	mpliance with Quality Objectives	3
6	Glossa	ry of Terms	4
7	Refere	nçes	4
8	Append	ices:	
	8.1	River Quality Objectives including Monitoring points - map format	
	8.2	Basic Determinand Analytical Suite	
	8.3	National Water Council (NWC) River Classification System	
	8.4	NWC Criteria for Non-Metallic Determinands - Regional Variation	
	8.4.1	NWC Criteria for Metallic Determinands - Regional Variation	
	8.5	1991 River Water Quality Classification - tabular format	
	8.6	1991 River Water Quality Classification - map format	
	8.7	Calculated Determinand Statistics used for Quality Assessment - tabular format	
	8.8	Compliant/Non-Compliant River Reaches - map format	
	8.9	Number of Samples Results exceeding quality standards - tabular format	
	8.10	Percentage Exceedance of Determinand Statistics from Quality Standard - tabular format	

Page No.

.



#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Monitoring to assess the quality of river waters is undertaken in thirty-four catchments within the region. As part of this monitoring programme samples are collected routinely from selected monitoring points at a pre-determined frequency per year, usually twelve spaced at monthly intervals. Each monitoring point provides data for the water quality of a river reach (in kilometres) upstream of the monitoring point.

Each water sample collected from each monitoring point is analysed for a range of chemical and physical constituents or properties known as determinands. The analytical results for each sample are entered into a computer database called the Water Quality Archive.

Selected data are accessed from the Archive so that the quality of each river reach can be determined based on a River Classification System developed by the National Water Council (NWC), (7.1).

This report presents the river water quality classification for 1991 for monitored river reaches in the River Gannel catchment.

## 2. RIVER GANNEL CATCHMENT

The River Gannel flows over a distance of 10.5 km from its source to the tidal limit, (Appendix 8.1). Water quality was monitored at four locations on the main river at approximately monthly intervals.

Throughout the Gannel catchment two secondary tributaries and one tertiary tributary were monitored.

#### 2.1 SECONDARY TRIBUTARIES

The Newlyn East Stream flows over a distance of 3.7 km from its source to the confluence with the River Gannel, (Appendix 8.1) and was monitored at one location at approximately monthly intervals.

The Benny Stream flows over a distance of 6 km from its source to the confluence with the River Gannel, (Appendix 8.1), and was monitored at two locations at approximately monthly intervals.

Monitoring points are all located in the lower reaches.

### 2.2 TERTLARY TRIBUTARY

The East Wheal Rose Stream flows over a distance of 4.3 km from its source to the confluence with the Benny Stream, (Appendix 8.1) and was monitored at three sites at approximately monthly intervals.

Each sample was analysed for a minimum number of determinands (Appendix 8.2) plus additional determinands based on local knowledge of the catchment. In addition, at selected sites, certain metal analyses were carried out.

The analytical results from all of these samples have been entered into the Water Quality Archive and can be accessed through the Water Resources Act Register, (7.2).

## 3. NATIONAL WATER COUNCIL'S RIVER CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM

3.1 River Quality Objectives

In 1978 River Quality Objectives (RQOs) were assigned to all river lengths that were part of the routine monitoring network and to those additional watercourses, which were not part of the routine network, but which received discharges of effluents.

For the majority of watercourses long term objectives were identified based on existing and assumed adequate quality for the long term protection of the watercourse. In a few instances short term objectives were identified but no timetable for the achievement of the associated long term objective was set.

The RQOs currently in use in the River Gannel catchment are identified in Appendix 8.1.

3.2 River Quality Classification

River water quality is classified using the National Water Council's (NWC) River Classification System (see Appendix 8.3), which identifies river water quality as being one of five quality classes as shown in Table 1 below:

Table 1 - National Water Council - River Classification System

Class	Description
1a	Good quality
1B	Lesser good quality
2	Fair quality
3	Poor quality
4	Bad quality

Using the NWC system, the classification of river water quality is based on the values of certain determinands as arithmetic means or as 95 percentiles (5 percentiles are used for pH and dissolved oxygen) as indicated in Appendices 8.4 and 8.4.1.

The quality classification system incorporates some of the European Inland Fisheries Advisory Commission (EIFAC) criteria (Appendix 8.3) recommended for use by the NWC system.

## 4. 1991 RIVER WATER QUALITY CLASSIFICATION

Analytical data collected from monitoring during 1989, 1990 and 1991 were processed through a computerised river water quality classification programme. This resulted in a quality class being assigned to each monitored river reach as indicated in Appendix 8.5. The quality class for 1991 can be compared against the appropriate River Quality Objective and previous annual quality classes (1985-1990) also based on three years combined data, for each river reach in Appendix 8.5.

-----

1

The river water classification system used to classify each river length is identical to the system used both in 1985 and 1990 for the Department of the Environment's Quinquennial River Quality Surveys. The determinand classification criteria used to determine the annual quality classes in 1985, subsequent years and for 1991 are indicated in Appendices 8.4 and 8.4.1.

The river quality classes for 1991 of monitored river reaches in the catchment are shown in map form in Appendix 8.6.

The calculated determinand statistics for pH, temperature, dissolved oxygen, biochemical oxygen demand (BOD), total ammonia, un-ionised ammonia, suspended solids, copper and zinc from which the quality class was determined for each river reach, are indicated in Appendix 8.7.

## 5. NON-COMPLIANCE WITH QUALITY OBJECTIVES

Those monitored river reaches within the catchment, which do not comply with their assigned (RQO), are shown in map form in Appendix 8.8.

Appendix 8.9 indicates the number of samples analysed for each determinand over the period 1989 to 1991 and the number of sample results per determinand, which exceed the determinand quality standard.

For those non-compliant river reaches in the catchment, the extent of exceedance of the calculated determinand statistic with the relevant quality standard (represented as a percentage), is indicated in Appendix 8.10.

RIVER LENGTH

95 percentiles

5 percentiles

RIVER QUALITY OBJECTIVE

BIOLOGICAL OXYGEN DEMAND

(5 day carbonaceous ATU)

UN-IONISED AMMONIA

SUSPENDED SOLIDS

INFERRED STRETCH

USER REFERENCE NUMBER

RIVER REACH A segment of water, upstream from sampling point to the next sampling point.

River distance in kilometres.

That NWC class, which protects the most sensitive use of the water.

Maximum limits, which must be met for at least 95% of the time.

Minimum limits, which must be met for at least 95% of the time.

A standard test measuring the microbial uptake of oxygen - an estimate of organic pollution.

A scale of acid to alkali.

Fraction of ammonia poisonous to fish, NH<sup>3</sup>.

Solids removed by filtration or centrifuge under specific conditions.

Reference number allocated to a sampling point.

Segment of water, which is not monitored and whose water quality classification is assigned from the monitored reach upstream.

#### 7. REFERENCES

рH

Reference

- 7.1 National Water Council (1977). River Water Quality: The Next Stage. Review of Discharge Consent Conditions. London.
- 7.2 Water Resources Act 1991 Section 190.
- 7.3 Alabaster J. S. and Lloyd R. Water Quality Criteria for Freshwater Fish, 2nd edition, 1982. Butterworths.

4

Gannel Catchment River Quality Objectives



Appendix 8,1

## BASIC DETERMINAND ANALYTICAL SUITE FOR ALL CLASSIFIED RIVER SITES

pH as pH Units Conductivity at 20 C as us/cm Water temperature (Cel) Oxygen dissolved % saturation Oxygen dissolved as mg/1 0Biochemical oxygen demand (5 day total ATU) as mg/1 O Total organic carbon as mg/1 C Nitrogen ammoniacal as mg/l N Ammonia un-ionised as mg/l N Nitrate as mg/1 N Nitrite as mg/1 N Suspended solids at 105 C as mg/1 Total hardness as mg/l CaCO3 Chloride as mg/l Cl Orthophosphate (total) as mg/1 P Silicate reactive dissolved as mg/1 SiO2 Sulphate (dissolved) as mg/1 SO4 Sodium (total) as mg/l Na Potassium (total) as mg/1 K Magnesium (total) as mg/1 Mg Calcium (total) as mg/l Ca Alkalinity as pH 4.5 as mg/1 CaCO3

APPENDIX 8.2

#### NWC RIVER QUALITY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM iver Class Quality criteria Remarks Current potential uses Class limiting criteria (95 percentile) 1A Good **{i)** Dissolved oxygen saturation (i)Average BOD probably not (i)Water of high quality Buality greater than 80% greater than 1.5 mg/l suitable for potable supply **(ii)** Biochemical oxygen demand (ii) – Visible avidence of pollution abstractions and for all not greater than 3 mg/1 should be absent abstractions (iii) Ammonia not greater than (ii) -Game or other high class fisheries 0.4 mg/3(iii) High amenity value (iv) Where the water is abstracted for drinking water, it complies with requirements for A2\* water Non-toxic to fish in EIFAC terms **(y)** (or best estimates if EIFAC figures not available) 18 Good (i) DO greater than 60% saturation (i)Average BOD probably not Water of less high quality than Class 1A but usable for Buality BOD not greater than 5 mg/1 greater than 2 mg/l (ii) [iii] Annonia not greater than Average amaonia probably not substantially the same (ii) greater than 0.5 wg/l 0.9 mg/1 DUrboses (iii) Visible evidence of pollution liv) Where water is abstracted for should be absent drinking water, it complies with the requirements for A2\* water (iv) Waters of high quality which (v) Non-toxic to fish in EIFAC terms cannot be placed in Class 1A (or best estimates if EIFAC because of the high proportion figures not available} of high quality effluent present or because of the effect of physical factors such as canalisation, low gradient or eutrophication (v) Class 1A and Class 1B together are essentially the Class 1 of the River Pollution Survey (RPS) Fair (i)DO greater than 40% saturation (i)Average BOD probably not (i)Waters suitable for potable Quality (ii)BOD not greater than 9 mg/1 greater than 5 mg/l supply after advanced (iii) – Where water is abstracted for (ii) Similar to Class 2 of RPS treatment (iii) Water not showing physical Supporting reasonably good drinking water it complies with (ii) the requirements for A3# water signs of pollution other than coarse fisheries humic colouration and a little (iv) -Non-toxic to fish in EIFAC terms {iii} Moderate amenity value (or best estimates if EIFAC foaming below weirs figures not available)

3 Poor Quality	(i) {ii) {iii}	DO greater than 10% saturation Not likely to be anaerobic BOD not greater than 17 mg/l. This may not apply if there is a high degree of re-aeration	Similar to Class 3 of RPS	Waters which are polluted to an extent that fish are abser- only sporadically present. May be used for low grade industrial abstraction purposes. Considerable potential for further use if cleaned up
4 Bad Quality		Waters which are inferior to Class 3 in terms of dissolved oxygen and likely to be anaerobic at times	Similar to Class 4 of RPS	Waters which are grossly polluted and are likely to cause nuisance
X		DO greater than 10% saturation		Insignificant watercourses

Insignificant watercourses and ditches not usable, where the objective is simply to prevent nuisance developing

- Notes (a) Under extreme weather conditions (eg flood, drought, freeze-up), or when dominated by plant growth, or by aquatic plant decay, rivers usually in Class 1, 2, and 3 may have BODs and dissolved oxygen levels, or ammonia content outside the stated levels for those Classes. When this occurs the cause should be stated along with analytical results.
  - (b) The BOD determinations refer to 5 day carbonaceous BOD (ATU). Ammonia figures are expressed as NH4. \*\*
  - (c) In most instances the chemical classification given above will be suitable. However, the basis of the classification is restricted to a finite number of chemical determinands and there may be a few cases where the presence of a chemical substance other than those used in the classification markedly reduces the quality of the water. In such cases, the quality classification of the water should be down-graded on the basis of biota actually present, and the reasons stated.
  - (d) EIFAC (European Inland Fisheries Advisory Commission) limits should be expressed as 95 percentile limits.
- \* EEC category A2 and A3 requirements are those specified in the EEC Council directive of 16 June 1975 concerning the Quality of Surface Water intended for Abstraction of Drinking Water in the Member State.

**\*\*** Ammonia Conversion Factors

(mg NH:/) to mg N/))

Class	TA	0.4	ng	NH4/1	=	0.31	Bĝ	N/1
Class	18	0.9	ng	NH4/1	=	0.70	Bg	¥/1
		0.5	<b>m</b> g	NH4/1	:	0.39	Bg	N/1

#### NWC RIVER CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM

CRITERIA USED BY NATIONAL RIVERS AUTHORITY - SOUTH WEST REGION FOR NON-METALLIC DETERMINANDS

River Quality Criteria

Class

- 1A Dissolved oxygen % saturation greater than 80% BOD (ATU) not greater than 3 mg/1 O Total ammonia not greater than 0.31 mg/1 N Non-ionised ammonia not greater than 0.021 mg/1 N Temperature not greater than 21.5 C pH greater than 5.0 and less than 9.0 Suspended solids not greater than 25 mg/1
- 1B Dissolved oxygen % saturation greater than 60% BOD (ATU) not greater than 5 mg/l O Total ammonia not greater than 0.70 mg/l N Non-ionised ammonia not greater than 0.021 mg/l N Temperature not greater than 21.5 C pH greater than 5.0 and less than 9.0 Suspended solids not greater than 25 mg/l
  - 2 Dissolved oxygen & saturation greater than 40% BOD (ATU) not greater than 9 mg/1 O Total ammonia not greater than 1.56 mg/1 N Non-ionised ammonia not greater than 0.021 mg/1 N Temperature not greater than 28 C pH greater than 5.0 and less than 9.0 Suspended solids not greater than 25 mg/1
  - 3 Dissolved oxygen % saturation greater than 10% BOD (ATU) not greater than 17 mg/1 O
  - 4 Dissolved oxygen % saturation not greater than 10% BOD (ATU) greater than 17 mg/1 O

STATISTICS USED BY NATIONAL RIVERS AUTHORITY - SOUTH WEST REGION

Dissolved oxygen BOD (ATU) Total ammonia Non-ionised ammonia Temperature pH

Determinand

Statistic

5 percentile 95 percentile 95 percentile 95 percentile 95 percentile 95 percentile 95 percentile arithmetic mean

Suspended solids

## NWC RIVER CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM

CRITERIA USED BY NATIONAL RIVERS AUTHORITY - SOUTH WEST REGION FOR METALLIC DETERMINANDS

#### SOLUBLE COPPER

Total Hardness (mean) mg/l CaCO3	Statistic	Soluble Copper* ug/l Cu Class 1 Class 2
0 - 10	95 percentile	<= 5 > 5
10 - 50	95 percentile	<pre>&lt; = 22 &gt; 22</pre>
50 - 100	95 percentile	< = 40 > 40
100 - 300	95 percentile	<pre>&lt; = 112 &gt; 112</pre>

\*

Total copper is used for classification until sufficient data on soluble copper can be obtained.

## TOTAL ZINC

Total Hardness (mean) mg/l CaCO3	Statistic	Total Zinc ug/l Zn Class 1 Class 2 Class 3
0 - 10	95 percentile	< = 30 < = 300 > 300
10 - 50	95 percentile	<pre>&lt; = 200 &lt; = 700 &gt; 700</pre>
50 - 100	95 percentile	<pre>&lt; = 300 &lt; = 1000 &gt; 1000</pre>
100 - 300	95 percentile	<pre>&lt; = 500 &lt; = 2000 &gt; 2000</pre>

#### NATIONAL RIVERS AUTHORITY - SOUTH WEST REGION 1991 RIVER WATER QUALITY CLASSIFICATION CATCHMENT: GANNEL

10

1991 Map	River	Reach upstream of	User	National
Number	1		i Number	Reference
	, 			
1	1		<b>j</b>	<b>.</b>
	GANNEL	PERROSE	R24A008	SW 8842 5827
1 2	IGANNEL	KESTLE MILL BRIDGE	R24A005	SW 8500 5931
i 3	GANNEL	GWILLS GAUGING STATION	R24A006	SW 8293 5927
j 4	GANNEL	TREVEMPER	R24A009	SW 8192 5992
5	TRELOGGAN STREAM	A3075 ROUNDABOUT	R24A018	SW 8196 6007
1	TRELOGGAN STREAM	NORMAL TIDAL LIMIT (INFERRED STRETCH)		1
6	NEWLYN EAST STREAM	ROSECLISTON	R24A012	SW 8170 5880
	NEWLYN EAST STREAM	(GANNEL CONFLUENCE (INFERRED STRETCH)	ł	
	BENNY STREAM	BENNY MILL BRIDGE	R24A004	SW 8416 5742
1 8	BENNY STREAM	TREWERRY MILL	R24A010	SW 8373 5801
1	BENNY STREAM	GANNEL CONFLUENCE (INFERRED STRETCH)		
1-9-	LEAST WHEAL ROSE STREAM	EAST WHEAL ROSE BRIDGE	R24A001	SW 8347 5523
1 10	EAST WHEAL ROSE STREAM	METHA BRIDGE	R24A003	SW 8391 5635
j 11	EAST WHEAL ROSE STREAM	BENNY BRIDGE	R24A011	SW 8380 5727
	EAST WHEAL ROSE STREAM	BENNY STREAM CONFL. (INFERRED STRETCH)	İ	ĺ
·	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_'	·

Reach	Distance	River	85	86	87	88	89	90	91
Length	from	Quality	INNC	I IMC	NHC	INNC	NWC	INNC	I INKC
(km)	source : (km)	Objective   	Class  	Class   	Class	C1455   	Class   	Class   	Class    
	 	! !	 	 		 	 	 	
2.7	2.7	18	2	2	2	2	2	2	18
4.0	6.7	1.	2	2	2	2	2	18	
2.3	9.0	1B	1B	2	18	1B	18	18	<b>1</b> A
1.5	10.5	18	18	2	18	1B	18 	2	2
0.6	0.6	18					¦	¦	
0.1	0.7	18				ļ		l	ואו
2.6	2.6	1B	<u>1</u> B		18	2	2	2	- 15
1.1	3.7	18	19	t	18	2	2	2	1 1
4.0	4.0	18	18	1	18	-3		-	18
0.7	4.7	( 1B	18	2	2	2	2	2	2
1.3	6.0	1B	1B	2	2	2	2	2	2
1.5	1.5	3	3	3	3	5	3	3-	-
1.4	2.9	3	( 3 )	12	1 3	3	[ <b>3</b>	3	3
1.0	3.9	j 3	1 3	2	2	2	2	3	3
0.4	4.3	t j	3	2	2	2	2	3	3
	l	I	<u>ا</u>	I	l	I	I	!	

.

:

Appendix 8.5

1

Gannel Catchment Water Quality - 1991



Appendix 8.6

#### NULLINAL RIVERS AUTHORITY - SOUTH WEST REFION 1991 RIVER WHER QUALITY CLASSIFICATION ONCLUATED DETERMINAND STRUESTICS USED FOR QUALITY ASSESSMENT ORIGHENT: GANNEL

River	Reach upstress of	User	RQ0		Calculated Determinend Statistics used for Quality Assessment																		
	1	Pef.					1.0			1		1		1		1		1		I		1	I
1	1	Number	1 1	pH to-	er i	pH 1	tper	Texp	erature		(\$)	ECC	D (ACCU)	Total	Amonia	Union.	. Amonia	S.S.	lids	Total	<b>Oxper</b>	Tota	al Sinc 🗌
Í		İ	1	Class 5	أهلناة	Class	95%ile	Class	95%ile	Class	Skile	Class	s 95kile	Class	s 95%ile	Class	95bile	( Class	Made	Cues	95kile	Class	s 95kile
i	Í	1.0	i					ĺ		i		i		i		i		i		i		í –	Í
i	i	1	i i									i		i		i		i		i		i -	l
i	i		i i	Í		20.2		ĺ		i		i		i		i		i		i			i
GANNEL	FEROSE	R24A008	118	12	6.8	14	7.6	14	16.4	1.18	77.0	118	3.2	118	0.487	I IA	0.010	AL I	7.7	14	18.0	14	110.8
GANNEL	NESTLE MILL HRIDGE	R24A005	I JA I	אנ	6.7	JA	7.8	14	16.7	AL I	82.3	İ 1A	2.8	Í IA	0.183	i 1a	0.010	İ 1A	9.2	İ 1A	37.0	14	201.3
GRANEL.	GWILLS GRIGING SIMPLON	R247006	110	ม	6.7	14	7.7	1A	16.9	11	81.4	i 1A	2.3	i 1A	0.212	I IA	0.010	1.	8.3	1 1	13.0	18	291.8
CONNEL	TREVENEER	F24A009	i DB i	18	7.0	14	7.8	13	16.8	1.	84.0	i 2	5.4	1 13	0.404	I IA	0.010	I 1A	9.6	i 1A	13.2	1.	181.8
i	ĺ	i	i		i i		-					i ī		i —						i		1	
TRELOGENY STREEM	A3075 RUNDBOUT	R24A018	1.0	1A AL	7.5	14	8.4	18	16.3	18	84.5	<u>  18</u>	2.4	AL İ	0.100	A	0.010	14	7.8	1	8.0	14	71.0
İ	Í	Í	i i		i		ĺ			Ì		i		i		i		i		i		1	
NEWLAN EAST STREAM	ROSECLESION	R244012	1.13	1A	7.1	14	6.0	18	16.0	1.	87.0	<u> </u>	2.1	<u>  1</u>	0.255	7	0.010	<u>  1</u>	7.7	AL (	5.3	1A	12.5
1	1	Ì	i i		1	Ì	į,		1			i		i				i		İ		I	
BENNY STREAM	HENNY MULL BRUDGE	R24A004	LB	LA	6.5	1	7.6	18	16.7	18	77.0	AL	2.4	11	0.192	17	0.010	17	5.6	L A	9.6	Î.	171.3
BENNY SEREMI	THEWERRY MILL	R244010	1 13	IA	6.3	AL I	7.6	18	16.6	1A.	81.3	i JA	2.8	1 13	0.477	<b>1</b> A	0.010	<b>A</b> 1	6.7	<b>1 1 1</b>	9.0	2	695.0
Í		i	i i		i	i						i		i		i		i		ì	10.00	1	, i
EAST WHEAL ROSE STREAM	EAST WEAL FORE BRIDGE	R244001	3	3	3.6	1.	7.5	LA	17.7	18	85.0	i la	2.2	<b>1</b> A	0.172	14	0.010	N I	3.4	2	46.3	3	1605.0
EAST WHEAL ROSE STREAM	MEDERA ERIDGE	1244003	į 3 j	18	5.6	1.	7.4	18	16.6	IA.	64.0	גען	2.4	3	1.732	AL	0.010	<b>1</b> A	7.3	<b>1</b>	25.8	3	1242.5
ENST WEAL ROSE STREAM	BEING BEILIGE	12244011	i 3	1A I	6.7	1A	7.5	18	16.6	1A	85.4	i 1B	3.1	i 2	1.306	, IV	0.010	11	6.2	i 1A	11.9	3	1035.0
İ	İ	i	i i		ì				i			i i		i		i				i		1	i
·		·	·'		······		_																

Gannel Catchment Compliance - 1991



Appendix 8.8

#### NATIONAL, RIVERS AND RETTY - SOUTH WEST REGION 1991 RIVER WHER QUALITY CLASSIFICATION NUMER OF SAMPLES (N) AND NUMER OF SAMPLES EXCEEDING QUALITY STANDARD (P) ORIGINANT: GANNEL

River	jReach upstreem of	User	u Hg	MRC	pH U	tper	Temper	ature		(\$)	BOD	AIU)	Total A	mia	Union.	Amonia	S.Sol	lida	Total	Opper	Total	Zinc
1	1	Ref.					I		1		1		1		1		l		1			
!	!	Number	N	P	ম	F	8	F	N	P	N	F	N	F	N	P	N	r	1	F	R	
1 1	1	     					1   						•   				   					
GANNEL	  PERSOSE	  F244008	34		34		   34		34		34	1		1			 	1	31		'n	
GANNEL	NESTLE MILL BRIDGE	172440051	34	-	34	-	1 33	-	34	1	34	ĩ	34	_	1 33	-	i 34	2	ізч	-	34	- i
GANEL.	WILLS GALEING STATION	R244006	34	- 1	34	-	i 34	-	1 33	_	34	_	34	-	<u>і 3</u>	-	34	2	34	-	34	– i
GANNEL	TREVENSER	F242009	31	- j	31	-	j 31	-	j 31	•	31	1	31	-	31	-	31	3	23	-	23	- j
TRELOOGAN STREAM	A3075 ROLINEMOUT	R242018	24		24	-	24	-	24	-	24	-	24	-	23	-	24	1	18	-	18	-
NEWLYN EAST STREAM	ROSECLISION	R24A012	32		32	-	31	-	31	-	32	÷.	32	-	29	-	32	1	24	-	24	
BENNY SIDREAM	BENNY MILL BRIDGE	R24A004	34		34	-	34	-	<u>і</u> ж		34	-	34		33	_	34	-	- 34	-	34	
HENNY STREAM	TREMESER MILL	R244010	34	-	34	-	34	-	j 34	-	34	-	34	-	34	-	<b>34</b>	1	34	-	34	23
EAST WEAL ROSE STREAM	EAST WHEAL ROSE BRIDGE	R244001	34		34	-	34	-	34		34		34		23	-	34	-	34	-	34	
EAST WEAL ROSE STREAM	MEDIA BRIDE	R244003	34		34	-	34	-	34	-	34	-	j 34	-	1 33	-	34	-	34	-	34	- 1
EAST WHEAL ROSE SUREAM	HENNY BRIDGE	R24x011  	33	-	33	-	33	-	j 33	-	j 33	-	33 	-	33	-	j 33	-	22	•	22	- 1

. ..

#### NATIONAL RIVERS AUTHORITY - SOUTH WEST REGION 1991 RIVER WATER QUALITY CLASSIFICATION PERCENTAGE EXCEEDENCE OF DETERMINAND STATISTICS FROM QUALITY STANDARDS CATCHMENT: GANNEL

. .

River	Reach upstream of	User		PERCENTAGE	EXCEEDENCE OF	7 STATISTIC	FROM QUALIT	Y STANDARD				
1	i · · ·	Ref.		1	1		1 1		1	l		
1.1	1	Number	pH Lower	pH Upper	Temperature	DO (%)	BOD (ATU)	Total	[Un-ionised	Suspended	Total	Total
1		1 1		I			hiri I	Anmonia	Ammonia	Solids	Copper	Zinc
i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		1 1		i i	1	ľ	1 1		1	i	I	
İ.		1 1		I	1				F	1 1		
	- E	<u> </u>								II		
GANNEL	PERROSE	R24A008	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	
GANNEL	KESTLE MILL BRIDGE	R24A005	-	-	-	-			-	- 1	- 1	-
GANNEL	GWILLS GAUGING STATION	R24A006	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	- 1	-	-
GANNEL	TREVEMPER	R24A009	•	-	-	-	8		-	-	-	
TRELOGGAN STREAM	A3075 ROUNDABOUT	R24A018	-	-	-		-	-			-	-
NEWLYN EAST STREAM	ROSECLISTON	R24A012	1.5	-	-	-	-	-			-	
BENNY STREAM	BENNY MILL BRIDGE	R24A004		-	-	-		-				-
BENNY STREAM	TREWERRY MILL	R24A010	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	132
EAST WHEAL ROSE STREAM	EAST WHEAL ROSE BRIDGE	R24A001		-		-	-	-	-		-	-
EAST WHEAL ROSE STREAM	METHA BRIDGE	R24A003	-	-	-	-	- 1	-	-	F - I	- 1	-
EAST WHEAL ROSE STREAM	BENNY BRIDGE	R24A011	-	3.0	0. <del>-</del> 1		-	-	-	- 1	•	•
		'			·		·'		·	''	<u> </u>	

Appendix 8.10

1 .. .