NORTHUMBRIA REGION MANAGEMENT ACTION PLAN 1992/93



NATIONAL RIVERS AUTHORITY
MARCH 1992

| | CONTENTS | <u>PAGE</u> |
|----|----------------------------------|-------------|
| 1. | FOREWORD | 1 |
| 2. | MANAGEMENT ACTION PLANS 1992/93 | |
| | Water Resources | 2 |
| | Pollution Control | 3 |
| | Flood Defence | 5 |
| | Fisherie s | 7 |
| | Recreation | 9 |
| | Conservation | 10 |
| | Navigation | 11 |
| | Administration | 12 |
| | Legal | 13 |
| | Estates | 14 |
| | Public Relations | 15 |
| | Information Systems | 16 |
| | Research and Development | 17 |
| | Finance | 18 20 |
| | Personnel | 20 |
| 3. | KEY PERFORMANCE MEASURES 1992/93 | |
| | Water Resources | 21 |
| | Pollution Control | 21 |
| | Flood Defence | 22 |
| | Fisheries | 24 |
| | Recreation | 24 |
| | Conservation | 24 |
| | Navigation | 25 |
| | Planning Liaison | 25 |
| | Administration | 25 |
| | Legal | 25 |
| | Personnel | 26 |
| | Public Relations | 27 |
| | Information Systems | 27 |

NATIONAL RIVERS AUTHORITY

NORTHUMBRIA REGION

MANAGEMENT ACTION PLAN 1992/93

FOREWORD

This Management Action Plan sets out the targets we have identified for 1992/93 in our Regional Corporate Plan. Together with the Key Performance Measures these will form the basis of monitoring the implementation of our Plan, and progress will be reported to Head Office on a quarterly basis in this format.

Our targets for 1992/93 are derived from the continuing activities and developmental initiatives identified nationally and shaped by local conditions and requirements in Northumbria. We are confident in our ability to achieve them. The only major area of concern which we have in this respect is the funding allocated to Pollution Control in the region. The relatively low level of resources available for this function could adversely affect basic workload commitments and levels of service in several important activity areas.

The Grant-in-Aid allocation for 1992/93 represents a standstill situation in Northumbria. Nevertheless, during this period of consolidation we will continue to seek to achieve efficiency savings and improve effectiveness at every opportunity by optimising the use of our limited resources in terms of staff, equipment, facilities and finance. We will endeavour to build upon our achievements in 1991/92 as we attempt to successfully implement this Plan.

<u>D N RAINBOW</u>
Regional General Manager

N

NRA NORTHUMBRIA REGION - CORPORATE PLAN IMPLEMENTATION MONITORING MANAGEMENT ACTION PLANS 1992/93 - QUARTERLY PERFORMANCE REVIEW

PERIOD: 1/4/92 - 31/3/93

FUNCTION: WATER RESOURCES

MANAGER: J. MAWDSLEY

| | OBJECTIVES/TARGETS/ACTIONS | RESPONS- IBILITY | TARGET TIMESCALE | ACTUAL/ FORECAST | PROGRESS AND COMMENTS |
|----|--|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| 1. | Complete Section 20 operating agreements with Northumbrian Water and North East Water. | J. Mawdsley | Mar 1993 | | |
| 2. | Develop the monitoring programme for abstraction licences to achieve 100% of the frequencies of inspections of highly critical and critical licences as recommended in PIGN. | M. Kershaw | Mar 1993 | | |
| 3. | Check and recalibrate as necessary 50% of remaining streamflow gauging stations to achieve accuracy of better than 10% over most of the range. | D. Archer | Mar 1993 | | |
| 4. | Conduct further aquifer investigations of Fell Sandstone and Magnesian Limestone. | M. Kershaw | Mar 1993 | | |
| 5. | Preliminary study of impact on R. Wear and region of ending minewater pumping. | J. Mawdsley | Mar 1993 | | |
| 6. | Forecast demand changes in the region over the next 30 years. | D. Archer | Nov 1992 | | |

PERIOD: 1/4/92 - 31/3/93

FUNCTION: ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY (page 1 of 2) MANAGER: M. COLLEY

| | OBJECTIVES/TARGETS/ACTIONS | RESPONS- IBILITY | TARGET TIMESCALE | ACTUAL/ FORECAST | PROGRESS AND COMMENTS |
|----|---|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| 1. | Develop a detailed action plan for the River Gaunless. | G. Hoddy | Dec 1992 | | |
| 2. | Complete a fully integrated plan to deal with oil pollution in the tidal River Tweed. | R. Pailor | Nov 1992 | | |
| 3. | Complete a fully integrated plan to deal with oil pollution in the tidal River Wear. | R. Pailor | Mar 1993 | | |
| 4. | Complete the review of deemed consents of chemical manufacturers discharging to the River Tees and tributaries. | H. Taylor | Oct 1992 | | |
| 5. | Complete planned programme of sampling of consented discharges. | R. Pailor | Mar 1993 | | |
| 6. | Complete planned programme of sampling of non-tidal rivers. | R. Pailor | Mar 1993 | | |

PERIOD: 1/4/92 - 31/3/93

FUNCTION: ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY (page 2 of 2) MANAGER: M. COLLEY

| | OBJECTIVES/TARGETS/ACTIONS | RESPONS- IBILITY | TARGET TIMESCALE | ACTUAL/ FORECAST | PROGRESS AND COMMENTS |
|----|--|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| 7. | Develop the Ouseburn Catchment Management Plan to a level suitable for first stage consultation. | J. Davies | Mar 1993 | | |
| 8. | Produce first draft water quality functional inputs for the River Skerne Catchment Management Plan. | M. Colley | Feb 1993 | | |
| 9. | Planning Liaison to meet with all local planning authorities in the region and promote the use of NRA model policies in their statutory plans. | J. Ellis | Dec 1992 | | |

PERIOD: 1/4/92 - 31/3/93

FUNCTION: FLOOD DEFENCE (page 1 of 2)

MANAGER: C. BIRKS

| | OBJECTIVES/TARGETS/ACTIONS | RESPONS- IBILITY | TARGET TIMESCALE | ACTUAL/ FORECAST | PROGRESS AND COMMENTS |
|----|---|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| 1. | Progress Yarm Flood Defence Scheme to completion to original timescale of March 1993 and carry out maintenance works to lengths of banks upstream to ensure 1 in 10 year standard of protection to agricultural land by March 1995. | A. Hardwick | Mar 1993 | | |
| 2. | Carry out data collection for Flood Defence Standards of Service. | A. Hardwick | Dec 1992 | | |
| 3. | Introduce Post Project Appraisal for capital works completing three appraisals by March 1993 and implementing ongoing programme from that date. | A. Hardwick | Mar 1993 | | |
| 4. | Assess full potential for multi-functional emergency response across the range of functions and implement any subsequent findings. | A. Clarke | Oct 1992 | | |

PERIOD: 1/4/92 - 31/3/93

FUNCTION: FLOOD DEFENCE (page 2 of 2)

MANAGER: C. BIRKS

| | OBJECTIVES/TARGETS/ACTIONS | RESPONS- IBILITY | TARGET TIMESCALE | ACTUAL/ FORECAST | PROGRESS AND COMMENTS |
|----|--|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--|
| 5. | Following trials of Northumbrian Water trunked PMR system join the system if appropriate. Reassess robustness of Emergency Communications as a result of the decision and assess need for standby power and telephone lines for Incident Room. | A. Clarke | Oct 1992 | , i | |
| 6. | Determine flow models and control systems required for Tees Barrage Control Room in conjunction with Barrage consultants and integrate with proposals for regional operational and flood warning telemetry. | R. Watson | | | Commensurate with barrage development. |
| 7. | Complete integration of light plant and tool maintenance system with overall computerised plant and transport maintenance system. | J. Shepherd | Mar 1993 | | |

PERIOD: 1/4/92 - 31/3/93

FUNCTION: FISHERIES (page 1 of 2)

| | OBJECTIVES/TARGETS/ACTIONS | RESPONS- IBILITY | TARGET TIMESCALE | ACTUAL/ FORECAST | PROGRESS AND COMMENTS |
|----|---|---------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| 1. | With Yorkshire Region to prepare and initiate a management plan in response to the North East Coast review of salmon fisheries. | R. Cresswell | Dec 1992 | | |
| 2. | Plan and implement a monitoring programme covering all rivers within the region both for salmonids and coarse fish programme to cover 300 survey sites with collection of Habscore data for at least 50 salmonid sites. | G. Williams/ W. Walker | Mar 1993 | | |
| 3. | Draw up a plan for the coarse fish mitigation scheme in the area affected by the Tees Barrage. | G. Williams | Mar 1993 | | |
| 4. | Plan and implement a campaign to advise hotels restaurants and fishmongers not to buy illegally caught salmon or sea trout. | R. Cresswell | Jul 1992 | | |
| 5. | Identify riparian owners covering 75% of targeted fisheries and collate information in preparation for the introduction of a Section 28 order. | R. Cresswell | Mar 1993 | | |

PERIOD: 1/4/92 - 31/3/93

FUNCTION: FISHERIES (page 2 of 2)

| | OBJECTIVES/TARGETS/ACTIONS | RESPONS- IBILITY | TARGET TIMESCALE | ACTUAL/ FORECAST | PROGRESS AND COMMENTS |
|----|--|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| 6. | Produce a total of 1.6 million salmon and sea trout parr and fed-fry from the salmon hatchery including 800 000 for Southern Region. | W. Walker | Mar 1993 | | |
| 7. | Install an electronic counter with photographic facility on either River Wear or River Coquet. | R. Cresswell | Mar 1993 | | |
| 8. | Complete construction of fish pass at Wylam on the River Tyne. | G. Williams | Mar 1993 | | |
| 9. | Produce a feasibility study on the increased use of Kielder Hatchery for supplying salmonid juveniles to other regions. | W. Walker | Sep 1992 | | |

PERIOD: 1/4/92 - 31/3/93

FUNCTION: RECREATION

| | OBJECTIVES/TARGETS/ACTIONS | RESPONS- IBILITY | TARGET TIMESCALE | ACTUAL/ FORECAST | PROGRESS AND COMMENTS |
|----|---|--|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| 1. | Formulate recreation plan for River Tees upstream of barrage. | R. Cresswell/ J. Hogger/ C. McGarvey | Dec 1992 | | |
| 2. | Compile database of regional facilities. | J. Hogger/ C. McGarvey | Mar 1993 | | |
| 3. | Review formal/informal recreation at 2 NRA sites. | J. Hogger/ C. McGarvey | Mar 1993 | | |
| 4. | Survey and draft management strategies for three river lengths. | J. Hogger/ C. McGarvey | Mar 1993 | | |
| 5. | Collaborate on 3 promotional projects. | J. Hogger/ C. McGarvey | Mar 1993 | | |

0

NRA NORTHUMBRIA REGION - CORPORATE PLAN IMPLEMENTATION MONITORING MANAGEMENT ACTION PLANS 1992/93 - QUARTERLY PERFORMANCE REVIEW

PERIOD: 1/4/92 - 31/3/93

FUNCTION: CONSERVATION

| | OBJECTIVES/TARGETS/ACTIONS | RESPONS- IBILITY | TARGET TIMESCALE | ACTUAL/ FORECAST | PROGRESS AND COMMENTS |
|----|---|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| 1. | Audit survey 10% of works incorporating conservation measures. | J. Hogger | Mar 1993 | | |
| 2. | Survey 450km of main river. | J. Hogger | Mar 1993 | | |
| 3. | Appraise 30% of routine flood defence operations for conservation implications. | J. Hogger | Mar 1993 | | |
| 4. | Design and implement 10 conservation enhancement schemes. | J. Hogger | Mar 1993 | | |
| 5. | Appraise consent applications and planning consultations in 7 and 14 days respectively. | J. Hogger | Ongoing | | |
| 3. | Survey 50% of NRA sites for their conservation interest. | J. Hogger | Mar 1993 | | |

PERIOD: 1/4/92 - 31/3/93

FUNCTION: NAVIGATION

MANAGER: C. BIRKS

| | OBJECTIVES/TARGETS/ACTIONS | RESPONS- IBILITY | TARGET TIMESCALE | ACTUAL/ FORECAST | PROGRESS AND COMMENTS |
|----|--|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| 1. | Continue to provide River Master role on upstream River Tees through agreement with TDC. | R. Watson | Ongoing | | |

PERIOD: 1/4/92 - 31/3/93

FUNCTION: ADMINISTRATION MANAGER: P. DARLING

| | OBJECTIVES/TARGETS/ACTIONS | RESPONS- IBILITY | TARGET TIMESCALE | ACTUAL/ FORECAST | PROGRESS AND COMMENTS |
|----|---|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| 1. | Continue to provide an effective and efficient purchasing administration service to all departments. | W. Adams | Ongoing | | |
| 2. | Further development of a telephone call logging and monitoring system throughout the region. | W. Adams | Ongoing | | |
| 3. | Co-operate with other regions in taking advantage of national purchasing requirements when they become available and to develop a centre of excellence for a commodity. | W. Adams | Ongoing | | |

4

NRA NORTHUMBRIA REGION - CORPORATE PLAN IMPLEMENTATION MONITORING MANAGEMENT ACTION PLANS 1992/93 - QUARTERLY PERFORMANCE REVIEW

PERIOD: 1/4/92 - 31/3/93

FUNCTION: LEGAL MANAGER: P. DARLING

| | OBJECTIVES/TARGETS/ACTIONS | RESPONS- IBILITY | TARGET TIMESCALE | ACTUAL/ FORECAST | PROGRESS AND COMMENTS |
|----|---|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|
| 1. | Implementation of a new set of byelaws for the River Tees on contract for the TDC and the co-ordination of the legal aspects of NRA's interests. | A. Nuttall | Mar 1993 | | Subject to DoE response times. |
| 2. | Co-ordinate the legal overview of the East coast salmon net review in phase with the project programme and to ensure that any resulting legal steps in pursuance of the review are implemented. | A. Nuttall | Mar 1993 | | |
| 3. | Ensure a continued regional input into the examination at national level of corporate legal issues. | A. Nuttall | Ongoing | | |
| 4. | Provide a continuing level of capacity for prosecutions and if possible to expand capacity within a similar level of resources. | A. Nuttall | Ongoing | | |

PERIOD: 1/4/92 - 31/3/93

FUNCTION: ESTATES MANAGER: C. BIRKS

| | OBJECTIVES/TARGETS/ACTIONS | RESPONS- IBILITY | TARGET TIMESCALE | ACTUAL/ FORECAST | PROGRESS AND COMMENTS |
|----|--|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| 1. | Introduce a system for planned building maintenance. | I. Hall | Mar 1993 | | |

PERIOD: 1/4/92 - 31/3/93

FUNCTION: PUBLIC RELATIONS

MANAGER: J. RITSON

| | OBJECTIVES/TARGETS/ACTIONS | RESPONS- IBILITY | TARGET TIMESCALE | ACTUAL/ FORECAST | PROGRESS AND COMMENTS |
|----|---|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| 1. | Extend the computerised library system to include technical journals. | V. Darling | Mar 1993 | | |
| 2. | Produce a series of leaflets about the main rivers in the region. | S. Dillon | Mar 1993 | | |
| 3. | Produce a regional flood defence video. | J. Ritson | Sep 1992 | | |
| 4. | Produce a regional coarse fisheries video. | J. Ritson | Mar 1993 | | |
| 5. | Achieve a preliminary NRA presence at the site of the Tees Barrage. | J. Ritson | Mar 1993 | | |
| | | | | | |

PERIOD: 1/4/92 - 31/3/93

FUNCTION: INFORMATION SYSTEMS

| | OBJECTIVES/TARGETS/ACTIONS | RESPONS- IBILITY | TARGET TIMESCALE | ACTUAL/ FORECAST | PROGRESS AND COMMENTS |
|----|---|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---|
| 1. | implement new laboratory data- handling system. | J. Gardener | | | Timing depends on transfer of system from Welsh region. |
| 2. | Regional implementation of national communications network including on-site testing. | C. Kardasopoulos | Jun 1992 | | |

PERIOD: 1/4/92 - 31/3/93

FUNCTION: RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

MANAGER: M. COLLEY

| | OBJECTIVES/TARGETS/ACTIONS | RESPONS- IBILITY | TARGET TIMESCALE | ACTUAL/ FORECAST | PROGRESS AND COMMENTS |
|----|---|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| 1. | Link the regional R&D database into the proposed national network. | N. Smith | Dec 1992 | | |
| 2. | Continue and further develop the effectiveness of dissemination and implementation of R&D within the region by means of a series of seminars in the region. | N. Smith | Aug 1992 | | |

PERIOD: 1/4/92 - 31/3/93

FUNCTION: FINANCE (page 1 of 2)

| | OBJECTIVES/TARGETS/ACTIONS | RESPONS- IBILITY | TARGET TIMESCALE | ACTUAL/ FORECAST | PROGRESS AND COMMENTS |
|----|--|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| 1. | Abstraction charges billing – to ensure that billing in line with the new National Abstraction Charges Scheme by March 1993 for 93/94. This will mean implementing changes to the existing systems prior to this date. | D. Ramsay | Mar 1993 | | |
| 2. | Debt recovery (charging for discharges) – to ensure that level of debtors at March 1993 is no greater than current level at March 1992 i.e. 5% of total income. | D. Ramsay | Mar 1993 | | |
| 3. | Management information – to ensure quality of management reports are improved and that the RMT are provided with a regional financial report on a monthly basis for discussion. | D. Ramsay | Ongoing | | |
| 4. | Financial procedures – to ensure that regional financial procedures and forms are well documented and in place by no later than June 1992. All procedures and forms must comply with the recent revised Scheme of Delegation and Financial Memorandum. | D. Ramsay | Jun 1992 | | |

PERIOD: 1/4/92 - 31/3/93

FUNCTION: FINANCE (page 2 of 2)

| | OBJECTIVES/TARGETS/ACTIONS | RESPONS- IBILITY | TARGET TIMESCALE | ACTUAL/ FORECAST | PROGRESS AND COMMENTS |
|----|--|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| 5. | Operating costs – to ensure that the cost of the Finance function is held within budget and does not exceed its current proportion of total operating costs i.e. 2% of Revenue Budget. | D. Ramsay | Ongoing | | |
| 6. | Internal audit plan – ensure that systems are robust enough to meet the audit requirements of the 1992/93 plan. | D. Ramsay | Jun 1992 | | |
| 7. | IPAS – to ensure that systems and procedures are in place to cater for activity analysis as per the National Chart of Accounts in 1992/93. | D. Ramsay | Jun 1992 | | |

20

NRA NORTHUMBRIA REGION - CORPORATE PLAN IMPLEMENTATION MONITORING MANAGEMENT ACTION PLANS 1992/93 - QUARTERLY PERFORMANCE REVIEW

PERIOD: 1/4/92 - 31/3/93

FUNCTION: PERSONNEL

| | OBJECTIVES/TARGETS/ACTIONS | RESPONS- | TARGET | ACTUAL/ | PROGRESS AND COMMENTS |
|----|--|----------|-----------|----------|-----------------------|
| | | IBILITY | TIMESCALE | FORECAST | |
| 1. | Maintain staff resources at the determined level to achieve the optimum work output. | W. Adams | Ongoing | | |
| 2. | Consolidate work on identifying and meeting employee training and development needs. | W. Adams | Ongoing | | |
| 3. | Continue to participate in the formulation and implementation of national policies e.g. standard terms and conditions performance appraisal health and safety training job evaluation. | W. Adams | Ongoing | | |
| 4. | Regional implementation of Personnel System as part of phased introduction of IPAS. | W Adams | Dec 1992 | | |

REGION: NORTHUMBRIA PERIOD: 1992/93

| | | | | PERIOD: 1992/93 |
|--|----------|-------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| MEASURE | YEAR TO | FULL YR | PLAN | COMMENTS |
| | DATE | FORECAST | TARGET | |
| WATER RESOURCES | | | 1 | |
| Regulation | | | | |
| WR/R/1 | | l l | | |
| No. of licences determined within statutory period | 0 | 36 | 36 | |
| No. of licences issued % of licence applications determined in stat. period | O ERR | 36 100 | 36 100 | |
| A of ticence applications determined in stat. period | | 100 | 1 700 | |
| WR/R/2 | | | | |
| No, of inspections made | 0 | 285 | 285 | 1 |
| No. of inspections required by guidelines % achievement of licence enforcement programme | O ERR | 320 89 | 320 89 | |
| A delitevement of Cicence enforcement programme | LAN | J 37 | | |
| WR/R/3 | | | | |
| Total costs - Licencing (Ek) | 0 | 20 | 20 | |
| No, of licences determined or reviewed Average cost of determining licence (£) | O ERR | 45 444 | 45 444 | |
| Average cost of determinating treende (E) | | | | |
| LOW FLOWS | 1 | | | |
| WR/L/1 | ١ . | | | |
| Sum of proportions of investigations achieved No. of low flow studies planned to be progressed | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| % of low flow investigations progressed to plan | ERR | ERR | ERR | |
| | | | | |
| POLLUTION CONTROL | | | | 1 |
| Barril sedan | | | İ | |
| Regulation PC/R/1 | | | | <u> </u> |
| No. of consents determined within statutory period | 0 | 310 | 310 | 1 |
| No. of consents determined | 0 | 350 | 350 | |
| % of consents determined within statutory period | ERR | 89 | 89 | |
| PC/R/3 | | | | |
| No. of routine enforcement samples taken | 0 | 6,581 | 6,581 | 1 |
| No. of routine enforcement samples programmed | 0 | 6,581 | 6,581 | |
| % of effluent monitoring programme achieved | ERR | 100 | 100 | 1 |
| PC/R/5 | | | | |
| fotal costs - consenting (£k) | 0 | 170 | 170 | |
| No. of consents determined or reviewed | 0 | 380 | 380 | |
| Average cost of determining consents (£/consent) | ERR | 447 | 447 | |
| Investigation | | | | [|
| PC/1/1 | | | | |
| No. incidents attended within target time | 0 | 1,162 | 1,162 | |
| No. of incidents % of incidents attended within target response time | O ERR | 1,400 83 | 1,400 83 | |
| wor including accorded within target response time | EKK | 33 | 3 |] |
| Laboratories | | | | |
| PC/T/1 | | 47.03/ | 47 27 | |
| No. of samples analysed within target time No. samples submitted | 0 | 17,274 18,183 | 17,274 18,183 | |
| X WO samples analysed within target times | ERR | 95 | 95 | |
| | | Ì | | |
| PC/T/2 | | 200 | 600 | |
| Total laboratory costs (£k) Total no. of determinations | 0 | 800 1 7 8,500 | 800 178,500 | |
| Average cost of analyses / determinand (£/determinand | · - | 4.5 | 4.5 | 100 Page |
| | | | | 774:0 |

REGION: NORTHUMBRIA

| Regulation FD/R/1 No. of consents determined within statutory period No. of consents determined within statutory period No. of consents determined within statutory period Raintenance - Sea Defences FD/M/1 Actual sea defence maintenance cost (£k) Planned sea defence maintenance cost (£k) Regulation TD/M/2 Actual maintenance expenditure achieved FD/M/2 Actual maintenance costs (£k) Regulation TD/M/2 Actual maintenance costs (£k) Regulation TD/M/2 Actual maintenance costs (£k) Regulation TD/M/2 Actual maintenance costs (£k) Regulation TD/M/4 Length of defences actually maintained (Km) Regulation TD/M/4 Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) TD/M/4 Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) TD/M/4 Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) TD/M/4 Length of defences maintenance which is completed Maintenance - Tidal/Estuarial Defences FD/M/1 Actual tidal/estuarial defence maintenance cost (£k) TD/M/1 Actual tidal/est. defence maintenance cost (£k) TD/M/1 TD/ | MEASURE | YEAR TO DATE | FULL YR FORECAST | PLAN TARGET | COMMENTS |
|--|--|-----------------|---------------------|----------------|----------|
| FD/R/1 No. of consents determined within statutory period No. of consents determined within statutory period No. of consents determined within statutory period ERR 100 | FLOOD DEFENCE | | | | |
| FD/R/1 No. of consents determined within statutory period No. of consents determined within statutory period No. of consents determined within statutory period ERR 100 | Regulation | | | | |
| No. of consents determined within statutory period 0 375 375 No. of consents determined 0 0 375 375 No. of consents determined within statutory period ERR 100 100 100 No. of consents determined within statutory period ERR 100 100 100 No. of consents determined within statutory period ERR 100 100 100 No. of consents determined within statutory period ERR 100 100 No. of consents determined within statutory period ERR 100 100 No. of consents determined expenditure achieved ERR 100 100 No. of consents determined expenditure achieved ERR 100 100 No. of consents determined expenditure achieved ERR 100 100 No. of consents determined expenditure achieved ERR 1429 No. of consents determined expenditure achieved ERR 100 100 No. of consents determined expenditure achieved ERR 100 100 No. of consents determined expenditure achieved ERR 100 100 No. of consents determined expenditure achieved ERR 100 100 No. of consents determined expenditure achieved ERR 100 100 No. of consents determined expenditure achieved ERR 100 100 No. of consents determined expenditure achieved ERR 100 100 No. of consents determined expenditure achieved ERR 100 100 No. of consents determined expenditure achieved ERR 100 100 No. of consents determined expenditure achieved ERR 100 100 No. of consents determined expenditure achieved ERR 10 | - | | } | 1 | |
| X of consents determined within statutory period Maintenance - Sea Defences FD/M/1 Actual sea defence maintenance cost (£k) Planned sea defence maintenance cost (£k) X maintenance expenditure achieved ERR 100 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 | No. of consents determined within statutory period | 0 | 375 | 375 | |
| Maintenance - Sea Defences FD/M/1 Actual uses defence maintenance cost (£k) | No. of consents determined | 0 | 375 | 375 | |
| ### ### ############################## | % of consents determined within statutory period | ERR | 100 | 100 | |
| Actual sea defence maintenance cost (£k) 0 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1 | Maintenance - Sea Defences | | | | |
| Planned sea defence maintenance cost (Ek) | | | | | |
| X maintenance expenditure achieved FD/M/2 Actual maintenance costs (£k) Length of defences/banks maintained (Km) Average cost / Km of flood defences maintained (£/Km) FD/M/4 Length of defences actually maintained (Km) Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) X of flood defence maintenance which is completed Maintenance - Tidal/Estuarial Defences FD/M/1 Actual tidal/estuarial defence maintenance cost (£k) Planned tidal/est, defence maintenance cost (£k) Y tidal/est, maintenance expenditure achieved FD/M/2 Actual maintenance costs (£k) Length of defences actually maintained (Km) X of flood defence maintenance cost (£k) ERR DO 100 125 125 125 125 125 125 126 100 100 FD/M/2 Actual maintenance costs (£k) D 125 125 125 125 125 125 125 125 125 12 | | | , | | |
| FD/M/2 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 1 | | 1 | |
| Actual maintenance costs (£k) Length of defences/banks maintained (Km) Length of defences actually maintained (Km) Maintenance - Tidal/Estuarial Defences FD/M/1 Actual maintenance expenditure achieved ERR Mo 100 T 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 | % maintenance expenditure achieved | ERR | 100 | 100 | |
| Length of defences/banks maintained (Km) Average cost / Km of flood defences maintained (£/Km) ERR 1429 | FD/M/2 | | | 1 1 | |
| Average cost / Km of flood defences maintained (£/Km) FD/M/4 Length of defences actually maintained (Km) Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) X of flood defence maintenance which is completed Maintenance - Tidal/Estuarial Defences FD/M/1 Actual tidal/estuarial defence maintenance cost (£K) Planned tidal/est. defence maintenance cost (£K) Planned tidal/est. defence maintenance cost (£K) TD/M/2 Actual maintenance costs (£K) Length of defences/banks maintained (Km) Average cost / Km of flood defences maintained (£/Km) FD/M/4 Length of defences actually maintained (Km) Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) Avortice for the maintenance which is completed Maintenance - Fluvial FD/M/1 Actual fluvial maintenance cost (£K) X fluvial maintenance cost (£K) Cost of the maintenance cost (£K) Cost o | Actual maintenance costs (£k) | 0 | 10 | 10 | |
| FD/M/4 Length of defences actually maintained (Km) 0 7 7 Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) 0 7 7 X of flood defence maintenance which is completed ERR 100 100 Maintenance - Tidal/Estuarial Defences FD/M/1 Actual tidal/estuarial defence maintenance cost (£K) 0 125 125 Planned tidal/est. maintenance expenditure achieved ERR 100 100 FD/M/2 Actual maintenance costs (£K) 0 125 125 Length of defences/banks maintained (Km) 0 40 Average cost / Km of flood defences maintained (£/Km) ERR 3125 3125 FD/M/4 Length of defences actually maintained (Km) 0 40 X of flood defence maintenance which is completed ERR 100 100 Maintenance - Fluvial FD/M/1 Actual fluvial maintenance cost (£K) 0 923 923 Y fluvial maintenance expenditure achieved ERR 100 100 Maintenance - Fluvial FD/M/1 Actual fluvial maintenance cost (£K) 0 923 923 Y fluvial maintenance expenditure achieved ERR 100 100 Maintenance - Fluvial maintenance cost (£K) 0 923 923 Y fluvial maintenance cost (£K) 0 923 923 Catual maintenance expenditure achieved ERR 1313 1313 FD/M/2 Actual fluvial maintenance cost (£K) 0 703 703 Average cost / Km of flood defences maintained (£/Km) ERR 1313 1313 FD/M/4 Length of defences actually maintained (Km) 0 703 703 Average cost / Km of flood defences maintained (Km) 0 703 703 Length of defences actually maintained (Km) 0 703 703 Length of defences actually maintained (Km) 0 703 703 Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) 0 703 703 Toggraphic Toggr | • | | | I . | |
| Length of defences actually maintained (Km) 0 7 7 7 8 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 1 | Average cost / Km of flood defences maintained (£/Km) | ERR | 1429 | 1429 | |
| Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) X of flood defence maintenance which is completed Maintenance - Tidal/Estuarial Defences FD/M/1 Actual tidal/estuarial defence maintenance cost (£k) Planned tidal/est. defence maintenance cost (£k) V tidal/est. maintenance expenditure achieved FD/M/2 Actual maintenance costs (£k) Length of defences/banks maintained (Km) Average cost / Km of flood defences maintained (£/Km) ERR D 125 125 ERR 100 100 FD/M/2 Length of defences actually maintained (Km) Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) V of flood defence maintenance which is completed Maintenance - Fluvial FD/M/1 Actual fluvial maintenance cost (£k) V of 100 Maintenance cost (£k) V of 223 Planned fluvial maintenance cost (£k) V of 100 Maintenance cost (£k) V of 223 V fluvial maintenance expenditure achieved ERR D O O O O O O O O O O O O | | _ | _ | _ | |
| X of flood defence maintenance which is completed Haintenance - Tidal/Estuarial Defences FD/M/1 Actual tidal/estuarial defence maintenance cost (£k) Planned tidal/est, defence maintenance cost (£k) X tidal/est, maintenance expenditure achieved FD/M/2 Actual maintenance costs (£k) Length of defences/banks maintained (Km) Average cost / Km of flood defences maintained (£/Km) FD/M/4 Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) X of flood defence maintenance which is completed Haintenance - Fluvial FD/M/1 Actual maintenance cost (£k) Q 923 923 Planned fluvial maintenance cost (£k) Q 923 923 X fluvial maintenance expenditure achieved FD/M/2 Actual maintenance cost (£k) Q 923 923 X fluvial maintenance cost (£k) C 703 703 C 70 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | 1 | |
| Maintenance - Tidal/Estuarial Defences FD/M/1 Actual tidal/est. defence maintenance cost (£k) % tidal/est. maintenance expenditure achieved FD/M/2 Actual maintenance costs (£k) Length of defences/banks maintained (Km) Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) Maintenance - Fluvial FD/M/1 Actual fluvial maintenance cost (£k) Maintenance - Fluvial FD/M/1 Actual fluvial maintenance cost (£k) Maintenanc | | 1 | 1 | 1 ' 1 | |
| FD/M/1 Actual tidal/estwarial defence maintenance cost (£k) Planned tidal/est. defence maintenance cost (£k) % tidal/est. maintenance expenditure achieved FD/M/2 Actual maintenance costs (£k) Length of defences/banks maintained (Km) Average cost / Km of flood defences maintained (£/Km) FD/M/4 Length of defences actually maintained (Km) Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) % of flood defence maintenance which is completed Maintenance - Fluvial FD/M/1 Actual fluvial maintenance cost (£k) Planned fluvial maintenance cost (£k) % fluvial maintenance expenditure achieved FD/M/2 Actual maintenance costs (£k) Comparison FD/M/2 Actual maintena | % of flood detence maintenance which is completed | ERR | 100 | 100 | |
| Planned tidal/est. defence maintenance cost (£k) % tidal/est. maintenance expenditure achieved FD/M/2 Actual maintenance costs (£k) Length of defences/banks maintained (Km) Average cost / Km of flood defences maintained (£/Km) FD/M/4 Length of defences actually maintained (Km) A of flood defences planned to be maintained (Km) % of flood defence maintenance which is completed FD/M/1 Actual fluvial maintenance cost (£k) Planned fluvial maintenance cost (£k) % fluvial maintenance expenditure achieved FD/M/2 Actual maintenance costs (£k) Actual maintenance costs (£k) FD/M/2 Actual maintenance costs (£k) FD/M/4 Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) FD/M/4 Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) FD/M/4 Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) FD/M/4 Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) FD/M/4 Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) FD/M/4 Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) FD/M/4 Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) FD/M/4 Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) FD/M/4 Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) FD/M/4 Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) FD/M/4 Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) FD/M/4 Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) FD/M/4 | | | | | |
| X tidal/est. maintenance expenditure achieved ERR 100 100 FD/M/2 Actual maintenance costs (£k) 0 125 125 Length of defences/banks maintained (Km) 0 40 40 Average cost / Km of flood defences maintained (£/Km) ERR 3125 FD/M/4 Length of defences actually maintained (Km) 0 40 40 Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) 0 40 40 X of flood defence maintenance which is completed ERR 100 100 Maintenance - Fluvial fD/M/1 Actual fluvial maintenance cost (£k) 0 923 923 X fluvial maintenance expenditure achieved ERR 100 100 FD/M/2 Actual maintenance costs (£k) 0 923 923 X fluvial maintenance costs (£k) 0 923 923 X fluv | Actual tidal/estuarial defence maintenance cost (£k) | 0 | | | |
| FD/M/2 Actual maintenance costs (£k) Length of defences/banks maintained (Km) Average cost / Km of flood defences maintained (£/Km) FD/M/4 Length of defences actually maintained (Km) Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) X of flood defence maintenance which is completed Maintenance - Fluvial FD/M/1 Actual fluvial maintenance cost (£k) Planned fluvial maintenance cost (£k) X fluvial maintenance expenditure achieved FD/M/2 Actual maintenance costs (£k) Cosperation FD/M/2 Actual maintenance costs (£k) Cosperation FD/M/2 Actual maintenance cost (£k) FD/M/2 Actual maintenance cost (£k) FD/M/2 Actual maintenance costs (£k) FD/M/2 Actual maintenance costs (£k) FD/M/4 Length of defences banks maintained (Km) FD/M/4 Length of defences actually maintained (Km) FD/M/4 Length of defences actually maintained (Km) FD/M/4 Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) FD/M/4 Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) FD/M/4 Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) FD/M/4 Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) FD/M/4 Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) FD/M/4 Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) FD/M/4 FD | - | 1 | 125 | 125 | |
| Actual maintenance costs (£k) Length of defences/banks maintained (Km) Average cost / Km of flood defences maintained (£/Km) FD/M/4 Length of defences actually maintained (Km) Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) % of flood defence maintenance which is completed Maintenance - Fluvial FD/M/1 Actual fluvial maintenance cost (£k) Planned fluvial maintenance cost (£k) % fluvial maintenance expenditure achieved FD/M/2 Actual maintenance costs (£k) Completed FD/M/2 Actual maintenance cost (£k) FD/M/2 Actual maintenance costs (£k) FD/M/2 Actual maintenance costs (£k) FD/M/4 Length of defences/banks maintained (Km) FD/M/4 Length of defences actually maintained (Km) FD/M/4 Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) FD/M/4 Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) FO/M/4 Completed Top defences/banks maintained (Km) FD/M/4 Completed Top defences planned to be maintained (Km) FO/M/4 Completed Top defences/banks maintained (Km) FD/M/4 Completed Top defences actually maintained (Km) FO/M/4 Completed Top defences/banks maintained FO/M/4 Completed Top defences/banks maintained FO/M/4 Completed Top defences/banks maintained FO/M/4 Completed Top defences/banks mai | % tidal/est. maintenance expenditure achieved | ERR | 100 | 100 | |
| Length of defences/banks maintained (Km) Average cost / Km of flood defences maintained (£/Km) FD/M/4 Length of defences actually maintained (Km) Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) Completed Co | FD/M/2 | _ | | | |
| Average cost / Km of flood defences maintained (£/Km) FD/M/4 Length of defences actually maintained (Km) Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) Officod defence maintenance which is completed Maintenance - Fluvial FD/M/1 Actual fluvial maintenance cost (£k) Planned fluvial maintenance cost (£k) Officod defences/banks maintained (Km) FD/M/2 Actual maintenance cost (£k) Completed ERR Dominion Official State of the st | | _ | 177 | | |
| FD/M/4 Length of defences actually maintained (Km) Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) % of flood defence maintenance which is completed Maintenance - Fluvial FD/M/1 Actual fluvial maintenance cost (£k) Planned fluvial maintenance cost (£k) % fluvial maintenance expenditure achieved FD/M/2 Actual maintenance costs (£k) O 923 923 % fluvial maintenance expenditure achieved FD/M/2 Actual maintenance costs (£k) O 923 923 % Fluvial maintenance expenditure achieved FD/M/2 Actual maintenance costs (£k) O 923 923 Length of defences/banks maintained (Km) O 703 703 Average cost / Km of flood defences maintained (£/Km) FD/M/4 Length of defences actually maintained (Km) O 703 703 Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) O 703 703 | | | 1 | 1 | |
| Length of defences actually maintained (Km) Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) % of flood defence maintenance which is completed Maintenance - Fluvial FD/M/1 Actual fluvial maintenance cost (£k) Planned fluvial maintenance cost (£k) % fluvial maintenance expenditure achieved FD/M/2 Actual maintenance expenditure achieved ERR O 923 923 % fluvial maintenance costs (£k) 0 923 923 ERR 100 100 FD/M/2 Actual maintenance costs (£k) 0 923 923 ERR 100 100 FD/M/2 Actual maintenance costs (£k) ERR 1313 1313 FD/M/4 Length of defences actually maintained (Km) Length of defences actually maintained (Km) Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) 0 703 703 703 703 | Average cost / Ani of flood detences maintained (E/Am, | , EKK | 3125 | 3123 | |
| Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) % of flood defence maintenance which is completed Maintenance - Fluvial FD/M/1 Actual fluvial maintenance cost (£k) Planned fluvial maintenance cost (£k) Planned fluvial maintenance cost (£k) FD/M/2 Actual maintenance expenditure achieved FD/M/2 Actual maintenance costs (£k) Length of defences/banks maintained (Km) FD/M/4 Length of defences actually maintained (Km) Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) TO TOS | | _ | / / / | 40 | |
| % of flood defence maintenance which is completed Maintenance - Fluvial FD/M/1 Actual fluvial maintenance cost (£k) Planned fluvial maintenance cost (£k) Region flood defence expenditure achieved FD/M/2 Actual maintenance expenditure achieved FD/M/2 Actual maintenance costs (£k) Length of defences/banks maintained (Km) Average cost / Km of flood defences maintained (£/Km) FD/M/4 Length of defences actually maintained (Km) Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) Region flood Too fl | - · | | 1 | | |
| FD/M/1 Actual fluvial maintenance cost (£k) Planned fluvial maintenance cost (£k) Planned fluvial maintenance cost (£k) fluvial maintenance expenditure achieved ERR FD/M/2 Actual maintenance costs (£k) Length of defences/banks maintained (Km) Average cost / Km of flood defences maintained (£/Km) FD/M/4 Length of defences actually maintained (Km) Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) To yet | • | _ | | | |
| Actual fluvial maintenance cost (£k) Planned fluvial maintenance cost (£k) fluvial maintenance expenditure achieved FD/M/2 Actual maintenance costs (£k) Length of defences/banks maintained (Km) Average cost / Km of flood defences maintained (£/Km) FD/M/4 Length of defences actually maintained (Km) Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) To yet | Maintenance - Fluvial | | | | |
| Planned fluvial maintenance cost (£k) % fluvial maintenance expenditure achieved FD/M/2 Actual maintenance costs (£k) Length of defences/banks maintained (Km) Average cost / Km of flood defences maintained (£/Km) FD/M/4 Length of defences actually maintained (Km) Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) FD/M/4 Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) To public public planned | FD/M/1 | | |] | |
| % fluvial maintenance expenditure achieved ERR 100 100 FD/M/2 Actual maintenance costs (£k) 0 923 923 Length of defences/banks maintained (Km) 0 703 703 Average cost / Km of flood defences maintained (£/Km) ERR 1313 1313 FD/M/4 Length of defences actually maintained (Km) 0 703 703 Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) 0 703 703 | | | | | |
| FD/M/2 Actual maintenance costs (£k) Length of defences/banks maintained (Km) Average cost / Km of flood defences maintained (£/Km) FD/M/4 Length of defences actually maintained (Km) Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) To yet a second of the paintained (Km) To yet a sec | • | 1 | , | | |
| Actual maintenance costs (£k) Length of defences/banks maintained (Km) Average cost / Km of flood defences maintained (£/Km) FD/M/4 Length of defences actually maintained (Km) Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) To yet a graduate of the provided form of the provided | % fluvial maintenance expenditure achieved | ERR | 100 | 100 | |
| Length of defences/banks maintained (Km) 0 703 703 Average cost / Km of flood defences maintained (£/Km) ERR 1313 1313 FD/M/4 Length of defences actually maintained (Km) 0 703 703 Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) 0 703 703 | | | | | |
| Average cost / Km of flood defences maintained (£/Km) ERR 1313 1313 FD/M/4 Length of defences actually maintained (Km) 0 703 703 Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) 0 703 703 | | | | | |
| Length of defences actually maintained (Km) 0 703 703 Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) 0 703 703 | | _ | | | |
| Length of defences actually maintained (Km) 0 703 703 Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) 0 703 703 | FD/M/4 | | | | |
| Length of defences planned to be maintained (Km) 0 703 703 | | 0 | 703 | 703 | |
| | - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | I - | | | |
| | | ERR | | | |

REGION: NORTHUMBRIA

| | _ | | | |
|--|---------|----------|--------|----------|
| MEASURE | YEAR TO | FULL YR | PLAN | COMMENTS |
| | DATE | FORECAST | TARGET | |
| FLOOD DEFENCE cont. | | | | |
| <u> </u> | | | | |
| Improvement | | | | |
| FD/1/1 | | | | |
| Grant eligible commitment (£k) | 0 | 730 | 730 | |
| Current GEC allocation (£k) | 1 0 | 730 | 730 | |
| % GEC take-up | ERR | 100 | 100 | |
| | 1 | | | |
| FD/1/2 | | ١ . | | |
| No. of schemes fully approved during year | 0 | 2 | 2 | |
| No. of schemes planned to be approved during year | 0 | 2 | 2 | [|
| % schemes approved | ERR | 100 | 100 | i İ |
| FD/1/3 | | | 1 | 1 |
| Grant claimed (£k) | 0 | 730 | 730 | |
| Current GEC allocation (Ek) | Ĭ | 730 | 730 | |
| % GEC allocation claimed | ERR | 100 | 100 | |
| TO GET GET GET GET GET GET GET GET GET GET | | | 1 | |
| Improvement - Sea Defence | | | | |
| FD/1/5 | | | | |
| Length of sea defence improved (km) | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Length of sea defence where improvement planned (km) |) 0 | 0 | 0 | E |
| % length of planned sea defence actually improved | ERR | ERR | ERR | |
| | | | | |
| Improvement - Tidal/Estuary | | | | |
| FD/I/5 | | | | |
| Length of tidal/estuarial defence improved (km) | 0 | 1 1 | 1 1 | |
| Length of tid/est defence where improvement planned | 0 | 1 | 1 | ì |
| % length of planned tid/est defence improved | ERR | 100 | 100 | |
| Improvement - Fluvial | j | 1 | | |
| FD/I/5 | i | 1 | | |
| Length of fluvial defence improved (km) | 0 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| Length of fluvial defence where improvement planned | 0 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| % length of planned fluvial defence improved | ERR | 100 | 100 | |
| congen or presented fraction automorphists | |) | ' | |
| FD/1/6 | 1 | | | |
| Expenditure on capital schemes (£k) | 0 | 1,216 | 1,216 | |
| Planned expenditure on capital schemes (£k) | 0 | 1,216 | 1,216 | |
| % Capital expenditure achieved | ERR | 100 | 100 | |
| | 1 | | | |

REGION: NORTHUMBRIA

| Regulation F/R/1 Actual cost of licence enforcement (£k) No. of licences in force Ave. cost of enforcement per licence issued (£/lic.) F/R/2 No. of licence offences | 0 0 ERR | 590 43,200 13.66 | 590 43,200 13,66 | |
|--|---------------|------------------------|------------------------|---|
| F/R/1 Actual cost of licence enforcement (£k) No. of licences in force Ave. cost of enforcement per licence issued (£/lic.) F/R/2 | O ERR | 43,200 | 43,200 | |
| Actual cost of licence enforcement (£k) No. of licences in force Ave. cost of enforcement per licence issued (£/lic.) F/R/2 | O ERR | 43,200 | 43,200 | |
| No. of licences in force Ave. cost of enforcement per licence issued (£/lic.) F/R/2 | O ERR | 43,200 | 43,200 | |
| Ave. cost of enforcement per licence issued (£/lic.) F/R/2 | ERR | | | |
| | 0 | | 13.00 | |
| No. of licence offences | l o | ł | | |
| | | 100 | 100 | |
| No. of licences in force | 0 | 43,200 | 43,200 | |
| % licences offences | ERR | 0.23 | 0.23 | |
| F/R/3 | _ | | | |
| No. of satisfactory licence checks | 0 | 8,100 | 8,100 | |
| No. of licence checks made | 0 | 8,200 | 8,200 | |
| % licence compliance | ERR | 99 | 99 | |
| Monitoring | | | | * |
| F/M/1 Actual cost of fishery monitoring (£k) | 0 | 170 | 170 | |
| Actual length of river surveyed (Km) | ŏ | 800 | 800 | |
| Ave. cost of fishery monitoring / Km surveyed (£/Km) | ERR | 213 | 213 | |
| F/M/2 | | | | |
| Actual length of river surveyed | 0 | 800 | 800 | |
| Length of river planned to be surveyed | 0 | 800 | 800 | |
| % of planned river surveyed | ERR | 100 | 100 | |
| RECREATION | | | | |
| Management | | | | |
| R/M/1 Actual spend on running NRA recreational sites (£k) | | 2 | 2 | |
| Total no. of recreational sites in operation | Ŏ | 3 | 3 | |
| Ave. cost of operating NRA recreational sites (£/site | ERR | 667 | 667 | |
| CONSERVATION | | + | | |
| | | | | |
| Survey | | | | |
| C/S/1 Actual spent on river corridor surveys (£k) | | 55 | 55 | |
| Actual length of river corridor surveys (EK) Actual length of river corridor surveyed (Km) | 0 | 500 | 500 | |
| Cost per Km of river corridor surveyed (£/Km) | ERR | 110 | 110 | |
| C/S/3 | | | | |
| Actual length of river corridor surveyed | 0 | 500 | 500 | |
| Length planned for survey | 0 | 525 | 525 | |
| % planned length surveyed | ERR | 95 | 95 | |

REGION: NORTHUMBRIA

| NE A DIAP | TYEAR TO | Term vo | I DIAN | 7 E7110D. 139233 |
|--|----------|---------------------|-------------|------------------|
| MEASURE | YEAR TO | FULL YR FORECAST | PLAN | COMMENTS |
| | DATE | IOREGAST | INNULI | |
| NAVIGATION | | | 1 | |
| Regulation | | | | |
| N/R/2 | | | | |
| No. of valid licences detected | 0 | 50 | 50 | |
| Total no. of licence checks | Ŏ | 50 | 50 | |
| % licence compliance | ERR | 100 | 100 | |
| | | | | |
| N/R/3 | | | | |
| No. of navigation offences | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Total no. of licenced craft | 0 | 50 | 50 | |
| % licence offences | ERR | 0 | 0 | |
| | | - | + | |
| MULTIFUNCTIONAL AND SUPPORT SERVICES | | | | |
| Planning Liaison | | | | |
| PL/1 | | | | |
| No. of planning applns processed within target | l o | 720 | 720 | . OV. |
| Total no of planning applns dealt with | ا | 1,600 | 1,600 | |
| % planning applications processed within target | ERR | 45 | 45 | |
| , , , , | Ĭ | | | |
| Administration | | | | |
| A/1 | | | | |
| Administration revenue costs (£k) | 0 | 850 | 850 | |
| Total regional revenue costs (£k) | 0 | 20,398 | 20,398 | |
| Administration costs as % of overall regional budget | ERR | 4.2 | 4.2 | |
| Legal | | 1 | } | |
| L/1 | | 1 | | |
| No. of successful prosecutions - Pollution | l 0 | 41 | 41 | |
| - Water Resources | ا o | 2 | ž | |
| - Flood Protection | Ö | 2 | 2 | |
| - Fisheries | 0 | 213 | 213 | |
| - Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Y | 0 | 258 | 258 | |
| | 1 | 1 | ĺ | |
| No. of prosecutions initiated - Pollution | 0 | 45 | 45 | |
| - Water Resources | 0 | 2 | 2 | |
| - Flood Protection | 0 | 2 | 2 | |
| - Fisheries | 0 | 250 0 | 250 | |
| - Other | | | | |
| | 0 | 299 | 299 | |
| % successful enforcement prosecutions | ERR | 86 | 86 | |
| e secondinate em or continue prosecutions | | ~~ | | |
| Table 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 | ſ | | <u> </u> | |

REGION: NORTHUMBRIA

| NEAS | URE | YEAR TO DATE | FULL YR FORECAST | PLAN TARGET | COMMENTS | |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|----------------|----------|---|
| MULTIFUNCTIONAL AND SUPPORT | SERVICES contd | 5.11. 3 | | Transet | | _ |
| Legal cont. | | | | | | |
| L/2 | | | | ľ | | |
| Legal costs of prosecutions | - Pollution | o | 30 | 30 | | |
| (£k) | - Water Resources | Ö | 1 | | | |
| | - Flood Defence | Ō | i | 1 1 | | |
| | - Fisheries | 0 | 23 | 23 | | |
| | - Other | 0 |) 0 | 0 | | |
| | | 0 | 55 | 55 | | |
| No. of prosecutions taken | - Pollution | 0 | 45 | 45 | | |
| , | - Water Resources | Ō | 2 | 2 | | |
| | - Flood Defence | 0 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | - Fisheries | 0 | 250 | 250 | | |
| | - Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | | 0 | 299 | 299 | | |
| Average legal costs per | - Pollution | ERR | 667 | 667 | | |
| prosecution (£/prosecution) | - Water Resources | ERR | 500 | 500 | | |
| · | - Flood Defence | ERR | 500 | 500 | | |
| | - Fisheries | ERR | 92 | 92 | | |
| | - Other | ERR | ERR | ERR | | |
| Average legal costs per pro | esecution overall (£/prosc. | ERR | 184 | 184 | | |
| Personnel | | | | | | |
| P/G/1 | | l | | | | |
| Total personnel costs (£k) | | 0 | 564 | 564 | • | |
| Total regional revenue cost | | 0 | 20,398 | 20,398 | | |
| Total personnel costs as a | % of regional budget | ERR | 2.8 | 2.8 | | |
| P/E/1 | | _ | | | | |
| No. of staff leaving (FTE) | | 0 | 6 | 6 | | |
| Total employees in post % turnover | | 0 | 279 | 279 | | |
| % turnover | | ERR | 2.2 | 2.2 | | |
| P/H/1 | | | | | | |
| Total no. of working days I | lost | 0 | 1,000 | 1,000 | | |
| Total no. of working days % working days lost | | O ERR | 61,631 1.6 | 61,631 | | |
| | | Į. | | | | |
| P/H/3 | idanta | 0 | 1 , | . | | |
| Total no. of reportable accidents Total no. of accidents | | 0 | 4 75 | 4 75 | | |
| % reportable accidents | | ERR | 35 11 | 35 11 | | |
| A reportable accidents | | C*K | 1 " | '' | | |

REGION: NORTHUMBRIA

| MEASURE | YEAR TO DATE | FULL YR FORECAST | PLAN TARGET | COMMENTS |
|--|-----------------|---------------------|----------------|----------|
| MULTIFUNCTIONAL AND SUPPORT SERVICES contd | | | | |
| Public Relations | | | | |
| PR/1 Public Relations costs (£k) | 0 | 221 | 221 | |
| Total regional revenue costs (£k) | Ō | 20,398 | 20,398 | |
| Total PR costs as a % of Regional Budget | ERR | 1.1 | 1.1 | |
| Information Systems | | 1 | | |
| I/G/1 | | | | |
| Actual costs of IS Operations (£k) | 0 | 303 | 303 | |
| Total Regional Revenue (£k) | 0 | 20,398 | 20,398 | |
| Total IT costs as a % of regional budget | ERR | 1.5 | 1.5 | |
| | | <u> </u> | | |