The National Rivers Authority was created in 1989 to conserve and enhance the natural water environment. In our role as 'Guardians of the Water Environment' we are committed to preparing a sound and thorough plan for the future management of the region's river catchments.

This Draft Catchment Management Plan is a step towards achieving that goal for the Blackwater River catchment. As a vehicle for consultation it will provide a means of seeking a consensus on the way ahead and as a planning document it will be a means of seeking commitment from all parties to realising the environmental potential of the catchment.

We look forward to receiving the contributions of those organisations and individuals involved with the river and its catchment.

Les Jones
Regional General Manager
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1.1 THE NATIONAL RIVERS AUTHORITY

The National Rivers Authority (NRA) was established by the 1989 Water Act as an independent public body with statutory responsibilities for water resources, pollution control, flood defence, fisheries, recreation, conservation, and navigation in England and Wales.

We are funded through a variety of charges (e.g. water abstraction charges, flood defence levies, effluent discharge charges, rod and net fishing licence fees, navigation fees) and government grants from the Department of the Environment (DoE), Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food (MAFF), and Welsh Office (WO).

As 'Guardians of the Water Environment' we have set ourselves the following mission statement:

'The National Rivers Authority will protect and improve the water environment. This will be achieved through effective management of water resources and by substantial reductions in pollution. The Authority aims to provide effective defence for people and property against flooding from rivers and the sea. In discharging its duties it will operate openly and balance the interests of all who benefit from and use rivers, ground waters, estuaries and coastal waters. The Authority will be businesslike, efficient and caring towards its employees.'

In our role as 'Guardians of the Water Environment' we are committed to preparing a sound and thorough plan for the future management of the region's river catchments. This Draft Catchment Management Plan is a step towards achieving that goal for the Blackwater River catchment, which lies within the Thames Region of the NRA (NRA TR).
1.2 CATCHMENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

The natural water environment (e.g. estuaries, coastal waters, rivers, streams, lakes, ponds, aquifers, springs) is subject to a wide variety of uses which invariably interact and sometimes conflict with each other. Catchment management planning is a process developed by the NRA to help manage these interactions and conflicts for the overall benefit of the natural water environment and its users.

Although we have a pivotal role to play in the management of the natural water environment our catchment management planning process recognises that consultation with other users, including the general public, is essential. Consequently, we have produced this Draft Catchment Management Plan (CMP) as a means of initiating detailed consultation with all interested parties. The aim of the consultation phase is to develop a consensus based strategy for realising the environmental potential of the river catchment, within prevailing technical, economic and social constraints.

Each section of this Draft CMP contains its own introduction but in summary the plan comprises:

- a description of the relevant natural features of the catchment (Section 2);
- a description of the actual and potential uses (e.g. ecology, water abstraction, angling, flood defence) of the catchment and a presentation of the objectives for the conservation and enhancement of the uses (Section 3);
- a description of the current status of the catchment in relation to the key characteristics of water quality, water resources and physical features (Section 4); and,
- a presentation of catchment-specific issues (Section 5).

Within each section 'synoptic maps' are used in conjunction with the text to illustrate the various elements of the Draft CMP.

This Draft CMP is a starting point for consultation on the future of the natural water environment of the Blackwater River catchment and is part of a process that will enable us to develop a 'vision' for the catchment which will guide all our activities for the following 5 to 10 years. Regular monitoring and updating of the Plan will be an integral part of the process.

We welcome your comments on the document. Details of the consultation process are given in Section 6.
Section 2

CATCHMENT DESCRIPTION
2.1 INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this section is to provide a broad introduction to the catchment for those not acquainted with it and to describe the natural features of the catchment under the headings:

- topography;
- geology and hydrogeology;
- rainfall and river flow; and,
- surface water system.

We have defined the boundary of the Blackwater River catchment to include all land which drains surface water runoff to the Blackwater River and its tributaries (which include the River Whitewater, River Hart, Fleet Brook and Cove Brook.)

A section is also enclosed to highlight the County and District Council areas which fall within the catchment area of the Blackwater River.
2.2 GENERAL FEATURES

The catchment is one of contrasts. On its western side the Whitewater and Hart Rivers drain a largely undeveloped catchment (apart from the towns of Fleet and Hook), whilst on the eastern side the Blackwater River and Cove Brook drain a heavily urbanised area comprising the towns of Aldershot, Farnborough, Camberley, Sandhurst and Yateley.

The crescent of intensive development within the Blackwater valley is a result of a number of influences including:

- the development of military training facilities in the last century;
- ease of access by rail to central London; and,
- inclusion of the area within a sub-region of the south-east of England identified for major growth from the late 1950's to the mid 1980's.

Good transport communications (e.g. the M3 motorway and rail links to Waterloo) continue to play an important role in the area's development. The 'Blackwater Valley Route' is currently being implemented to improve north to south road communications within the area.

Surveys undertaken by the NRA highlight major variations in river water quality within the catchment. The River Whitewater is of a "high" quality and supports a natural population of Brown Trout. In contrast, the Blackwater River is in part of a "poor" quality, although its downstream reaches are considered to be of "fair" quality. Most of the tributaries of these two rivers are considered to be of "fair" quality.

The chalk downland in the south-west of the catchment is an indicator of the water bearing strata (e.g. Chalk and Greensand) underlying the entire catchment. Whilst much of this strata is overlain by impervious deposits (e.g. London Clay) the Chalk and Greensand do act as a source both of drinking water supplies and of several springs which feed the Whitewater and Hart Rivers. Although the Blackwater River is also spring fed, sewage effluent is the major component of flow in the river.

River gravels in the Blackwater valley area have been worked for at least the last forty years and this activity is continuing to proceed downstream towards the confluence of the Blackwater River and the River Whitewater. Since 1971 County Councils and Local Authorities interested in the future of the valley have undertaken a number of measures to manage positively the major land use changes in the valley. The 'Blackwater Valley Recreation and Countryside Management Service' is currently funded by 11 Authorities and operates to achieve the recreation and landscape strategies developed for the valley.

The Basingstoke Canal runs in a west-to-east direction through the catchment along the 75 metre ground contour. Opened in 1794, the canal had, by the early part of this century, become impassable. However, many years of restoration work have led to the recent re-opening of the canal. The canal supports a number of 'Sites of Special Scientific Interest' and is considered by many to be of national ecological importance.

Other water dependent habitats in the catchment which are designated as 'Sites of Special Scientific Interest' include fens, lakes, bogs and water meadows, making this a particularly diverse and rich area in ecological terms.
2.3 TOPOGRAPHY

The catchment rises from around 45m AOD (Above Ordnance Datum) at the confluence of the Blackwater River and the River Loddon, to over 225m AOD at Swaines Hill in the North Downs.

River profiles of the Blackwater, Whitewater and Hart Rivers are shown below.

The slope of the bed of the Blackwater River is about 1 in 1000. This compares to a value of 1 in 500 for the middle reaches of the Whitewater and Hart Rivers. As a result average flow velocities in the River Whitewater are faster than those in the Blackwater River. This factor influences the water quality and ecology of the two watercourses.
TOPOGRAPHY

KEY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Watercourses</th>
<th>GROUND LEVELS (Metres AOD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Basingstoke Canal</td>
<td>up to 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catchment Boundary</td>
<td>60 to 90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Area</td>
<td>90 to 120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3 Motorway</td>
<td>120 to 150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>150 to 180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>180 to 210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>over 210</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SCALE (approx) 5km
2.4 GEOLOGY AND HYDROGEOLOGY

Geology

The solid geology of the catchment comprises a series of overlying strata. Working from depth these strata include: Upper Greensand and Chalk (both of which are water bearing and are major aquifers); Reading Beds, London Clay and Bagshot Beds (which are sandy clays which generally do not permit the passage of water; this is especially true of the London Clay); and, Bracklesham and Barton Beds (which are generally sands). Overlying these solid strata are drift deposits which in the Blackwater valley comprise gravels and alluvium and in the Whitewater valley mainly alluvium. The solid strata dip gently northwards away from the Hogs Back, which is a ridge of more steeply dipping strata located to the south of Aldershot. The Blackwater River occupies a valley far larger than would be expected through consideration of its present flow. This is because its headwaters were previously (in a geological timescale) those of the current River Wey to the south.

Hydrogeology

The Blackwater River is fed by springs rising on the edge of the Bagshot Beds. This gives rise to fairly acidic water. It then flows over impermeable London Clay before passing back onto the more permeable Bagshot and Bracklesham Beds. There is normally a bed of valley gravels and alluvium between the river and the solid geology.

The River Whitewater is fed by springs rising on the upper chalk at Greywell. This gives rise to an alkaline water. The river flows on a bed of alluvium and valley gravels on the London Clay up to where it joins the Blackwater River.

The River Hart and its tributaries are also fed by chalk springs. The tributaries then pass onto the impermeable London Clay. The River Hart flows on a bed of alluvium throughout its length. From the London Clay it crosses onto the more permeable Bagshot Beds and the Bracklesham Beds before passing back onto the London Clay until it joins the River Whitewater. Again, there is normally a bed of valley gravels and alluvium between the river and the solid geology.

Geomorphology

Under natural conditions the rivers of the catchment have a sinuous, rather than meandering, pattern. They have gravel beds and are not powerful enough to overcome the resistance of their banks to erosion.
2.5 RAINFALL AND RIVER FLOW

The catchment's climate has little to distinguish it from most other parts of the south-east of England. Rainfall averages about 680mm per year over the whole catchment. However, it is heavier on the higher ground of the chalk downland in the south-west of the catchment. The prevailing wind direction is west-north-west to south-south-west.

River flow and groundwater levels are measured by the NRA in order to manage and understand the response of the surface and groundwater systems to both rainfall and the range of catchment uses identified in Section 3. Records for two groundwater level and two river flow monitoring sites are summarised below. The groundwater level records in particular show how severe the current drought is.

GROUNDWATER LEVELS

![Graphs of groundwater levels showing minimum, average, and 1991 values.]

RIVER FLOWS

![Graphs of river flows showing minimum, average, and 1991 values.]

KEY: MINIMUM RECORDED VALUE ———— AVERAGE VALUE ———— VALUE FOR 1991 ————
The surface water system comprises a wide range of discrete, but inter-connected elements which include rivers, streams, ditches, field drains and urban features such as drains and sewers. Artificial lakes and ponds (as well as similar natural features) often influence the response of the system.

Watercourses are classified by the NRA for both 'flood defence' and 'water quality' purposes. In the case of the Blackwater River catchment the watercourses classified for these two purposes are not always identical, although they are broadly the same.

In the case of 'flood defence', the classified watercourses are known as 'main river'. On these watercourses, the NRA is able to exert control over activities affecting the channel and undertake improvement and maintenance works. All natural waters in the catchment are known as 'controlled waters', for the purpose of water pollution control. The more significant of the watercourses are currently classified on a quality scale from 1 to 4. Monitoring of the water quality enables the NRA to assess whether or not the river is meeting the standards for its classification. Class 1 rivers are of the highest quality.

The map opposite shows the watercourses and canal recorded on the Ordnance Survey 1:10 000 scale maps. The classified watercourses, however, cover only the significant watercourses as described below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Watercourse</th>
<th>'Main River' length (km)</th>
<th>Classified 'Controlled Waters' length (km)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Flood Defence)</td>
<td>(Water Quality)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blackwater River</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Cove Brook</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>6.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Pyestock Tributary</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Hawley Lake Stream</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Marrow Brook</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River Whitewater</td>
<td>15.20</td>
<td>19.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- River Hart</td>
<td>17.55</td>
<td>21.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Fleet Brook</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>5.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Minley Brook/Ditches</td>
<td>4.87</td>
<td>3.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Potbridge Brook</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Itchel Brook</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Grubes Farm Ditches</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>86.75</td>
<td>93.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Canal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Canal</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Basingstoke Canal</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>31.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The majority of the water bodies (e.g. lakes, ponds, reservoirs) in the catchment are man-made. Gravel workings have created a string of lakes in the Blackwater valley from Ash to Eversley Cross. Elsewhere, open water bodies are the result of landscape and amenity demands or the need to provide supplies of water for drinking, recreation and navigational purposes.
2.7 LOCAL AUTHORITIES

For almost its entire length the Blackwater River delineates part of the County boundary between Hampshire and, Surrey and Berkshire. The following table summarises the distribution of the catchment area of 356 km² amongst County Councils and Local Authorities falling within the catchment boundary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County Councils</th>
<th>District Councils</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hampshire</td>
<td>Hart DC 59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rushmoor BC 10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Basingstoke &amp; Deane BC 6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>East Hampshire DC 1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surrey</td>
<td>Surrey Heath BC 8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Guildford BC 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Waverley BC 1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berkshire</td>
<td>Wokingham DC 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bracknell Forest BC 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>TOTAL 100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Most of the County Councils and District Councils have recently revised, or are currently, revising their statutory land use development plans. Taken into account along with regional guidance on planning matters these documents provide the best means for identifying possible future land use trends in the catchment which may have an impact on, or interact with, the natural water environment. The following development plans were reviewed for this Plan:

**County Councils**

North East Hampshire Structure Plan - Approved 2nd Alteration (October, 1989)
Surrey Structure Plan - Draft (September, 1991)
Berkshire Structure Plan - Draft (November, 1991)

**District Councils**

Hart District Local Plan - Deposit Draft (November, 1990)
Rushmoor Local Plan - Deposit Draft (October, 1990)
Basingstoke Area Local Plan - Consultation Draft (June, 1991)
East Hampshire District Local Plan - Deposit Draft (November, 1990)
Surrey Heath Local Plan - Deposit Draft (March, 1991)
Guildford District Plan - Deposit Draft (March, 1990)
Waverley District Plan - Deposit Draft (January, 1990)
Wokingham District - South East Area Plan - Deposit Draft (June, 1990)

The population of the catchment is almost 250 000, the majority of whom live within the Blackwater valley. It is interesting to note that at the turn of the century the population of the catchment was around 60 000.

2.12
Section 3

CATCHMENT USES
The purpose of this section is to describe current and future uses of the natural water environment within the catchment. (Current uses include activities planned to be completed in the short-term. Future uses include potential, possible and likely uses). For each of the catchment uses the following information is provided:

(i) a page of text divided into the following sections:

**General** - this describes some of the general characteristics of the use, the scope of the use heading and any key relationships the use may have with other uses.

**Catchment Perspective** - this describes how the use manifests itself within the Blackwater River catchment. Two sub-headings are provided: current use and future use.

**Objectives** - this details the conditions required in the catchment in order that the use (current and/or future) can proceed satisfactorily or be controlled satisfactorily. The objectives are defined in relation to the key characteristics of water quality, water resources, and physical features.

If measurable standards (or more detailed criteria) are available to support the given use related objectives these are included in Appendix I. The text for each catchment use includes a specific reference to the relevant standard(s) as appropriate. (It should be noted that at present only a few standards are available. However, we are currently undertaking research to identify and evaluate standards.)

(ii) a colour synoptic map designed to enhance the information in the text and highlight the geographical context of the use.

In most cases the description of the use is a summary of detailed technical studies produced by the NRA and/or other organisations. Support documents may therefore be available for those interested in learning more about the catchment.
3.2 ECOLOGY

General

This use relates to the protection of all aquatic flora and fauna along with dependent organisms. Dependent organisms are plants and animals which rely, at some stage of their life cycle, on the aquatic environment or associated land. A healthy river corridor will be characterised by a diverse and abundant plant and animal community and a variety of habitat types. The habitats of rivers, gravel pits, still waters, springs, wet pasture, mill leats and canals are all considered within this section.

Catchment Perspective

Current Use

The upper sections of the Blackwater River and the Cove Brook are extensively developed and the river therefore provides an essential ecological corridor even though it is not of great intrinsic value. The nearby still waters (gravel pits, marshes etc.) have a greater species diversity and create a 'wildlife reservoir' for the Blackwater valley. The Whitewater and Hart Rivers are much less developed and have a greater species diversity than the Blackwater River. These rivers have a more natural structure and higher water quality. The Basingstoke Canal is considered by a number of environmental groups to be of national significance for its water dependent ecology.

There are 20 'Sites of Special Scientific Interest' in the catchment of which 17 have a wetland interest. These include: meadows and wet grasslands (e.g. Blackwater Valley and Foxlease and Ansells Meadow); bogs, marshes and mires (e.g. Eelmoor Marsh and Greywell Pen); lakes and ponds (e.g. Fleet Pond and Upper Hale Gravel Pits); and, several sections of the Basingstoke Canal, including Greywell Tunnel which gives shelter to the largest population of bats of any known site in Britain. Several sites are designated as 'Local Nature Reserves' and a number of important sites (known as 'Wildlife Heritage Sites') have been identified by the Berks, Bucks and Oxon Naturalist's Trust.

Future Use

The chalk springline in the south-west of the catchment is considered by the local Wildlife Trust to support a number of unprotected but important habitats. Cove Brook, Marrow Brook and the Blackwater River are designated as 'green chains' by the local authority, in part to recognise their potential as ecological resources. On-going work by the NRA to restore the natural form of the Blackwater River, planned water quality improvements and other initiatives are likely to lead to enhancements in the ecological value of the river.

Objectives

* To safeguard the special conservation interest for which sites have been designated (e.g. SSSI, LNR). (All relevant waters).
* To promote all aquatic life and dependent non-aquatic organisms, so that the ecosystem is consistent with the physical, chemical and biological characteristics, flow regime and location of the controlled water. (All waters not receiving direct discharges or substantial indirect discharges of effluent)
* To ensure that rivers and lakes can support a flora and fauna capable of sustaining relevant fish populations. (All waters where it is not possible to return the controlled water to its natural state, economically or practically).
3.3 FISHERIES

General

This use relates specifically to the maintenance of breeding populations of salmonoid (i.e. game) and cyprinid (i.e. coarse) fish. European Commission (EC) Freshwater Fisheries Directive (78/659/EEC) "on the quality of waters needing protection or improvement in order to support fish life" provides a statutory basis for the protection of water quality in certain rivers.

Catchment Perspective

Current Use

The Blackwater River has several sites with good fish populations. Roach, Chub and Dace predominate but population distributions vary with location and also include Pike, Perch, Tench, Bream and Gudgeon. The poorest populations are found downstream of the sewage treatment works' outfalls. The Blackwater River is an EC designated cyprinid fishery downstream of Eversley Cross.

The River Whitewater is a high quality river with an excellent fish population (including breeding populations of native Brown Trout) and is an EC designated salmonoid fishery from its source to its confluence with the River Hart. From the River Hart to the Blackwater River it is a designated cyprinid fishery. The River Hart is also a designated cyprinid fishery from Elvetham Park Bridge to the River Whitewater.

The Basingstoke Canal is a designated cyprinid fishery from Greywell Tunnel to Eelmoor Bridge.

The gravel pits and lakes in the catchment are mainly privately owned (or leased) by angling clubs and societies.

Future Use

Planned improvements in the water quality and physical form of the Blackwater River will enable it to begin to achieve its full potential as a cyprinid fishery.

Objectives

* To sustain a natural fish population appropriate to the typical physical, chemical and biological characteristics and flow regime features of the relevant sites. (All relevant waters)

* To safeguard and maintain the water quality of all designated salmonid and cyprinid fisheries. (All waters designated under EC Directive 78/659/EEC. See use related standards 1 and 2 in Appendix I)
3.4 LANDSCAPE

General

The landscape reflects the complex interplay between the natural environment and man's activities. Geomorphology, topography and drainage provide the basic elements of the landscape and, together with associated vegetation and settlement patterns, determine the essential landscape character of different areas.

Catchment Perspective

Current Use

Of the several distinct landscape zones within the catchment the downlands in the south-west of the catchment, the military heathlands between Fleet and Aldershot and the Forest of Eversley (lying between the Whitewater and Blackwater valleys north of the M3) are significantly less dependent on water for their character than the landscape zones of the Basingstoke Canal, Whitewater valley and Blackwater valley.

The broad, shallow valley of the River Whitewater is in part open to extensive views but generally forms a more intimate, smaller scale landscape characterised by lanes, attractive villages, deciduous woodlands (often of ancient origin) and small but valuable historic parks such as Wellington County Park. Grassland and meadows in the wet valley bottom are a key part of this landscape. The NRA have undertaken tree planting in the river corridor to reinforce the landscape value of the valley.

Running west-to-east the Basingstoke canal forms a linear feature linking a number of features including Odiham Common and Dogmersfield Park.

Prior to 1940 the Blackwater valley was primarily agricultural land. However, subsequent extensive development on either side of the floodplain has led to typical urban fringe problems and its use as a 'backyard' by towns such as Aldershot. This is a poor quality landscape unlike much of the catchment which is protected by land use planning designations (e.g. 'Areas of Particular Landscape Importance').

Future Use

A detailed landscape study of the Blackwater valley was completed in 1976 by the relevant County Councils. This study identified the features to be preserved and the guiding principles to be followed in order to create an attractive setting for recreational activities. The strategy developed is still considered to be valid and has as a key objective extensive woodland planting. The Blackwater valley is designated by several local authorities as a 'landscape improvement area.'

Objectives

* To safeguard the special landscape interest for which sites have been designated (e.g. Areas of Particular Landscape Importance). (All relevant waters)

* To conserve and enhance all river corridor and water related landscapes. (All waters)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Watercources</th>
<th>Area of Landscape Importance</th>
<th>Basingstoke Canal</th>
<th>Blackwater Valley Improvement Area/Lakes Area/Policy Area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Catchment Boundary</td>
<td></td>
<td>Urban Area</td>
<td>M3 Motorway</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SCALE (approx) 5km
3.5 AMENITY AND RECREATION

General

Activities such as walking, bird watching, boating, sailing, rowing and picnicking bring people into close proximity with the water. The principal concerns are general aesthetic acceptability of water features, access to and along watercourses and the provision of appropriate facilities. Angling is discussed under Section 3-7.

Catchment Perspective

Current Use

Boating, sailing, rowing, windsurfing and waterskiing activities are mostly carried out on the lakes and gravel pits in the catchment, notably in the Blackwater valley. Boating and canoeing are the main activities on the Basingstoke Canal. Canoeing, however, is also carried out on the Blackwater River and probably on the River Whitewater. People have been known to swim and paddle in the River Whitewater at North Warnborough and it is likely that these activities are also carried out in other reaches.

Access by foot alongside the Blackwater River is reasonable and improving, to the Basingstoke Canal excellent, and to the River Whitewater limited. Fleet Pond and Wellington County Park are sites which attract a large number of visitors. Cove Brook and Marrow Brook act as 'green chains' within a heavily built-up area (see Section 3.2).

Future Use

The key aim of the Blackwater Valley Countryside and Recreation Management Service is "to realise the full potential of the Blackwater Valley as a recreational resource with an emphasis on countryside recreation, for the local communities." The land-use planning policies of all the relevant authorities fully support this objective and see the valley as providing the water-based recreational needs of a significant catchment area. Recreation is therefore planned to become the major use of the valley which is already of importance for water sports. Future uses are planned to include: a footpath along the full length of the river (thereby allowing linking of the Thames Valley and Loddon Valley footpaths to the Blackwater River); canoeing and rowing in the lower reaches; improved facilities and routes for horse riders and cyclists; and, greater facilities for picnicking. Due to the phasing of gravel workings no new lakes are likely to be created before the turn of the century once the Church Farm and Chandlers Farm sites have been worked out. This will limit the potential for further increases in water sports uses.

Objectives

* To maintain or improve water quality, river flow and channel characteristics in order to prevent public nuisance arising from visual and smell problems. (All waters)

* To protect and promote all suitable recreational uses. (All relevant waters)
AMENITY AND RECREATION

Watercourses

Basingstoke Canal
Catchment Boundary
Urban Area
M3 Motorway

FORMAL RECREATION SITES

1. Wellington Country Park (S.R.W.C)
2. Hawley Lake (S.R.W.C.WS)
3. Coleford Bridge Lake (S.R.W.C.WS)
4. Willow Park Lake (S.R.W.C.WS)
5. Spring Lakes (S.W.C.WS)
6. RMC Lakes (W.C)

Key: S-Sailing C-Canoeing R-Rowing
W-Windsurfing WS-Water-Skiing

INFORMAL RECREATION SITES

Blackwater Valley Area
BV Footpath (Existing)
BV Footpath (Proposed)

SCALE (approx) 5 km

1 Wellington Country Park (S.R.W.C)
2 Hawley Lake (S.R.W.C.WS)
3 Coleford Bridge Lake (S.R.W.C.WS)
4 Willow Park Lake (S.R.W.C.WS)
5 Spring Lakes (S.W.C.WS)
6 RMC Lakes (W.C)

Key: S-Sailing C-Canoeing R-Rowing
W-Windsurfing WS-Water-Skiing

INFORMAL RECREATION SITES

Blackwater Valley Area
BV Footpath (Existing)
BV Footpath (Proposed)

SCALE (approx) 5 km
3.6 NAVIGATION

General

This use relates to those waterways for which there is a statutory right of passage for boat traffic.

Catchment Perspective

Current Use

The Basingstoke Canal is the only watercourse in the catchment with a statutory right of navigation. It was opened in 1794 and was intended to form part of a wider network of canals that would link Southampton with London. This never happened and the canal only served to boost agricultural and forestry trade in central Hampshire. The canal, however, never prospered and eventually transferred to public ownership (Surrey and Hampshire County Councils) in 1975. Restoration work on the canal began in 1966 and it was re-opened only last year. The canal is navigable from Greywell Tunnel to Deepcut. However, a boom has been placed at the Whitewater Winding Hole as this is the last place before the tunnel that boats can turn. Navigational use is constrained by a lack of water in the upper reaches and the need to balance the requirements of navigation users with the ecological value of the waterway.

Future Use

There are no known plans to change the intensity of uses of the canal in the future. The Basingstoke Canal Authority (jointly funded by Surrey and Hampshire County Councils) is keen to encourage canoeing, predominantly in the pound west of Ash Lock, as this use is seen to be environmentally friendly and does not require the locks to be operated. Land use planning designations adopted by the relevant Authorities constrain the range of activities that are acceptable not only on the canal but also on adjoining land.

Objectives

* To maintain or improve water quality, water resources and physical characteristics in order to sustain suitable navigational use. (All relevant waters)
3.7 ANGLING

General

This use relates to the use of rivers and lakes by anglers. In some cases the river channel and bank may be manipulated to enhance the sport and to allow easier access.

Catchment Perspective

Current Use

The Basingstoke Canal Anglers Association manages fishing on the canal which is well stocked with roach, bream, tench, pike and carp.

Angling is probably the most popular recreational activity in the Blackwater valley. In addition to the river, the RMC lakes north of Aldershot, Badshot Lea Ponds, Willow Park Lakes, Spring Lakes, Mytchett Mere, Tri-Lakes, Yateley Lakes and Frimley Lakes all support managed coarse (and in some cases game) fisheries for the purposes of angling.

The River Whitewater supports a number of trout fishing clubs.

Future Use

There is a demand for more opportunities for casual fishing, especially on the Blackwater River itself, and for game fishing.

Objectives

* To provide suitable and safe conditions for angling.
General

This use deals with surface and groundwater abstractions for potable (i.e. public water supplies) and non-potable (e.g. industrial, agricultural, recreational) supplies. Major potable abstractions are operated by Water Supply Companies. Since 1963 abstractions have been licensed to ensure they do not derogate either existing sources or the natural water environment, including surface water flows. Abstractions of less than 20 m$^3$ per day for domestic use do not require a licence from the NRA.

Catchment Perspective

Current Use

Abstractions from groundwater for potable supplies are made by the Mid-Southern Water Company, who supply the area, at: Greywell and Itchel (12.45 Ml/d) on the chalk outcrop; Boxalls Lane, Aldershot on the confined chalk and Upper Greensand; and, Tongham on the Upper Greensand. The latter two abstractions (19.28 Ml/d) are from strata which are not in continuity with the surface waters of the Blackwater River. The Itchel and Greywell abstractions though are on the chalk outcrop in the vicinity of springs that feed the River Hart and River Whitewater respectively. At Itchel the abstraction is considered by the NRA to have a significant impact on a modest spring source, but flow records on the River Whitewater dating from 1910 show that the Greywell abstraction does not have any significant effect on flows in the River Whitewater.

There are 32 non-potable licences (total of 9.93 Ml/d) in the catchment comprising 18 groundwater (6.05 Ml/d) and 14 surface water (3.88 Ml/d) abstractions. Of these abstractions: 13 (0.09 Ml/d) are for general agricultural use; 7 (2.57 Ml/d) are for spray irrigation; 6 (4.34 Ml/d) are for industrial purposes; and, 6 (2.93 Ml/d) are for recreational lake filling.

Future Use

It is unlikely that any further major abstractions will be licensed in the catchment for potable supply. It is probable though that additional minor abstractions that do not have a significant impact upon the water resources will be allowed.

Gravel extraction is planned to continue in the Blackwater valley and hence there will be an on-going demand for gravel washing water. Agricultural demand may rise in the future but industrial demand is unlikely to rise significantly bearing in mind the land use planning policies being followed by the relevant Authorities. On-going water supply problems for the Basingstoke Canal may lead to extra demands on adjacent watercourses.

Objectives

* To safeguard potable, industrial and agricultural abstraction points with respect to water quality and quantity. Water quality should be maintained to meet appropriate standards with the aim to safeguard public health, avoid damage to crops and protect the well-being of supplied animals. (All relevant waters)

* To manage water resources in such a way that a balance is achieved between all abstractors and the natural environment in order that the best use of water resources is made. (All waters)
3.9   **EFFLUENT DISPOSAL**

**General**

The majority of the consented discharges made to watercourses are from sewage treatment works. The raw sewage entering a treatment works usually consists of both household sewage and trade effluent from industrial premises. Control of each discharge is achieved by imposing consent conditions which limit the volume and quality of the effluent. The consents are calculated based upon the upstream water quality and flow in the receiving watercourse. The NRA has a statutory duty to monitor the discharges and assess them against the consent.

**Catchment Perspective**

**Current Use**

Five large sewage treatment works discharge sewage effluent to the Blackwater River: Aldershot Town; Aldershot Military Town; Ash Vale; Camberley; and, Sandhurst. There are a further two smaller discharges at Eversley Cross and Eversley Lower Common. The tributaries of the Blackwater River mainly have only small works discharging to them. Fleet sewage treatment works discharges to the Fleet Brook, and the Hartley Wintney works (which also drain Hook) to the River Hart. The Pyestock Tributary, the Marrow Brook and the Cove Brook carry trade effluent, cooling water and sewage effluent from the National Gas Turbine Establishment and Royal Aerospace Establishment.

Sewage effluent constitutes the majority of the flow in the Blackwater River above the River Whitewater confluence (as much as 85% during summer months). Clearly the effluent quality is the main factor in determining the river water quality. The consent limits of the main sewage treatment works are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Consented Quality</th>
<th>Consented Volume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Suspended solids/Bio-Chemical Oxygen Demand /Ammoniacal Nitrogen)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aldershot Town</td>
<td>20/12/3</td>
<td>9,900 m³/Day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aldershot Military</td>
<td>15/10/8</td>
<td>16,400 m³/Day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash Vale</td>
<td>40/12/15</td>
<td>5,500 m³/Day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camberley</td>
<td>20/10/5</td>
<td>29,600 m³/Day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandhurst</td>
<td>25/9/12</td>
<td>13,000 m³/Day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fleet</td>
<td>60/35/20</td>
<td>7,900 m³/Day</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Future Use**

The population of the urbanised areas of the catchment is planned to grow by up to fifteen thousand over the next ten years and hence the volume of effluent discharged will continue to rise.

**Objectives**

* To control the discharge of effluent to the water environment in such a way that water quality objectives are met and other uses are not compromised. (All waters)
Effluent Disposal

Watercourses
- Basingstoke Canal
- Catchment Boundary
- Urban Area
- M3 Motorway

Sewered Areas and Sewage Treatment Works Locations
- Sandhurst
- Comberley
- Ash Vale
- Aldershot
- Hartley Wintney
- Fleet
- Minor Works
- Exported From Catchment
- Aldershot Military

Scale (approx): 5 km
3.10 AGRICULTURE AND FORESTRY

General

This use covers commercial forestry and all types of agriculture. These activities may affect the quality of surface and ground waters (e.g. leaching of pesticides and nitrate) or require flood defence/land drainage activities to be undertaken so as to ensure field drains can operate freely.

Catchment Perspective

Current Use

The chalk downlands in the south-west of the catchment are of considerable value as an agricultural resource, hence their designation as an 'area of agricultural significance' by local authorities. The free draining soils are ideal for growing cereal crops and are classified as Class 2 on the MAFF Agricultural Land Classification Map (Class 1 land is the best agricultural land). In contrast, agricultural land in the Blackwater valley is primarily Class 4 and has severe limitations on its use since it is subject to flooding and waterlogging. Grazing is the predominant agricultural activity in this area.

In the Whitewater valley the land is primarily Class 3 with mixed farming and a predominance of livestock production. Farm holdings tend to be smaller here than on the downlands and the removal of hedgerows and other features has been less pronounced.

Commercial forestry (mainly coniferous species) is concentrated on the heaths and is generally under the control of the Forestry Commission whose land covers about 5% of the catchment area.

Future Use

Agricultural use of the Blackwater valley is likely to continue to recede as gravel workings and recreational development are promoted as the main use of the area.

Objectives

* Where water is abstracted for agricultural use the water quality should be maintained to meet appropriate standards with the aim of safeguarding public health, avoiding damage to crops and protecting the well-being of supplied animals. (All relevant waters)

* To ensure that the 'main river' watercourses are adequately maintained to ensure the free drainage of agricultural drains. (All relevant waters).
3.11 BUILT ENVIRONMENT

**General**

This use covers residential, commercial and industrial developments. Land use planning matters are the responsibility of County and District Authorities. However, the NRA is a statutory consultee in the planning process and can play a key role in influencing such matters.

**Catchment Perspective**

**Current Use**

Development in the catchment is concentrated on the Blackwater valley which has played a significant role in accommodating regional (i.e. the south-east of England) growth since the late 1950's. The high rate of growth has brought prosperity to the area but also many problems, including pressure on the natural water environment.

**Future Use**

The Structure Plans for Berkshire, Surrey and Hampshire all indicate that the rate of development of land for residential and employment purposes will be reduced to take account of environmental constraints and the need to catch up on the provision of infrastructure. Growth, however, will still take place.

In housing terms the next 10 years are likely to see: limited development in the Bracknell Forest and Wokingham local authority areas (less than 1000 units, the majority being at Crowthorne); about 5500 houses being built in the Hampshire part of the catchment including 1550 at Railroad Heath to the north of Fleet and 700 at Church Crookham to the south-west of Fleet; and, limited construction in the Guildford, Waverley and Surrey Heath local authority areas falling within the catchment.

Commercial and industrial development is also likely to be severely constrained in the next 10 years, especially in the Berkshire and Surrey parts of the catchment where targets for the provision of employment land by the year 2001 have already been met. Employment land is to be developed at Hook, Fleet and Farnborough, which is likely to be the focus for commercial development in the catchment over the next 10 years.

Future speculative built development in the catchment will be strongly constrained by land use policies such as those designed to prevent the coalescence of towns (e.g. Strategic Gaps, Important Gaps), the land ownership pattern of the catchment (e.g. Forestry Commission and Crown Land) and the area's high landscape and ecological value.

**Objectives**

- To influence and control future built development in such a way that other uses are not compromised. (All waters)
- To seek enhancements to the water environment through built development. (All waters)
BUILT DEVELOPMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Watercourses</th>
<th>Development Proposals</th>
<th>Development Constraints</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Basingstoke Canal</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>Strategic Gap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catchment Boundary</td>
<td>Industrial / Commercial</td>
<td>Ministry of Defence Land</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Area</td>
<td>Recreation</td>
<td>Green Belt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3 Motorway</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SCALE (approx) 5 km
MINERAL EXTRACTION AND SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL

General

Mineral extraction has the potential to affect upon the catchment through subsidence or effluent discharge whilst works are active and when they are closed their possible use as solid waste disposal sites could lead to contamination of ground and surface water. The County Councils are the licensing authority with respect to extraction of natural resources and must through their Minerals Plan achieve adequate mineral supplies with minimal environmental cost.

Catchment Perspective

Current Use

The river gravels of the Blackwater valley are continuing to be extensively worked in the vicinity of Yateley and Eversley Cross. Although the yields are half those expected in the Thames valley, the area has been continuously and extensively exploited over the last 40 years.

The majority of existing non-inert waste disposal (or landfill) sites close to watercourses are former extraction sites along the Blackwater valley in the Aldershot - Farnborough - Camberley area.

Contaminated waste disposal sites in the catchment include both active and disused gasworks and sewage treatment works. Again these are in the Aldershot - Farnborough - Camberley area.

Future Use

The Berkshire Minerals Plan identifies two sites for gravel extraction: Finchampstead (5-10 years time); and, Crowthorne Woods (20-30 years time) in the northern part of the Blackwater Catchment. The Hampshire Minerals Plan identifies five sites alongside the Basingstoke Canal for disposal of canal silt and Bosta Farm, Eversley for sand and gravel extraction. No sites within the catchment are identified in the Surrey Minerals Plan.

Gravel extraction in the Blackwater valley is likely to continue for many years to come.

Objectives

- To control and influence mineral extraction and solid waste disposal in such a way that other uses are not compromised. (All waters)
MINERAL EXTRACTION AND SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL

- Watercourses
- Basingstoke Canal
- Catchment Boundary
- Urban Area
- M3 Motorway

Mineral Extraction
- In Progress
- Proposed

Solid Waste Disposal
- Inert
- Domestic
- Trade/Unknown

Proposed

SCALE (approx) 5 km

FARNHAM
General

This use deals with roads, railways, airports, ports, harbours, power supply, water supply, sewage disposal facilities etc. The provision of these elements may lead to significant impacts on the natural water environment.

Catchment Perspective

Current Use

The prosperity of the area has depended very much on the availability of good transport links (e.g. the M3 motorway completed in 1972 and the rail link to Waterloo). Many elements of infrastructure in the catchment, however, have not been upgraded to meet the demands placed on them.

Blackbushe airport supports only recreational traffic. The airfields at Odiham and Farnborough are used for military and research purposes.

Future Use

Significant road building programmes are in progress or planned to be completed in the next 10 years by the Department of Transport and the County Councils. These include: construction of a new junction on the M3 at Minley; a by-pass of Eversley on the A327; an eastern by-pass for Fleet; an eastern by-pass for Sandhurst; and, improvements to the A33. Of most significance, however, is the Blackwater Valley Road which will provide an improved north-south link between the A31 and M3. The central section of this route, which is in very close proximity to the Blackwater River for much of its length, is already complete.

Improvements to the Aldershot and Camberley sewage treatment works have recently been finished. Work is planned at the Fleet works which will have to cope with increased effluent volumes from the Railroad Heath and Church Crookham housing developments.

Objectives

* To ensure that infrastructure required to protect and enhance the water environment is provided in advance of its need. (All waters)

* To influence and control infrastructure provision in such a way that other uses are not compromised. (All waters)
Genera I

This use deals with features of archaeological significance, areas which have been designated as 'conservation areas' because of their urban form, and sites which are of heritage value because of their nature conservation, scientific, scenic, historic or archaeological importance. Many of these sites have a strong relationship with water features.

Catchment Perspective

Current Use

Gravel workings in the Blackwater valley have led to the discovery of many archaeological artefacts, notably from the Bronze Age in the area north of Yateley. The previous marshy nature of the valley forced communication links onto the valley sides: the Mault Way was an ancient track located on the east of the valley whilst the Roman 'Devil's Road', which ran from Staines to Silchester, passed to the north of the river. Following the withdrawal of the Romans, activity in the catchment appears to have been limited. There was a monastery at Frimley and at Blackwater, the location of a ford, there was a noted livestock fair.

The influence of the military on the catchment is significant. Towards the end of the 18th century a large training camp was established on Bagshot Heath. This was followed in 1812 by the Royal Military College at Sandhurst and the Staff College at Camberley in 1862. A number of major estates were broken up at about this time and this allowed residential development to proceed as the area also had good rail links to London.

Many of the villages in the west of the catchment have 'conservation area' status, notable amongst which is Odiham. The entire length of the Basingstoke Canal has also been given 'conservation area' status by the local authorities. The area north of the M3 between the Whitewater and Blackwater Rivers has been designated as a 'Countryside Heritage Area'. This reflects the area's status as one of the best preserved medieval forests in southern England. 'Countryside Conservation Areas' complement the 'Countryside Heritage Areas'. These designations are also made by Local Authorities.

Future Use

Gravel workings and major infrastructure development will undoubtedly lead to the identification of more areas of interest. Hart, and Basingstoke and Deane District Councils are considering the merits of designating the Whitewater Valley as a 'Countryside Heritage Area'.

Objectives

* To safeguard the special archaeological and heritage interest for which sites have been designated (e.g. 'Conservation Areas', 'Countryside Heritage Areas'). (All relevant waters)
3.15 FLOOD DEFENCE

**General**

This use deals with the protection of people and property from flooding from natural watercourses. Certain watercourses are designated as 'main river'. On main rivers the NRA have permissive powers to: construct new defences; maintain defences; and, control the actions of others through the issuing of 'Land Drainage Consents'. By controlling and influencing the actions of others the risk to existing and future uses (eg. development) can be minimised. The NRA TR are the primary group involved in flood defence matters but on ordinary rivers Local Authorities are the first point of contact. For flooding from sewers the responsible group is either the Local Authority or Thames Water Utilities plc.

The standard of flood protection can be measured in terms of the frequency at which (e.g. 1 in 50 years), on average, it will prove ineffective. The standards considered appropriate vary according to the land use to be protected and the economics of providing the service. Flood defence work is closely associated with the physical form of the river and the adjacent areas. There is therefore the potential for conflict with uses which depend on the structure of the river e.g. fisheries and ecology.

**Catchment Perspective**

**Current Use**

The map opposite highlights areas known to have flooded and the standards of flood protection the NRA aim to provide on particular reaches of river. Where the land use is primarily agricultural the standards are lower than those for urban areas.

On the River Whitewater and River Hart there are few urban areas at risk from flooding. However, in February 1990 16 properties in Crondall were flooded (previous flooding had occurred in 1968 and 1940). Works to alleviate the risk of flooding are currently being undertaken by the NRA. Following the 1968 floods significant lengths of the River Hart were widened and deepened to reduce the risk of agricultural land being flooded. Current activity to protect agricultural land from flooding and to maintain the effectiveness of drainage systems involves weed cutting during the summer. This activity is also undertaken on the Blackwater River.

The Blackwater valley is heavily developed and upto 50 properties are considered to be at risk of flooding at Blackwater, Farnborough and Aldershot. A flood storage lagoon on the Cove Brook balances surface water runoff generated by urban development in the area so that flood risks are not increased downstream. When floods are expected the NRA inspect a number of sites on the Blackwater River to ensure that constrictions in the channel (eg. bridges and culverts) are kept free of debris. Flood warnings are issued by the NRA to local Police.

**Future Use**

The NRA are currently undertaking detailed technical investigations in order to define better the flood risk to people and property on the Blackwater River. These studies are due to be completed in 1992 and may show the need for flood defence works to be constructed in subsequent years.

**Objectives**

* To provide effective defence for people and property against flooding from main rivers. (All relevant waters)
* To provide adequate arrangements for flood forecasting and warning. (All relevant waters)
FLOOD DEFENCE

Watercourses

Areas Known to Have Flooded

Basingstoke Canal

Urban Areas at Flood Risk (including major roads)

Catchment Boundary

Urban Area

Flood Storage Ponds

M3 Motorway

SCALE (approx) 0 5 km
Section 4

CATCHMENT STATUS
4.1 INTRODUCTION

The objectives (and associated standards and criteria) for each of the fifteen catchment uses described in Section 3 can be used to create overall targets for either the whole catchment or specific parts of the catchment (e.g. lakes, individual river reaches). The purpose of this section is to compare the current status of the catchment (where it is known) with these overall targets.

Comparison of the 'current status' with the 'overall target' enables issues - which may be problems due to failures to meet targets, or conflicts due to differing uses having opposing requirements - to be identified. The issues are presented in detail in Section 5.

For the purposes of this Draft CMP the overall targets have been summarised in respect of the key characteristics of water quality, water resources, and physical features. (It should be noted that at present only a few use-related standards are available. Consequently, overall targets can not be set in a comprehensive manner. However, we are currently undertaking research to identify and evaluate standards.)
We currently use a system of 'River Quality Objectives' (RQOs) for the classification and assessment of watercourses (including canals). This system is derived from a 1978 National Water Council policy document and was established in 1979 using local knowledge of the uses of the watercourse and contemporary water quality data. Chemical quality standards were drawn up for these objectives so that the degree of compliance could be objectively assessed. All the classified watercourses (see Section 2.6) were given a current objective, and those where improvement was desirable, but not immediately practical, were also given future objectives.

A new quality classification system, known as 'Statutory Water Quality Objectives' (SWQOs), will be introduced shortly. This system is currently out for public consultation. Although similar to the existing system it will be more clearly related to the current and future uses of the watercourse. In addition, groundwater and water bodies (e.g. lakes) will also be covered by the scheme. The information on catchment uses in Section 3 will assist in the setting of the new SWQOs.

Using the present RQOs system the following table and accompanying synoptic maps compare the current status of classified rivers (see Section 2.6) with the overall targets or objectives (current and future situation).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RIVER</th>
<th>BEACH</th>
<th>LENGTH (km)</th>
<th>OBJECTIVE</th>
<th>FUTURE</th>
<th>CURRENT</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BLACKWATER</td>
<td>ALDERSHOT TO ALDERSHOT STW</td>
<td>4.460</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2B</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ALDERSHOT STW TO ALD. MLTRY STW</td>
<td>3.180</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1B</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ALD. MLTRY STW TO ASH VALE STW</td>
<td>1.720</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1B</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ASH VALE STW TO P'BOROUGH STW</td>
<td>0.780</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1B</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PARNBROUGH STW TO COVE BROOK</td>
<td>6.060</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1B</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COVE BROOK TO CAMBERLEY STW</td>
<td>0.840</td>
<td>2B</td>
<td>1B</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CAMBERLEY STW TO SANDHURST STW</td>
<td>3.320</td>
<td>2B</td>
<td>1B</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SANDHURST STW TO EVERSLEY</td>
<td>8.220</td>
<td>2B</td>
<td>1B</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EVERSLEY TO WHITEWATER</td>
<td>4.250</td>
<td>2A</td>
<td>1B</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WHITEWATER TO LODDON</td>
<td>2.850</td>
<td>2A</td>
<td>1B</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHITEWATER</td>
<td>SOURCE TO HART</td>
<td>15.570</td>
<td>1A</td>
<td>1A</td>
<td>1B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HART TO BLACKWATER</td>
<td>3.460</td>
<td>1B</td>
<td>1B</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HART</td>
<td>CRONDALL TO ELVETHAM PK LDG</td>
<td>9.730</td>
<td>2B</td>
<td>2B</td>
<td>1B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ELVETHAM PK LDG TO FLEET BROOK</td>
<td>4.310</td>
<td>2A</td>
<td>1B</td>
<td>2(4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FLEET BROOK TO HART. WINTNEY STW</td>
<td>2.440</td>
<td>2A</td>
<td>2A</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HART. WINTNEY STW TO WHITEWATER</td>
<td>5.090</td>
<td>2A</td>
<td>1B</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COVE BROOK</td>
<td>SOURCE TO HAWLEY LAKE STR</td>
<td>3.780</td>
<td>2B</td>
<td>2B</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HAWLEY LAKE STR TO BLACKWATER</td>
<td>2.700</td>
<td>2B</td>
<td>2B</td>
<td>1B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PYESTOCK</td>
<td>TRIBUTARY SOURCE TO COVE BROOK</td>
<td>1.630</td>
<td>2B</td>
<td>2B</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLEET BROOK</td>
<td>CHURCH CROOKHAM TO FLEET STW</td>
<td>5.760</td>
<td>2B</td>
<td>2B</td>
<td>1B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FLEET STW TO HART</td>
<td>2.700</td>
<td>2B</td>
<td>2B</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MINLEY BRK</td>
<td>SOURCE TO FLEET BROOK</td>
<td>3.610</td>
<td>2B</td>
<td>2B</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BASINGSTOKE</td>
<td>GREYWELL TO EELMOOR BRIDGE</td>
<td>19.895</td>
<td>2A</td>
<td>1B</td>
<td>1B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: 1) Thames Region have uniquely split Class 2 into 2A and 2B. The national system uses only Class 2. "Current Status" results reflect the national system and are for the year 1990.
2) The River Quality Objective (RQO) classes are as follows:
   - Class 1A/1B - High quality waters
   - Class 2A/2B - Fair quality waters
   - Class 3 - Poor quality waters
   - Class 4 - Bad quality waters.
3) The objectives and standards associated with these classes are defined in Appendix 1.
4) Current status for this reach based on 1988/89 data.
WATER QUALITY (CURRENT OBJECTIVES)

**KEY**

- **Watercourses**
- **Basingstoke Canal**
- **Catchment Boundary**
- **Urban Area**
- **M3 Motorway**

**CURRENT RIVER QUALITY OBJECTIVES**

- CLASS 1A (HIGH)
- CLASS 1B (HIGH)
- CLASS 2 (FAIR)
- CLASS 3 (POOR)

- RIVERS OUTSIDE OF RQO SURVEY
- CURRENT STATUS OF RIVER FALLS BELOW RQO OBJECTIVE

**SCALE (approx)**
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These results are based on a chemical assessment of quality. However, many watercourses in the catchment are also sampled biologically. The major watercourses (e.g. Blackwater River, Cove Brook, Fleet Brook, Hart and Whitewater Rivers) are regularly sampled, whilst the Marrow Brook, Minley Brook, Pyestock Tributary and others are sampled only occasionally.

The biological quality results generally agree with the chemical quality results with only a few exceptions. In particular the Cove Brook has a fair to high chemical quality but a poor biological quality. This is likely to be the result of poor habitat diversity within the channel, probably as a result of regular maintenance, and/or intermittent pollution events which are not picked up by routine chemical sampling.

Over half the recorded pollution incidents in the catchment occur in minor ditches and drainage channels. With regard to the classified watercourses in the catchment the Blackwater River suffers from the most pollution incidents. The majority of all the pollution incidents reported in the catchment are oil related. Details of the incidents recorded in 1990 are given below.

The number of recorded pollution incidents is continuing to grow. This is thought to reflect greater awareness, and therefore reporting, of incidents rather than an actual decline in standards. This does not, however, reduce the importance attached to the effect on the natural water environment.

As well as the RQO classifications, two European Commission (EC) water quality directives also apply to the catchment. The first of these is Directive 78/659/EEC, commonly known as the 'fisheries directive', which is concerned with ensuring that water quality is suitable for supporting fish populations. The second directive, 76/464/EEC, known as 'the dangerous substances directive', is concerned with the discharge of substances considered to be harmful to the aquatic environment. The 'fisheries directive' applies only to designated reaches of water whereas the 'dangerous substances directive' and its associated directives apply to all waters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POLLUTION TYPE</th>
<th>BLACKWATER</th>
<th>WHITEWATER</th>
<th>HART</th>
<th>COVE BROOK</th>
<th>FLEET BROOK</th>
<th>OTHER WATERS</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OIL</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHEMICAL</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEWAGE</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NATURAL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGRICULTURAL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GENERAL</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URBAN RUNOFF</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOT KNOWN</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.4
WATER QUALITY (FUTURE OBJECTIVES)

KEY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Watercourses</th>
<th>FUTURE RIVER QUALITY OBJECTIVES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Basingstoke Canal</td>
<td>CLASS 1A (HIGH)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catchment Boundary</td>
<td>CLASS 1B (HIGH)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Area</td>
<td>CLASS 2 (FAIR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3 Motorway</td>
<td>CLASS 3 (POOR)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| RIVERS OUTSIDE OF RQO SURVEY |
| CURRENT STATUS OF RIVER FALLS BELOW RQO OBJECTIVE |

SCALE (approx) 5 km
4.2 WATER QUALITY (ctd)

The fisheries directive has two levels of quality standards, one to support a cyprinid fish population (i.e. coarse fish) and a stricter level to support a salmonid fish population (e.g. trout and salmon). The designated reaches shown on the synoptic map all achieved the appropriate standards in 1990.

We assess compliance with the 'dangerous substances directive' by monitoring for specific substances downstream of three effluent discharge points in the catchment, and monitoring for all listed substances at a single key site. The Blackwater River is sampled downstream of Aldershot Town and Camberley STWs to assess compliance with the mercury and cadmium standards, and downstream of Sandhurst STW to assess compliance with the cadmium standard. These sites all achieved the appropriate standards in 1990. The key site on the catchment is at Swallowfield, on the Blackwater River. None of the standards were exceeded in 1990. The standards for both Directives are given in Appendix I.

The issues raised from the above information include:

**Blackwater River/Cove Brook**
- failure to achieve current objective for current uses in the reach downstream of Camberley STW.
- comprehensive improvements will be required if the future objectives are to be met.
- high number of oil and sewage pollution incidents.
- the Cove Brook is subject to intermittent oil pollution and has a poor biological quality.

**River Hart/Fleet Brook/River Whitewater**
- failure of Fleet Brook downstream of Fleet STW, and River Whitewater to meet current objectives for current uses.
- improvements will be required if future objectives are to be met.
WATER QUALITY (EC DIRECTIVES)

EC Designated Fisheries (Directive 78/659)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Watercourses</th>
<th>Basingstoke Canal</th>
<th>Cotswold Boundary</th>
<th>Urban Area</th>
<th>M3 Motorway</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salmonid</td>
<td>Cyprinid</td>
<td>SCALE (approx) 5km</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
We aim to assess, manage, plan and conserve water resources and to maintain and improve the quality of water for all those who use it. One of our key objectives is to develop and implement a water resources strategy which takes appropriate account of both environmental and abstraction requirements.

A regional strategy is currently being prepared as are summary statements which outline the approach to be taken to water resources management at the catchment level.

No 'overall targets' for water resources are currently available for the Blackwater River catchment and its associated groundwater catchment.

Although no 'overall targets' are available a number of water resources related issues are known to us. These include:

**Basingstoke Canal**
- subject to periods of low natural flow and therefore constraints on boat movements through locks.

**River Hart**
- detrimental impact of groundwater abstraction on Itchel Spring.

**River Whitewater**
- low flow situation on river a cause for concern amongst local interest groups.
'Standards of Service for Urban and Rural Flood Defence' is a system used by us to assess appropriate standards of service and to plan for providing a consistent approach towards service provision. A key feature of the system is that it relates standards of service to current land use in the floodplain. As land use varies so therefore do customer interests and the requirements for flood defence and land drainage. Different land uses have been brought together into five land use bands which range from A (heavily urbanised) to E (unintensive agriculture). Each land use band has a 'target range' of service levels. Within the Blackwater River catchment the 'main river' has been divided into reaches. For each reach we have identified the 'land use band' and hence the 'target standard of service', and evaluated the actual standard of service. This information is summarised below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RIVER</th>
<th>REACH ID</th>
<th>LENGTH (km)</th>
<th>FLOOD AREA (ha)</th>
<th>LAND USE BAND</th>
<th>ACTUAL STANDARD OF SERVICE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BLACKWATER</td>
<td>LODDON TO NEW MILL ROAD</td>
<td>5.150</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NEW MILL RD TO FINCH BGE</td>
<td>3.950</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FINCH BRIDGE TO RAILWAY</td>
<td>5.950</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RAILWAY TO M3</td>
<td>4.000</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M3 TO RAILWAY</td>
<td>5.050</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RAILWAY TO A323</td>
<td>4.650</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A323 TO MRL</td>
<td>3.700</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHITENATER</td>
<td>BLACKWATER TO H.GRN FP</td>
<td>5.400</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H.GRN FP TO C.BILLET</td>
<td>5.400</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CROOKED BILLET TO MRL</td>
<td>4.400</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POTBRIDGE BROOK</td>
<td>WHITENATER TO MRL</td>
<td>1.850</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HART</td>
<td>WHITENATER TO HTFD.BGE</td>
<td>5.800</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HTFD.BGE TO RAILWAY</td>
<td>4.120</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RAILWAY TO CANAL</td>
<td>4.400</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CANAL TO MRL</td>
<td>3.230</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITCHEL BROOK</td>
<td>HART TO MRL</td>
<td>2.850</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRUBES FARM DITCHES</td>
<td>ITCHEL BROOK TO MRL</td>
<td>1.025</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLEET BROOK</td>
<td>HART TO MRL</td>
<td>4.700</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MINLEY BROOK</td>
<td>FLEET BROOK TO MRL</td>
<td>3.000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MINLEY DITCHES</td>
<td>MINLEY BROOK TO MRL</td>
<td>1.875</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COVE BROOK</td>
<td>BLACKWATER TO MRL</td>
<td>4.700</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAWLEY LAKE STREAM</td>
<td>COVE BROOK TO MRL</td>
<td>0.900</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARROW BROOK</td>
<td>COVE BROOK TO MRL</td>
<td>0.650</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: 1) Target Service Levels and Land Use Bands are defined in Appendix I.
       2) Standards of service provided are categorised as follows:
           E - excessive
           A - adequate
           I - inadequate

The issues raised from the above information information include:

River Hart

- inadequate standard of service on upper reach (i.e. Crondall).

4.10
**PHYSICAL FEATURES**

**TARGET STANDARDS OF SERVICE FOR FLOOD PROTECTION**

- **Basingstoke Canal**
  - A (1 in 50 years on average)
  - Unclassified
  - Target Standard of Service
  - Currently not achieved

- **Catchment Boundary**
  - B (1 in 10 years on average)
  - [Access Problems (for maintenance)]

- **Urban Area**
  - C (1 in 2 years on average)
  - [Access Problems (for maintenance)]

- **M3 Motorway**
  - D (1 in 5 years on average)
  - [Access Problems (for maintenance)]

- **Fleet**
  - E (1 time per year)
  - [Access Problems (for maintenance)]

---

**SCALE (approx) 5 km**
5.1 INTRODUCTION

Through the preparation of this plan we have been able to identify a number of issues which require consideration by all those interested in the future of the catchment's natural water environment. These issues have been grouped under the following headings:

- Blackwater Valley;
- Fleet Pond;
- Basingstoke Canal;
- Habitats on the Whitewater and Hart;
- Low Flows.

Each issue is presented in the following manner:

(i) a page (or pages) of text divided into the following sections:

Current Use - individual tables describe: the issue; the key uses involved in the issue; options to address the issue; and, the implications of adopting particular options (details are given in outline form only and are included to indicate the scale and nature of the issue. Detailed action plans (involving costs and timetables) will only be established after the consultation process).

Future Use - the section is similar to the 'Current Use' section except it deals with issues that require consideration in respect of future uses.

Summary - a descriptive summary of the overall issue.

(ii) a colour synoptic map designed to enhance the points raised in the text and highlight the geographical context of the issue and the options.
### 5.2 BLACKWATER VALLEY

#### Current Use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue:</th>
<th>Reach downstream of Camberley STW fails to meet RQO.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Uses:</td>
<td>Ecology, Fisheries, Effluent Disposal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Options: | * monitor situation and enforce existing discharge consents  
          * review discharge consents and/or seek to constrain current uses |
| Implications: | Thames Water Utilities (TWU) have recently upgraded the Aldershot Town and Camberley STWs. These improvements should enable the current water quality standards to be achieved. NRA to monitor water quality and take enforcement action if consents are breached. This option requires no major investment. If the water quality standards are not achieved, the NRA will need to review consents and impose new limits on STWs, and/or seek to constrain certain current uses through negotiations with users. The former will require moderate investment by the NRA and potentially significant investment by TWU and the Ministry of Defence (MoD), whilst the latter will reduce the environmental value of the river to the local community. |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue:</th>
<th>Excessive number of oil pollution incidents.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Options: | * continue process of containing pollution near to source  
          * undertake campaign to identify and educate persistent polluters to minimise future risks |
| Implications: | The NRA currently ensure that frequent oil pollution in the reach upstream of Ash Bridge, Aldershot is prevented from travelling downstream. This approach contains the worst of the problem, although pollution incidents also occur further downstream. A campaign involving the NRA, Local Authorities, local industry and the public to identify and tackle the problem at source would result in reduced risks to the river through moderate investment by all the parties concerned. The campaign would be backed by enforcement action by the NRA. |
5.2 BLACKWATER VALLEY (ctd)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue:</th>
<th>Flood protection falls below acceptable standards (see Section 3.15).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Uses:</td>
<td>Flood Defence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Options:</td>
<td>* provide appropriate protection through construction of flood defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implications:</td>
<td>A review of the flood protection needs of the valley will shortly be completed by the NRA. Where the benefits of undertaking the construction of flood defences are found to exceed the costs, works are likely to be completed within the next 5 years.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Future Use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue:</th>
<th>Need for comprehensive quality improvements if future objectives are to be met.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Uses:</td>
<td>Ecology, Fisheries, Amenity and Recreation, Effluent Disposal, Infrastructure and Communications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Options:</td>
<td>* tighten discharge consents and/or seek to constrain the future uses of the river * manage the sources of diffuse pollution and/or seek to constrain the future uses of the river * manage the excessive growth of macrophytes (which deplete oxygen levels in the river) and/or seek to constrain the future uses of the river</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implications:</td>
<td>A number of organisations have plans to enhance the ecological value of the river and its recreational use (see Section 3). At present the quality of the river is inappropriate for these proposed, potential and aspirational future uses. To achieve the required quality major investment by the community via the NRA, TWU, MoD, County and Local Authorities, Industry, the Farming Community and individual landowners will need to be undertaken. Tightened consents will achieve reductions in point pollution sources but will not reduce diffuse pollution sources (e.g. urban runoff from roads) and may only partially solve the problem of macrophyte growth. Additional measures (e.g. treatment of runoff from roads, control of pesticides, construction of buffer strips alongside the river) would be required. Such measures would require co-ordination of a wide range of activities, and investment over a long-period by all those involved. Constraints on future uses of the river would reduce the need for such investment but limit the plans and aspirations of groups such as BVCRMS.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 5.2 BLACKWATER VALLEY (ctd)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue:</th>
<th>Need for significant improvements to physical features if future uses to be achieved.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Options: | * rehabilitate river channel structure by recreating natural features such as pools and riffles, and/or seek to constrain future uses  
* implement landscape improvements in the river corridor and along the river bank, and/or seek to constrain future uses  
* develop comprehensive land and water use management plans for the river corridor or respond to proposals on an ad-hoc basis. |
| Implications: | Restoration of a 'natural' channel structure has been identified as a means of: reducing macrophyte growth by increasing flow rates; increasing habitat diversity and therefore improving ecology and fisheries uses; and, reducing flood defence maintenance needs. The NRA and riparian developers have already invested in such improvements. Much investment is still required, however. Landscape improvements by the BVCRMS can assist in both improving the visual character of the valley and contributing to improvements in ecology, amenity and recreation, and fisheries. Significant investment will be required by Local Authorities, County Councils, developers and the NRA to achieve improvements in the physical features of the valley. These costs must be balanced against the benefits that changes in uses will give. The viability of proposals for future uses will also need to be considered in respect of water quality issues. |

**Summary**

The Blackwater valley has been the subject of intensive development over the last 30 years. As a result the natural water environment has been degraded. In particular, river water quality has suffered since investment in sewage treatment has lagged behind 'development'. The natural morphology of the channel has also been disturbed leading to a reduction in channel features such as pools and riffles and a consequential reduction in the quality of the river's flora and fauna (including fisheries). Development has therefore put severe pressure on the river corridor of the Blackwater valley, which in places is no more than a concrete strait jacket. However, opportunities often arise from development proposals (e.g. gravel extraction by the creation of water bodies may help in the provision of amenity and recreation facilities). Such opportunities, as well as a programme of remedial works, have been evaluated by the 'Blackwater Valley Countryside and Recreation Management Service' (BVCRMS). The BVCRMS and its supporting organisations have done much to achieve a consensus on the future direction for the use of the valley. A number of key issues still remain unresolved, however, including the role that water quality considerations should play in the future planning of the uses of the valley.
5.3 FLEET POND

Current Use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue:</th>
<th>Deterioration in ecological and fisheries value of the site</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Uses:</td>
<td>Ecology, Fisheries, Amenity and Recreation, Angling, Effluent Disposal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Options: | * manage Gelvert Stream silt loads  
* prepare site management plan  
* manage fish population of pond  
* allow continued deterioration of ecological and fisheries value of site |
| Implications: | To arrest deterioration of the pond and begin its restoration there is a need for the interested parties (e.g. NRA, Hart DC, English Nature and Ministry of Defence) to meet and discuss the above management options. The influence of MoD activity in the Long Valley area on silt loads in the Gelvert Stream, which flows into the pond, was identified in 1988. The NRA will need to undertake further monitoring in order to ascertain the scale of the problem and possible solutions in conjunction with the MoD. In addition to water quality improvements, management regimes for the habitats of the sites should be established by Hart DC and English Nature. These will need to take into account the amenity and recreation demands on the site. Bream now dominate the fish population of the pond. These fish may contribute to the pond’s quality problems. The NRA, Hart DC and angling interests need to agree a fisheries management strategy for the pond. Preparing - and then implementing - the above proposals may require significant investment by a number of the key Authorities. |

Summary

Fleet Pond is the largest freshwater lake in Hampshire and was designated as a SSSI in 1951. Habitats on the site include Phragmites reed beds, sandy heath and diverse pond margins. Species diversity and habitat quality has gradually declined over the last 20 years. Silt pollution via the Gelvert Stream is a recognised problem for which mitigation measures (i.e. land treatment areas and settlement lagoons) have been implemented by the MoD. Experience so far indicates that these measures are unlikely to be adequate to cope with the problem. The fish population has declined and its structure changed. Histological studies of fish from the pond have revealed damage to their gills which is consistent with the presence of high suspended solids loads. Liaison between the relevant Authorities and a will to tackle the issues are essential pre-requisites to reversing the current decline in the value of the site.
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Scale (Approx)

0 1.5Km
Current Use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue:</th>
<th>Ensuring the continued ecological value of the site whilst recognising the need to develop the navigation for recreation and amenity purposes.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Options:        | * manage water quality of the canal  
* manage water supply to the canal  
* evaluate inter-action between navigation uses and ecology uses  
* identify sustainable uses of the canal |
| Implications:   | The relevant Authorities (e.g. Blackwater Canal Authority, NRA, Surrey and Hampshire CCs, English Nature) need to establish the susceptibility of the canal's water chemistry and habitats to navigation demands (which may include the introduction of effluent and/or abstracted water). Resources may need to be committed by the relevant Authorities to assess this and other issues including that of water supply to the canal. The land use controls exerted by Local Authorities are an important element in guiding and influencing the future use of the canal. |

Summary

The Basingstoke Canal is recognised by many environmental groups as a nationally important water-related habitat. The water chemistry, which changes from alkaline to acid in a west-to-east direction, is the key determinand of species diversity. The canal has always had water supply problems in its upper reaches. Solutions to address this issue will need to be carefully considered in terms of the catchment's overall water resources.
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5.5 HABITATS ON THE WHITETTWER AND HART

Current Use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue:</th>
<th>Habitat enhancement on the Whitewater and Hart</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Uses:</td>
<td>Ecology, Fisheries, Landscape, Heritage</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Options: | * monitor water quality situation and enforce discharge consents  
* formulate conservation and enhancement strategies for the rivers  
* remedial work to mitigate impact of past land drainage works on the River Hart |
| Implications: | TWU are progressing improvements at the Fleet STW so that the works can meet recently tightened discharge consents. NRA to monitor water quality and take enforcement action if consents are breached. Water quality in the River Whitewater during 1991 met the appropriate standards. Situation to be monitored by the NRA with a possible increase in the number of sampling points (e.g. Poland Mill). Straightening and widening work on the River Hart downstream of Pilcot Farm has damaged the physical structure of the river and its banks. Further survey work by the NRA and others will be necessary to identify remedial measures (e.g. tree planting, establishment of channel features). Implementation by the NRA and others will require moderate investment over a number of years. |

Future Use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue:</th>
<th>Establishement of Whitewater Valley Countryside Heritage Area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Uses:</td>
<td>Ecology, Landscape, Heritage, Fisheries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Options:</td>
<td>* to consider the benefits, implications and costs of the above proposal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implications:</td>
<td>NRA to liaise with the relevant Authorities (e.g. Hampshire CC, Hart DC, Basingstoke and Deane BC) on the above proposal. The valley has a particular character and quality which requires special consideration.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summary

Investment by TWU at Fleet STW coupled with enhancements to the physical structure of the lower River Hart will lead to improvements in the ecological, fisheries and landscape value of the River Hart. The water quality of the River Whitewater achieved the high standards appropriate of such a watercourse in 1991 although it failed in 1990. Localised water quality problems are known to exist but in general the river continues to be capable of supporting a population of Brown Trout as well as the flora and fauna typical of chalk fed streams and rivers. The low flow situation in both rivers is dealt with in the following section.
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## 5.6 LOW FLOWS

### Current Use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue:</th>
<th>Low flow situation in the Whitewater and Hart Rivers.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Options: | * monitor existing situation to assess influence on rivers of all factors affecting flows  
* review existing abstraction licences for public water supply and agriculture in the area  
* ensure proper management of water resources to achieve a balance between the environment and abstractors |
| Implications: | The low flow situation in the River Whitewater has attracted much local interest and has been the subject of a recent presentation by the NRA TR at a local meeting. The opinion of the NRA TR is that the low flows are not due to over-abstraction by users of groundwater, but are a function of recent climatic factors, possibly exacerbated by changes in river valley land use, river management regime, mill alterations etc. A revocation of existing abstraction licences would be very expensive for the NRA TR and is not likely to lead to any perceptible increase in river flows. However, a better understanding of the influence of other man-made factors such as land use changes may help explain why levels are lower now than they used to be for equivalent flow rates. Such a study would involve work by the NRA TR, landowners and other interested parties. Initial investment would be moderate and may identify the need for particular land use/river management techniques to maximise the benefit of river flows in order the help preserve and enhance key features such as the natural population of Brown Trout. On the River Hart, however, the NRA TR recognise that the Mid-Southern Water Company abstraction at Itchel effectively 'turns off' the local spring source. |

### Summary

In managing water resources in the catchment the water abstraction licensing role of the NRA is currently exercised such that: further major groundwater abstractions are not allowed; no net summer abstractions are allowed; winter abstractions are only allowed in periods of adequate flow; and, small appropriate abstractions continue to be allowed.
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We have produced this Draft Catchment Management Plan over a four month period through internal discussion and desk study of documents produced by organisations such as local authorities.

Whilst every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the information in the plan we are aware that it may contain a number of omissions and inaccuracies. Our next step, therefore, is to consult with organisations, groups and individuals interested in the future of the catchment's natural water environment. We believe that consultation will enable us to:

- clarify the extent and distribution of current uses of the catchment;
- assess the importance of catchment uses;
- identify the wide range of likely, possible and potential future catchment uses;
- expose catchment specific issues to a wide audience;
- ensure decisions on the future management of the catchment are based on accurate information and the fullest possible range of views from interested parties.

In commenting on this plan we hope that you will tackle both points of detail and strategic issues. In particular we are keen for you to consider the following questions:

- have we correctly identified both current and future uses of the catchment?
- have we fairly assessed the issues and what opinions do you have on them?
- have we missed any issues?
- how should we progress evaluation of the issues and the development of strategies and action plans?

During the initial consultation period comments can be submitted in writing to:

Craig Woolhouse
National Rivers Authority Thames Region
Aspen House
Crossbrook Street
Waltham Cross
Herts, EN8 8HE

If you wish to hold meetings with us please contact Craig Woolhouse on 0992-645067.

Upon completion of the first phase of the consultation process a revised Draft Catchment Management Plan will be prepared. This will be circulated to all interested parties and discussed at a number of open meetings in locations throughout the catchment. The programme for this phase envisages a series of public meetings as well as further detailed consultation.
At this stage we will have to consider in detail the results of the Consultation process before producing a definitive Catchment Management Plan. The Plan will define both a strategy for the future management of the catchment and a series of action plans for the NRA and others to implement in order to achieve the strategy.

The information you provide us with now is therefore a very important step in the overall process. We hope you will respond positively to our initiative so that we can jointly develop a common "vision" for the Blackwater River Catchment. The next steps, including expected deadlines for the consultation phases, are given below.

**WHO'S INVOLVED**

- NRA
- NRA Statutory Authorities
- Interest Groups
- Industry
- Key Individuals
- Community Groups
- NRA and everyone interested in the future of the catchment
- NRA and key groups, organisations and individuals

**THE CMP STEPS**

1. **Production of Draft CMP by NRA TR**
   - by 15th June, 1992
2. **Consultation with Organisations, groups and key individuals**
   - until 14th August, 1992
3. **Revision of Draft CMP by NRA TR**
   - by 5th October, 1992
4. **Consultation with all interested groups and individuals (including open meetings)**
   - until 4th December, 1992
5. **Production of Final CMP**
   - by mid-1993
APPENDIX I - STANDARDS

This appendix contains the following information on standards:

(i) use related standard 1 (Salmonid Fishery);
(ii) use related standard 2 (Cyprinid Fishery);
(iii) River Quality Objective (RQO) standards;
(iv) EC Directive 78/659/EEC standards;
(v) EC Directive 76/464/EEC standards;
(vi) Standards of Service for Flood Defence and Land Drainage.
USE RELATED STANDARD 1 (Salmonid Fisheries)

Criteria - water quality must meet the mandatory limits for determinands as specified in the EC Fisheries Directive (78/659/EEC) for salmonid fisheries.

- water quality should also meet the guideline limits for determinands as specified in the EC Fisheries Directive (78/659/EEC) for salmonid fisheries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standards</th>
<th>Determinand</th>
<th>Mandatory Limit</th>
<th>Guideline Limit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dissolved Oxygen</td>
<td>&gt;9</td>
<td>&gt;9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(mg/l O₂)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pH</td>
<td></td>
<td>6-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Suspended Solids</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(mg/l)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BOD (mg/l O₂)</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nitrites (mg/l NO₂)</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unionised Ammonia (mg/l NH₄)</td>
<td>&lt;0.025</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Ammonia (mg/l NH₄)</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Residual Chlorine (mg/l HOCL)</td>
<td>&lt;0.005</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Zinc (mg/l Zn)</td>
<td>&lt;0.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dissolved Copper (mg/l Cu)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&lt;0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(95% of all samples taken must meet these limits except for dissolved oxygen, which must be greater than 9 mg/l for 50% of the samples and greater than 7 mg/l for 100% of the samples, and suspended solids where the average of all samples must be less than the limit).
**USE RELATED STANDARD 2 (Cyprinid Fisheries)**

**Criteria** - Water quality not to deteriorate below the mandatory limits for pollutants as specified in the EC Fisheries Directive (78/659/EEC) for cyprinid fisheries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standards</th>
<th>Determinand</th>
<th>Mandatory Limit</th>
<th>Guideline Limit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l O₂)</td>
<td>&gt;7</td>
<td>&gt;8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>6 - 9</td>
<td>6-9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspended Solids (mg/l)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&lt;25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOD (mg/l O₂)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&lt;8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrites (mg/l NO₂)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unionised Ammonia (mg/l NH₃)</td>
<td>&lt;0.025</td>
<td>&lt;0.005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Ammonia (mg/l NH₄)</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Residual Chlorine (mg/l HOCl)</td>
<td>&lt;0.005</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Zinc (mg/l Zn)</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissolved Copper (mg/l Cu)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&lt;0.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(95% of all samples taken must meet these limits except for dissolved oxygen, which must be greater than 7 mg/l or 8 mg/l for 50% of the samples, pH which must be greater than 5 for 100% of the samples, and suspended solids where the average of all samples must be less than the limit).

**NB:** Standard is under review
# River Quality Objective (RQO) Standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>River Class</th>
<th>Quality criteria</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
<th>Current potential uses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1A (i)</td>
<td>Dissolved oxygen saturation greater than 80%</td>
<td>(i) Average BOD probably not greater than 1.5 mg/l. (ii) Visible evidence of pollution should be absent.</td>
<td>(i) Waters of high quality suitable for potable supply abstractions and for all other abstractions. (ii) Game or other high class fisheries. (iii) High amenity value.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(ii) Biochemical oxygen demand not greater than 3 mg/l. (iii) Ammonia not greater than 0.4 mg/l. (iv) Where the water is abstracted for drinking water, it complies with requirements for A2** water. (v) Non-toxic to fish in EIFAC terms (or best estimates if EIFAC figures not available).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B (i)</td>
<td>DO greater than 60% saturation.</td>
<td>(i) Average BOD probably not greater than 2 mg/l. (ii) Average ammonia probably not greater than 0.5 mg/l. (iii) Visible evidence of pollution should be absent. (iv) Waters of high quality which cannot be placed in Class 1A because of high proportion of high quality effluent present or because of the effect of physical factors such as canalisation, low gradient or eutrophication. (v) Class 1A and Class 1B together are essentially the Class 1 of the River Pollution Survey (RPS)</td>
<td>Water of less high quality than Class 1A but usable for substantially the same purposes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(ii) BOD not greater than 5 mg/l. (iii) Ammonia not greater than 0.9 mg/l. (iv) Where water is abstracted for drinking water, it complies with the requirements for A2** water. (v) Non-toxic to fish in EIFAC terms (or best estimates if EIFAC figures not available).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (i)</td>
<td>DO greater than 40% saturation.</td>
<td>(i) Average BOD probably not greater than 5 mg/l. (ii) Similar to Class 2 of RPS. (iii) Water not showing physical signs of pollution other than humic colouration and a little foaming below weirs.</td>
<td>(i) Waters suitable for potable supplies after advanced treatment. (ii) Supporting reasonably good coarse fisheries. (iii) Moderate amenity value.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(ii) BOD not greater than 9 mg/l. (iii) Where water is abstracted for drinking water, it complies with the requirements for A2** water. (iv) Non-toxic to fish in EIFAC terms (or best estimates if EIFAC figures not available).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (i)</td>
<td>DO greater than 10% saturation.</td>
<td>Similar to Class 3 of RPS.</td>
<td>Waters which are polluted to an extent that fish are absent or only sporadically present. May be used for low-grade industrial abstractions purposes. Considerable potential for further use if cleaned up.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(ii) Not likely to be anaerobic. (iii) BOD not greater than 17 mg/l.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Waters which are inferior to Class 3 in terms of dissolved oxygen and likely to be anaerobic at times.</td>
<td>Similar to Class 4 of RPS.</td>
<td>Waters which are grossly polluted and are likely to cause nuisance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>DO greater than 10% saturation.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Insignificant watercourses and ditches not usable, where objective is simply to prevent nuisance developing.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Under extreme weather conditions (e.g. flood, drought, freeze up), or when dominated by plant growth, or by aquatic plant decay, rivers usually in Classes 1, 2 and 3 may have BODs and dissolved oxygen levels, or ammonia content outside the stated levels for those Classes. When this occurs the cause should be stated along with analytical results.

(b) The BOD determinations refer to 5 day carbonaceous BOD (ATU). Ammonia figures are expressed as NH₄.

* This may not apply if there is a high degree of re-aeration.

EC DIRECTIVE 78/659/EEC STANDARDS

See Use Related Standards 1 and 2
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land Use Band</th>
<th>Description of Typical Land Use</th>
<th>Target Standards of Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>A</strong></td>
<td>A reach containing the urban elements of residential and non-residential property distributed over a significant proportion of its length, or densely populated areas over some of its length. Any agricultural influence is likely to be over-ridden by urban interests. Amenity uses such as parks and sports fields may be prominent in view of the floodplain's proximity to areas of population density.</td>
<td>These heavily built-up areas should be protected to a standard such that the risk of flooding in any one year is no greater than 1 in 50. In some areas higher standards may be applied.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B</strong></td>
<td>Reaches containing residential and/or non-residential property either distributed over the full length of the reach or concentrated in parts but characterised by lower densities than Band A.</td>
<td>Buildings should be protected to a standard such that the risk of flooding in any one year is between 1 in 20 and 1 in 50. However, agricultural or amenity land found in these areas should remain susceptible to regular flooding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C</strong></td>
<td>Limited numbers of isolated rural communities or urban fringe at risk from flooding, including both residential and commercial interests. Intensive agricultural use could also be included.</td>
<td>The chance of flooding of property in any one year would be between 1 in 10 and 1 in 50 years. Agricultural or amenity land, however, could be susceptible to more regular flooding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>D</strong></td>
<td>Isolated, but limited number of residential and commercial properties at risk from flooding. Agricultural use will probably be the main customer interest with arable farming being a feature. In undeveloped pockets of largely urban use, amenity interests may be prominent.</td>
<td>Agriculture and amenity land in this band should be protected to a standard such that the chance of flooding or prolonged bankfull events in any one year, at a time when crops are normally susceptible to damage (ie March to October inclusive), is between 1 in 2 and 1 in 5.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>E</strong></td>
<td>There are likely to very few properties and major roads at risk from flooding in these reaches. Agricultural use will be the main customer interest with either extensive grassland or, where the floodplain extent is small, arable cropping being the most common land uses. Amenity interests are likely to be limited to public footpaths along or across the river.</td>
<td>Agricultural land in this category could be susceptible to yearly waterlogging and/or flooding, possibly occurring on several occasions throughout the year. Protection should be maintained to a standard which reduces the risk of either type of event to between one and three times per year at a time when crops are normally susceptible to damage.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Abbreviations

AOD - above Ordnance Datum
BC - Borough Council
BVCRMS - Blackwater Valley Countryside and Recreation Management Service
CC - County Council
CMP - Catchment Management Plan
DC - District Council
DoE - Department of the Environment
EC - European Commission
LNR - Local Nature Reserve
MAFF - Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food
MoD - Ministry of Defence
MRL - Main River Limit
NRA - National Rivers Authority
NRA TR - National Rivers Authority Thames Region
RQO - River Quality Objective
SSSI - Site of Special Scientific Interest
SWQO - Statutory Water Quality Objective
TWU - Thames Water Utilities
WO - Welsh Office

Units

Length: 10mm = 1 cm (equivalent to 0.394 inches)
        100cm = 1 m (equivalent to 39.37 inches)
        1000 m = 1 km (equivalent to 0.621 miles)

Area: 10 000 m² = 1 ha (equivalent to 2.47 acres)

Density: 1 000 ng/l = 1 ug/l (equivalent to 3.53 x 10⁸ ounces)
         1 000 ug/l = 1 mg/l (equivalent to 3.53 x 10⁵ ounces)

Flow: 1 000 l/s = 1 m³/s (equivalent to 35.31 cusecs)
      1 000 m³/d = 11.6 l/s (equivalent to 0.41 cusecs)
      1 Ml/d = 11.6 l/s (equivalent to 0.224 mgd)