# Review of sublethal ecotoxicological tests for measuring harm in terrestrial ecosystems

R&D Technical Summary P5-063/TS1

#### **Audience**

The review provides background information and is designed for reference by Agency staff and others assessing harm to terrestrial ecosystems. The tests described in the review may be used to determine the health of an individual organism, a population or whole soil ecosystem. The tests will also be useful in determining the future health of soils and their ability to respond to stress resulting from point source or diffuse pollution events including agrochemical use.

## Purpose of the research

This is the first phase of a collaborative project between the Environment Agency and the Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Monks Wood, Huntingdon. The primary aim of this phase is to review available literature concerning the nature of sublethal biological tests that might be used to assess ecological harm in potentially contaminated soil. The focus of the review is to identify the best ways of characterising the biology of soils, and to formulate a list of research priorities for testing the suitability of tools for assessing soil quality.

## Plugging the research gap

Soil is a vital part of our environment and an essential resource. The way we use soil will have important and far-reaching effects on terrestrial ecosystems. During its review of the state of UK soil, the Royal Commission on Environmental Pollution recognised a number of pressures that could reduce soil quality. Among the most important was erosion, loss of organic content and chemical contamination. In the review, we focus specifically on chemical effects.

Biological tests have a number of advantages that make them powerful allies of chemical analysis in assessing the extent of ecosystem contamination. These tests can directly measure effects; respond to all contaminants present; consider contaminant bioavailability; integrate the effects of chemical

mixtures; and provide tools for risk communication. Taken together, these advantages make an overwhelming case for the inclusion of biological tests within a soil risk assessment framework.

A recent Environment Agency project (R&D Technical Reports P299 and P300) has proposed a tiered framework that can be used for ecological risk assessment of potentially contaminated sites within the Part IIA contaminated land regime. Within this, a suite of seven biological tests has been suggested for use in Tier 2 assessment (that is, if a potential risk is indicated following a desk study). The tests are;

two soil functional assays; two sublethal plant tests; two acute invertebrate tests; a microbial biosensor assay.

Although these tests have not yet been trialled, it is recognised that they might prove insensitive or unable to predict the consequences of particular profiles of soil contamination on an ecosystem. Beyond this initial suite, there is a need to employ sublethal bioassays to detect potential long-term chronic stress to components of ecosystems and interpret the ecological significance of these.

#### Research findings

A wide range of biological tools is available that could be used for assessing soil quality. This review illustrates the extent of research undertaken to assess the potential of standardised tests (Chapter 2), routine academic methods (Chapter 3) and developing procedures (Chapter 4). Recent research programmes have produced a number of new methods with potential for site assessment. The choice of tests used at a site will depend on the data required. Within these limitations, it is, even now, possible to identify the biological methods that can be used to provide specific information on the harmful effects of industrial, agricultural and diffuse sources of pollution on soil ecosystems. Within the suite of selected tests, there is a need for complementary methods applicable for a range of contaminants at different concentration levels and in different soils.

In recommending assays, an internationally standardised protocol is an important factor for the credibility of a given test. However, even when tests become standardised, developments do not cease and methods can change on the basis of improved knowledge. In selecting tests, although a number of the standardised procedures (Chapter 2) are recommended, procedures still in development have not been rejected.

The tests with the greatest potential for use in assessing the status of a soil ecosystem have been classified as highly recommended, recommended and promising.

#### What happens next?

Phase 2 of this project will take the recommended tests in to the lab and field and trial them for their suitability in the assessing harm to terrestrial ecosystems. The research findings will be reported in 2003 in Environment Agency R&D Technical Report P5-063/TR2.

This R&D Technical Summary relates to information from R&D Project P5-063 reported in detail in the following output:-

#### R&D Technical Report P5-063/TR

Review of sublethal ecotoxicological tests for measuring harm in terrestrial ecosystems
ISBN No 1 857 05682 5 October 2002

Internal Status: Released to Regions External Status: Released to Public

Project Manager Samantha Fishwick, Head Office

Research Contractor CEH Monks Wood

Copies of this document is available internally from your Regional Libraries or the National Information Centre in Bristol, and externally from the Environment Agency's R&D Dissemination Centre, c/o WRc Information Resources, Frankland Road, Blagrove, Swindon, Wiltshire SN5 8YF, Tel: 01793 865138, Fax: 01793 514562. Website URL: www.eareports.com

© Environment Agency Rio House Waterside Drive Aztec West Almondsbury Bristol BS32 4UD

> Tel: 01454 624400 Fax: 01454 624409