6A NCRAOA

## NATIONAL CENTRE FOR RISK ANALYSIS AND OPTIONS APPRAISAL

**Report No. 3** 

## <u>A Review of Ecological Models of Potential Use in</u> <u>Environmental Forecasting</u>

| Title: <u>A review of Ecological Models</u>      | Report No. 3                       |         |
|--|------------------------------------|---------|
| of Potential Use in Environmental<br>Forecasting | Version: Version 1.                |         |
|  | Issue Date: 9 <sup>th</sup> Octobe | er 1998 |
| Approval   | Şignature                          | Date    |
| Mr G. Llewellyn                                  | Jomes & Guwin                      | 2 5 49  |
| Distribution:                                    |                                    |         |



## FOREWORD

This review of ecological models has been produced by WRc at the request of the National Centre for Risk Analysis and options Appraisal. WRc have attempted to survey the range of ecological models available, primarily as software implementations, from a variety of mostly European sources. The report highlights the range of environmental management applications for which ecological software models have been developed. The review is not meant to be a comprehensive review of either modelling as a discipline, or a review of all those models which might find applications in environmental impact forecasting. Nor does the review attempt to evaluate the robustness of these models, their applicability to the UK, or the availability of suitable datasets.

The NCRAOA aim to develop robust, state-of-the-art techniques for forecasting the future state of environmental ecological quality, and for extrapolating these assessments over a range of spatial and temporal scales. The NCRAOA would welcome any comments on this report, and more generally on the need for particular ecological models that might assist the Agency in meeting its responsibilities.

## LIST OF TABLES

| Table 3.1 | Model characteristics listed in contrasting pairs (after Jorgensen 1994)                            | 9  |
|-----------|---|----|
| Table 3.2 | Summary information on models of interest relating to rivers  | 14 |
| Table 3.3 | Summary information on models of interest relating to lakes   | 18 |
| Table 3.4 | Summary information on models of interest relating to freshwater wetlands (including ditch systems) | 22 |
| Table 3.5 | Summary information on models of interest relating to estuaries and coastal waters                  | 25 |
| Table 3.6 | Summary information on models of interest relating to terrestrial ecosystems                        | 29 |

## LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure 1.1 | Major links between human activities and ecological effects, showing |
|------------|--|
|            | the mechanistic links most relevant to the current review.           |

5

(

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The National Centre for Risk Analysis and Options Appraisal of the Environment Agency has an objective to ensure that a comprehensive suite of environmental models is available for predicting the effects of current and future human activities. To this end, a review has been conducted of European ecological models that may be of relevance (including UK models), focusing on those with biological (or biologically orientated) end-points. The review was wideranging, reflecting the environmental remit of the Agency and covering all principal environmental media. Some US models were also investigated.

An enormous array of potentially relevant models has been identified, highlighting the huge resource being invested in such modelling in Europe and the US. These include practical and relatively simple models that are principally geared to management applications (such as environmental forecasting), in addition to more complex software that is largely geared to research applications. Summary information has been gathered on each model, with more detailed information being produced for some of the most promising ones. The model listings produced provide a useful first step in identifying models that may ultimately be of use to the Agency in environmental forecasting, but cannot be considered definitive. There are likely to be many other models in existence or under development that are, or will be, of potential use to the Agency. In addition, it should be noted that the application of many of the models listed could entail considerable investment by the Agency, in terms of collecting datasets and modifying software for UK/Agency use.

In order to target further review work it will be necessary to define likely scenarios of model use in my case more detailed consideration and comparison of models is required before recommendations concerning specific models could be made.

1

## **KEY WORDS**

ECOLOGICAL MODELS, ENVIRONMENTAL FORECASTING.

| COI        | NTENTS                  |   |    | ] | Page       |
|------------|-------------------------|---|----|---|------------|
| FORI       | EWORD                   |   |    |   | i          |
| LIST       | OF TABLI                | ES  |    |   | ii         |
| LIST       | OF FIGUR                | LES   |    |   | ii         |
| EXE        | CUTIVE SU               | JMMARY  |    | • | 1          |
| KEY        | WORDS                   |   |    | - | 1          |
| 1.         | INTROD                  | UCTION  |    |   | 3          |
| 1.1<br>1.2 | Backgrour<br>Scope of r | ad and objectives<br>eview  |    |   | 3<br>3 .   |
| 2.         | METHOI                  | S OF WORKING  |    |   | 6          |
| 3.         | DISCUSS                 | ION OF FINDINGS   |    |   | 7          |
| 3.1        | Summary                 | of modelling approaches   | 3  |   | 7          |
| 3.2        | Discussior              | n of review process   |    |   | 10         |
| 3.3        | Models wi               | th aquatic/wetland biological end-points                            |    |   | 12         |
| 3.4        | Models wi               | th terrestrial biological end-points                                |    |   | 28         |
| 3.5        | Umbrella :              | software  |    |   | 32         |
| 4.         | CONCLU                  | SIONS   |    |   | 34         |
| 5.         | RECOM                   | MENDATIONS  | •  |   | 35         |
| 6.         | ACKNOW                  | VLEDGEMENTS   |    |   | 36         |
| REFI       | ERENCES                 |   |    |   | <b>3</b> 7 |
| APPI       | ENDICES                 |   |    |   |            |
| APPE       | ENDIX A                 | LIST OF EUROPEAN CONTACTS TO WHOM<br>INFORMATION REQUESTS WERE SENT | [  |   | 42         |
| APPE       | ENDIX B                 | SUMMARY SHEETS FOR SELECTED MODE                                    | LS |   | 44         |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

## **1.1** Background and objectives

As part of its responsibilities towards 'environmental forecasting' within the Environment Agency, the National Centre for Risk Analysis and Options Appraisal aims to ensure that a comprehensive suite of environmental models is available for predicting the effects of current and future human activities. Owing to the wide range of Agency activities and duties, this suite of models needs to cover potential impacts on the air, soil and water environments, the latter including rivers, lakes, estuaries and coastal waters.

Many environmental models that are currently available are purely physico-chemical in nature, but the Agency is also interested in the possibilities for forecasting potential impacts upon biological communities. This requires the identification of ecological models with biological (or biologically-orientated) end-points, which either have additional capabilities in physico-chemical modelling or can be linked to other models with such capabilities. The Centre therefore commissioned WRc to undertake an initial review of such ecological models, which can be used as the foundation for further action.

The main objective of the work was:

'to undertake a comprehensive review of ecological models in use or under development across Europe and assess their suitability for use in environmental forecasting.'

A brief investigation of US models was also requested, to be undertaken as time allowed.

## **1.2** Scope of review

The term 'ecological model' is often used very broadly in the scientific community and it is therefore important to define boundaries to the review, particularly in the light of the huge investment in such modelling in Europe and the US. Many environmental models that have only physico-chemical end-points (such as nitrate concentrations in rivers) are often described as 'ecological' models, in that they simulate both biotic and abiotic processes to derive their output. The wholesale inclusion of this type of model in this review would increase the number of models to be considered manyfold, making the study impossible with the budget available. In any case, reviews of physico-chemical models have recently been undertaken for the Agency in relation to various issues (such as agricultural pollution - see Mainstone *et al.* 1994).

Figure 1.1 indicates the mechanistic links between physico-chemical change and biological effects upon which this review has concentrated. Exceptions have been made for those models that couch physico-chemical end-points in terms of consequences for, or risks to, the biota (see Section 3.1). Models that deal with links between human activity and physico-chemical change are dealt with where they are known to have links to 'true' ecological models, thereby

1

permitting predictions of the biological effects of human activity. Socio-economic models, which allow changes in human activities (such as land use and resource exploitation) to be forecast, are dealt with in the same way.



Figure 1.1 Major links between human activities and ecological effects, showing the mechanistic links most relevant to the current review.

.

## 2. METHODS OF WORKING

Four main avenues of investigation were used, as outlined below.

- 1. A search of the published literature was conducted using relevant databases on the DIALOG host, including BIOSIS, Oceanic abstracts, Aquatic Sciences and Fisheries Abstracts, Water Resources Abstracts, Waternet and CAB Abstracts. The most productive source of information was the journal, Ecological Modelling, published by the International Society for Ecological Modelling and devoted entirely to the subject.
- 2. Key European information sources were investigated on the internet. The most informative were:
  - the UFIS database of ecological models (see Knorrenschild *et al.* 1996), administered by the University of Kiel in Germany and holding information on nearly 500 models from across the world, including relevant models held on the CAMASE Register of Agro-ecosystem models (also on the internet);
  - the European Commission's CORDIS homepage (Coordinated R&D Information Service), providing information on numerous R&D projects funded by the various directorates of the Commission.

The European Environment Agency's CDS homepage (Catalogue of Data Sources) was also investigated, but the system is as yet relatively unpopulated with information. Data input for this system is largely the responsibility of individual Member States; it may be useful in the future, but this will depend upon the enthusiasm of relevant organisations in each State.

3. Suitable European contacts were identified by the above search procedures (via relevant home pages on the internet) and through the European Topic Centre on Inland Waters (based at WRc and having a good knowledge of European research organisations). Efforts were focused on countries in north west Europe, where major research initiatives are concentrated and environmental conditions are most similar to the UK. All communications were via e-mail. A full list of those organisations and individuals contacted is given in Appendix A.

4 Information on relevant UK research was gained from existing contacts and a search of the World Wide Web home pages of key organisations, such as IFE, ITE, the Institute of Hydrology and the Plymouth Marine Laboratory. Web searches were followed up by e-mail with relevant research staff.

# 3. DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS

## 3.1 Summary of modelling approaches

Before discussing the models identified by the review, it is useful to consider model characteristics and how they relate to modelling objectives. The review revealed an enormous amount of effort being expended on ecological models across Europe, differing widely in the ecological issues covered, model design, complexity, purpose, mode of application and ease of applicability to new situations. Inevitably, all of these model characteristics are interlinked, in that model design and complexity are driven by the purpose of the model and the knowledge of the end user. Seemingly very relevant models can reveal themselves as highly complex with applications only in the detailed investigation of ecological processes, rather than the practical management of real systems or the pragmatic prediction of impacts upon them.

Ecological models represent a formal attempt to describe some components of the natural world with a mathematical function whose parameters can be adjusted so that the function closely describes a set of empirical data. A **mechanistic** model is usually based on well-understood biological, chemical and physical mechanisms, and its parameters have real world interpretations. By contrast, **statistical** or **empirical** models are statistical descriptions of ecological data, where a particular mathematical function is selected purely for its ability to best illustrate those empirical data. Extrapolation from mechanistic models usually (but not always) carries higher confidence than extrapolation using empirical models. However, the prediction of ecological change with empirical models often requires no extrapolation outside of the dataset upon which the model is based (as long as the environmental conditions that are being simulated exist somewhere and are included in the model). In practice, many ecological models are hybrid, with both mechanistic and empirical components.

A model that can describe the temporal change of an ecological system as a result of changes in external, 'forcing' environmental variables is termed a **dynamic** model. **Static** models are generally simpler, and represent relationships between internal model variables as a result of different, non-changing values of external environmental variables. While many potentially useful ecological models may not require computer implementation, the more complex, dynamic ecological models do require computer-based numerical techniques in order to solve the model equations.

Jorgensen (1994) provides a table of contrasting pairs of model qualities (Table 3.1) that is useful in that it contains the majority of terms that are likely to be encountered by Agency staff who may require the services of a model. The first pairing (research versus management) seems an ideal basis for selecting models for this review, but unfortunately available literature is not usually explicit about the purpose of a model. In any case, the division between the two is heavily blurred, since most models have some potential application to management even if it is not easy to realise in practice (owing to the costs of developing a software application and supporting it with data). In addition, model developers can be enthusiastic about the potential applicability of their model to management situations, if only to justify the heavy investment made in development.

A wide range of combinations of model characteristics is possible, making it difficult to generalise. However, <u>dynamic models</u> are typically <u>causal</u>, often complex and are more difficult to transfer to other situations, with more research applications than <u>management</u> applications. In contrast, <u>black-box models</u> tend to be <u>static</u>, simple and generic, geared more towards management than research. Dynamic, complex models may be the only valid approach to predicting the effects of some types of environmental change, which can present difficulties in terms of providing strategic forecasting with national relevance. An example of this is the effect of climate change, for which simple <u>black-box</u> models are difficult to build since the changes which may occur cannot easily be observed. In situations where complex models are appropriate, and extensive datasets are needed to parameterise and drive the model, the availability of a small number of representative case study datasets may help inform the decision-making process, while avoiding the high costs associated with developing additional site-specific implementations. Some dynamic models actually cover large geographical areas, such as the North Sea or English Channel (see Section 3.3.4), and in such cases model outputs may be adequate for strategic forecasting.

Many of the models used in environmental management today are statistical models. These are essentially of the black-box type, in that the models are developed using a general understanding of the processes affecting the model end-point, but these processes are not modelled mechanistically. Such models often use a relatively large dataset of observations and typically use techniques such as multiple regression analysis (such as HABSCORE), or cluster techniques followed by discriminant analysis (such as RIVPACS), or simple correlation analysis (such as some of the predictive systems for terrestrial vegetation change in relation to land use) to identify relationships between environmental parameters and the biological factors of interest that can be used for predicting change.

There is by no means a consensus within the modelling community as to which type of model is required to address a specific problem. Some theoretical modellers will argue that it is necessary to understand causal mechanisms fully before any meaningful predictions of ecological change can be made, and that therefore dynamic causal models should lie at the heart of environmental management. However, Scheffer and Beets (1994) point out that the parameterisation of complex causal models can lead to good results for the wrong reasons, thereby providing misleading information on causal mechanisms and giving false confidence in predictive capacity in new situations. Causal mechanisms are often extremely difficult to understand, and it is often the case that black-box, statistical models can provide satisfactory results in a less resource-intensive way.

Scheffer and Beets (1994) point to a perceived division between theoretical and applied ecological modellers, the former expounding the virtues of dynamic causal models and the latter focusing on black-box, generic models. Environmental managers are wise to be wary of the different modelling approaches that are available when considering models for their own purposes. The key is to decide upon the management questions that need to be answered and then choose the least complex model that will answer the questions at an appropriate level of

detail and reliability. Where a simple black-box model is based on a sound theoretical knowledge of the system being modelled, and the answers it provides are suitable for the management questions at hand, there is no need to look at higher model complexity. Complex models should only be considered when they are essential to address the management problem.

| Table 3.1 | Model characteristics listed in | n contrasting pairs | (after Jorgensen 1994) |
|-----------|---------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
|           |                                 |                     |                        |

| Model characteristic         | Description  |
|------------------------------|--|
| Research                     | Used as a research tool  |
| Management                   | Used as a management tool  |
| Deterministic                | Predicted values are computed exactly  |
| Stochastic                   | Predicted values depend on probability distributions associated with<br>model input variables and/or parameters  |
| Compartment                  | Defining variables quantified by time-dependent differential equations   |
| Matrix                       | Use matrices in the mathematical formulation   |
| Reductionistic<br>Holistic   | Include as many relevant details as possible<br>Use general principles   |
| Static<br>Dynamic            | Defining variables not dependent on time<br>Defining variables are a function of time (and/or perhaps space)   |
| Distributed<br>Lumped        | Parameters are considered as functions of time and space<br>Parameters are within prescribed spatial locations and time and are<br>considered constant |
| Linear<br>Non-linear         | First-degree equations are used consecutively<br>One or more of the equations are not first degree   |
| Causal<br>Black-box          | Inputs, states and outputs are inter-related using causal relations<br>The input disturbances affect only the output. No causality is<br>required.     |
| Autonomous<br>Non-autonomous | Derivatives are not explicitly dependent on time<br>Derivatives are explicitly dependent on time   |

Quite apart from the formal descriptions of modelling approaches given in Table 3.1, ecological models can be categorised in terms of the type of output, which is ultimately the most salient feature of a model to the end user. Here again, a number of categories can be distinguished, each of which may be appropriate to environmental forecasting depending upon circumstance. The models identified by this review can be divided into three categories of biological relevance, each of which is exemplified by a well-known model used in the UK:

- 1. those that can be used to predict change in communities, populations or the status of individual organisms as a result of physico-chemical changes to the environment (such as HABSCORE);
- 2. those that simulate changes in habitat conditions that relate directly to the requirements of target species or communities (such as PHABSIM);
- 3. those that predict physico-chemical change in relation to ecological thresholds or scales of risk that are derived from a knowledge of biological impact (such as models dealing with eutrophication risk, e.g. MINDER).

For many management applications, it might be considered important to be able to predict actual quantitative changes in the biological resource. Fish stocks are a particular case in point, where a range of human activities are dependent upon resource availability (e.g. angling and commercial fisheries). In other instances, it may be acceptable to assume a simple relationship between habitat availability and population size and use habitat as the end-point of a model. In yet other situations, particularly in the realm of water quality impacts, it may be sufficient to append ecological thresholds to ensure 'no-effect', or to use ecological risk categories. The type of effects likely to occur if a certain threshold is exceeded are known, but there is perhaps no need to model these effects directly.

Another important type of software that should be highlighted is that which brings ecological models together so that their use in management can be facilitated - this type of product might be termed 'umbrella software' (see Section 3.5). This may involve linking physico-chemical models to models with biological end-points, or bringing a range of ecological models together to cover a range of environmental issues within the same package. They are typically user-friendly Decision Support Systems (DSSs), but in their most sophisticated form they are integration platforms that provide detailed software links between models.

## **3.2** Discussion of review process

The review identified an almost bewildering array of ecological models in the broader sense (see Section 1.2), many of which are complete and publicly available. There is perhaps an emphasis on complex (often dynamic) models, many of which may have limited application to environmental management in England and Wales. The reason for this emphasis may be that much more theoretical modelling is being undertaken, or that simple, black-box models are just not prominently featured in available information sources. For instance, the journal Ecological Modelling seems to be more focused upon theoretical modelling of ecological processes, rather than applied modelling of human-induced ecological change. This said, it contains more applied models than many other environmental journals that publish papers on ecological modelling. Simplistic empirical models for management purposes may possibly be most often judged as 'too dirty' for inclusion in what are essentially academic publications.

Summary information on potentially relevant models identified by the review are given in Tables 3.2 to 3.6. No model identified by this review with a relevant biological end-point has been omitted from this list, in case there may be an application within the Agency (now or in the future) for which it may be suited. In the time available this cannot be considered an exhaustive search, particularly considering the enormous amount of modelling work being conducted, the wide remit of the review and the lack of response from some contacts in key organisations. However, it does provide a good starting point for the Agency in this area.

Particularly helpful contacts were found in **The Netherlands**, who have undertaken a good deal of relevant work on management-orientated modelling systems, including the decision-support system Nature Planner, which acts like an integration platform for ecological models covering a range of environmental issues (Section 3.5). Dutch organisations are also undertaking extensive modelling of the interactive effects of nutrients and toxicants on aquatic ecosystems (Kramer *et al.* 1997), a subject which has not really been addressed in the UK (generic models are not currently available).

A year-long review of simulation models of potential use in the management of the aquatic environment has just been completed in **France**. Unfortunately, the Agences de l'Eau (the funding body) will not release this report until the second phase of work has been completed, scheduled for this summer (see Appendix A for the relevant contact point). Contacts in **Sweden** revealed interesting collaborative work in Scandinavia to develop black-box predictive systems for unimpacted (reference) macroinvertebrate, fish and macrophyte communities, using similar techniques to those used for RIVPACS, but there do not seem to be plans to develop them further into predictors of environmental change.

Contact with the European Topic Centre for Nature Conservation revealed work underway on the development of a forecasting model based on indicators of biodiversity. This research is being led by the UK's ITE, but attempts to contact the project leader (Dr Barry Wyatt) by e-mail have been unsuccessful. The model is potentially highly relevant to the Agency and therefore needs to be investigated further.

The search of the internet identified the Projektzentrum Oekosystemforschung (PZO), a research initiative attached to the University of Kiel in **Germany**, which brings together 22 organisations across the country in collaborative ecosystem research. One of the main objectives of the initiative is to develop an information system that allows environmental impacts relating to human land use and the use of natural resources to be predicted. There are therefore close parallels with the objectives of the National Centre in terms of environmental forecasting. However, so far only one model seems to be under development that is relevant to this review (relating to the simulation of changes in wetland vegetation - see Section 3.3.3).

Most of the models identified use traditional modelling approaches, with very few examples of more modern techniques such as neural networks and genetic algorithms. In the future, such techniques are likely to become much more prevalent in ecological modelling (particularly applied modelling), since they are extremely flexible in their approach to identifying empirical relationships that can be used in predicting biological change.

A brief discussion of the models in Tables 3.2 to 3.6 is given in subsequent sections, divided into major environmental media (as are the tables). It should be noted that some models are multi-media and will appear in more than one table. Within the time available it has not been possible to undertake in-depth assessments of the relative merits and disadvantages of individual models, although some judgement as to their likely value to the Agency is made where possible. Appendix B provides more detailed descriptions of some of the models that would appear to be of most interest to the Agency (excluding ones that are already in operational use).

## 3.3 Models with aquatic/wetland biological end-points

### 3.3.1 Rivers

.

Various riverine models of potential interest have been identified by the review, including ones from Europe and the US and covering issues such as eutrophication risk, physical impacts and toxic effects (Table 3.2). Those models already in use within the Environment Agency (PHABSIM, RIVPACS and HABSCORE) are included in the table.

It is fair to say that **RIVPACS** is of little value in environmental forecasting in its current form, since it only predicts clean water communities and not communities under different levels of anthropogenic stress. Its current value in this area is restricted to the prediction of the effects of certain coarse physical changes, such as the removal of gravel substrates from a site. However, further versions of RIVPACS may be produced in the future which tackle the prediction of different types of impact (such as acidification and organic pollution). **HABSCORE** again makes predictions in relation to clean water conditions (this time of salmon and trout abundance), but uses detailed assessments of physical habitat quality in the predictive process. It can therefore be used to predict the effects of deteriorations in detailed physical habitat, although its use in this way at a strategic level is hampered by a lack of survey sites across England and Wales. **PHABSIM** can be used to predict the effects on habitat availability of reduced river flows, but again the data demands are quite high and the model is therefore only used in site-specific investigations. The establishment of a network of representative study sites across England and Wales for both HABSCORE and PHABSIM would help greatly in their use at a strategic level.

MINDER for Rivers and CECOSECOM deal with the prediction of eutrophication problems in rivers as a result of enhanced nutrient inputs. Both deal in eutrophication risk, rather than direct prediction of biological changes. **MINDER for Rivers** has been applied to the Don catchment in Scotland and adapted to utilise environmental data available in Eastern Europe. It was then applied to river systems in Romania, Slovakia and Hungary. However, it requires further refinement in terms of its use of pollutant export coefficients and the assessment of ecological risk. **CECOSECOM** (Coupled ECOlogical and Socio-ECOnomic Model) brings together the forecasting of human activities with the simulation of ecological consequences in order to analyse future scenarios of eutrophication risk, covering both river reaches and coastal areas associated with the Rhone River basin. It considers factors such as agricultural production, overall population, intensity of urbanisation and industrial activity, but the output parameters are unclear from available information. The project was scheduled to end in 1996 so it assumed that the model is complete.

**BINOCULARS** (Blogeochemical Nutrients Cycling in LArge River Systems) is another nutrient-based river model that is geared towards analysing land use scenarios in river basins. Although it is unclear from the information available how model outputs are expressed, it does consider impacts on the ecological functioning of the river as well as predicting changes in nutrient status. The aim of model development was to assist in the formulation of policies on integrated river basin management, and as such is of potential relevance to the Agency in environmental forecasting. It has been built on seven case study catchments in Europe (including the Axe).

| Model name      | Country<br>of origin                     | Model type  | Biota modelled   | Modes of impact addressed  | Status                                | Area/habitat<br>covered and<br>spatial scale | Ease of<br>application in<br>E&W                   | Reference                      |
|-----------------|--|---|--|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------|
| ALGAL<br>GROWTH | USA                                      | Compartment model   | Algae  | Effects of nitrates, phosphate, turbidity, pH,<br>temperature, ammonia, alkalinity and light on<br>algal growth. | Operational                           | Aquatic<br>ecosystems                        | Generic  | UFIS database                  |
| ASRAM           | Canada                                   | Empirical   | Atlantic salmon  | Recovery as low pH streams remedied  | Operational                           | River reaches                                | Generic  | Jørgensen <i>et al</i><br>1996 |
| BINOCULARS      | France/<br>Greece/UK/<br>Netherland<br>S | Mechanistic   | Ecological process in relation to nutrient cycling         | Eutrophication   | Presumed<br>operational               | River basins,<br>spatial resoln.<br>unclear. | Applicable   | EC CORDIS<br>homepage          |
| CECOSECOM       | France/UK                                | Coupled socio-<br>economic and<br>dynamic ecological<br>model | Lower trophic groups                                       | Eutrophication risk  | Presumed<br>operational               | River basin                                  | Specific to<br>Rhone Basin<br>and Gulf of<br>Lions | EC CORDIS<br>Homepage          |
| CemoS/water     | Germany                                  | Steady state<br>compartment model                             | Contaminant<br>concentrations in biota<br>(including fish) | Predicts exposure concentrations of hazardous chemicals  | Operational                           | river<br>ecosystems                          | Generic  | UFIS database                  |
| CL-CCE          | Netherland<br>s                          | Expert system   | Forest soils and<br>surface waters                         | Model calculates critical loads of acidity and sulphur   | Operational                           | Ecosystems -<br>areas of<br>variable size    | Generic  | UFIS database                  |
| ECOFATE         | Canada                                   | Dynamic (ordinary<br>differential equations)                  | Contaminant<br>concentrations in biota<br>- including fish | Ecological or human health risk of chemical emissions  | Operational<br>(ECOFATE<br>Home page) | Freshwater and marine ecosystems             | May be specific<br>to Canadian<br>species          | UFIS database                  |
| FGETS           | USA                                      | Compartment model<br>(ordinary differential<br>equations)     | Fish .   | Predicts time taken for body concentrations of toxins to reach lethal concentrations                             | Operational                           | Fish<br>populations                          | Generic  | UFIS database                  |

# Table 3.2 Summary information on models of interest relating to rivers

| Model name                            | Country<br>of origin | Model type  | Biota modelled  | Modes of impact addressed   | Status  | Area/habitat<br>covered and<br>spatial scale      | Ease of<br>application in<br>E&W | Reference  |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------|---|---|---|---|---|----------------------------------|--|
| HABSCORE                              | UK                   | Empirical   | Salmon and trout  | Physical changes in fish habitat  | Operational                                     | 100m reaches                                      | Already in use                   | Wyatt <i>et al.</i> 1995                             |
| MEDUSA                                | UK                   | Empirical   | Macrophytes,<br>invertebrates, fish,<br>birds                       | Ecological impact of changing acidity                                   | Under long-<br>term<br>development              | Welsh streams                                     | Applicable                       | Rundle et al. 1995                                   |
| MINDER For<br>Rivers                  | UK                   | Empirical   | Risks of algal growth   | Eutrophication  | Development<br>halted                           | Catchment-<br>scale                               | High                             | Woodrow et al.<br>1994                               |
| QUAL2EU<br>(enhancement<br>of QUAL2E) | USA                  | Can be operated as<br>either steady state or<br>dynamic | Algal productivity  | Effects of conventional pollutants on algal productivity                | Operational -<br>available<br>through US<br>EPA | Stream sections<br>and well mixed<br>lake systems | Generic                          | UFIS database<br>EPA Homepage                        |
| PHABSIM                               | USA                  | Empirical   | Salmonids and other target species                                  | Effect of flow regimes on habitats for selected species                 | Operational                                     | River stretches                                   | Already in use                   | Gustard and Elliott<br>(1997) for use in<br>UK       |
| RBM10                                 | USA                  | Dynamic   | phytoplankton,<br>zooplankton,<br>macrophytes, benthic<br>animals   | Ecosystem risk in relation to point and diffuse<br>sources              | Operational                                     | River Basins                                      | Generic                          | Jørgensen <i>et al</i><br>1996                       |
| RIVEG &<br>linked models              | Netherland<br>s      | Empirical   | Riparian vegetation<br>and key animal spp.                          | Positive effects of river rehabilitation                                | Under<br>development                            | River reaches                                     | Unknown                          | Duel et al. 1994                                     |
| RIVPACS                               | UK                   | Empirical   | Invertebrates   | Crude physical changes in substrate etc.                                | Operational                                     | Site-based but<br>national<br>database            | Aiready in use                   | Wright et al. 1984<br>and subsequent<br>developments |
| Trout mortality                       | Germany              | Empirical   | Brown trout   | Effects of turbid water and low oxygen concentration on trout mortality | Operational                                     | Rivers/lakes                                      | Generic                          | Jørgensen et al<br>1996                              |
| Flood<br>disturbance<br>model         | USA                  | Compartment model                                       | Food web (predator-<br>resistant grazing<br>insects, top predators) | Effects of flooding disturbance on food web interactions                | Operational                                     | river systems                                     | Applied<br>specifically in<br>US | Wootton et al 1996                                   |

.

•

.

QUAL2EU (see Appendix B for further details) is a US model that predicts the effects of toxicants on algal growth in both rivers and lakes. It has been integrated into an important USEPA modelling package called **BASINS** (see Section 3.5), which also includes the major non-point source model HSPF and the toxicity model TOXIROUTE. Models of algal growth in rivers have also been developed in the UK (e.g. Whitehead *et al.* 1997), although not to the point where a generic model is available. The river phytoplankton model RIVERSTRAHLER has been applied to several European rivers (e.g. Billen *et al* 1994), but would not be widely applicable in the UK without further development.

MEDUSA and ASRAM both deal with the prediction of the biological effects of acidification. MEDUSA (Models of Ecosystem Dynamics Under Stream Acidification), developed by the University of Wales under the Welsh Acid Waters Monitoring Programme, deals with various components of riverine communities including invertebrates, macrophytes, the density and survival of fish populations and the presence/absence of river birds. It comprises a family of empirical models based upon biological communities across a range of acidified and unimpacted sites. The models were produced in the same way as the RIVPACS package, sites being grouped biologically according to cluster analysis and then these groupings being explained by abiotic factors using multiple discriminant analysis. MEDUSA models can be linked to the physico-chemical acidification model MAGIC so that predictions of ecological change can be attempted based on changes in atmospheric emissions. Unfortunately, the reliability of model outputs is relatively low, particularly due to the inability of the models to accommodate transient acid events (which are known to heavily influence community structure). The Canadian model ASRAM deals with the more specific issue of salmon recovery following the application of measures to ameliorate acidification problems.

An alternative approach to the direct modelling of acidification impacts on the biological community is to use models of critical loads, which have been developed in the UK (by ITE) and elsewhere (such as **CL-CCE** in the Netherlands - see Appendix B for further details). These are based on the buffering capacity of catchment soils and levels of acidification that are likely to cause ecological damage. They are useful in terms of mapping the spatial distribution of problem areas, and provide a national-scale overview that is valuable for environmental forecasting.

Some models have been identified that deal with the accumulation of contaminants in biological organisms, one specifically aimed at river systems (CemoS/Water) and others aimed more widely at aquatic environments (ECOFATE in freshwater and marine environments and FGETS in fish populations generally). CemoS/Water is part of an extensive model package called CemoS (see model summary sheet in Appendix B), which aims to estimate exposure concentrations of hazardous chemicals in air, soil and water environments.

Only one model was identified that tackled the ecological effects of flooding on river systems (Wooton *et al.* 1996), developed and applied in the US and simulating the whole food web. The ecological importance of flood events needs to be better understood and integrated within water level management plans in England and Wales, but it is not possible to gauge the value of this particular model to the Agency from the limited information available.

Physical river rehabilitation is an increasingly important component of the Agency's work, but initiatives are currently rather piecemeal and not quantitatively integrated into catchment modelling activity (such as for flood defence). Models are being developed in the Netherlands for simulating the effectiveness of river and floodplain rehabilitation (Duel *et al.* 1994), including **RIVEG** for predicting the development of riparian vegetation, models of habitat suitability for key animal species, and an expert system (**MIMIKRI**) for storing and using existing ecological knowledge on a given river system. The model package deals with such issues as the creation of backwaters and changes in the frequency and duration of flooding, and is aimed at integrating river rehabilitation with flood defence and water quality planning.

### 3.3.2 Lakes

Ecological models specific to lakes (Table 3.3) are mostly targeted at eutrophication issues (such as PCLake, LAVSOE, LEEDS and PROTECH), some dealing only with eutrophication risk (in terms of threshold nutrient concentrations) and others being more complex and dealing with simulations of various biological components (such as phytoplankton, zooplankton, macrophytes and fish). However, there is also a range of toxicity models developed purely for lake systems (including TOXFATE, SIM-PEL and LERAM) as well as the more generally applicable models of aquatic toxicity (such as FGETS for fish populations).

The Dutch dynamic model **PCLake** (see Appendix B for further details) simulates changes in lake communities as a result of changes in nutrient loading, particularly focusing on shifts between the two alternative stable states of the lake ecosystem (one comprising phytoplankton-dominated turbid water and the other comprising clear water dominated by submerged macrophytes). Modelling of alternative stable states is now a key component of lake modelling in relation to eutrophication and restoration, and is not accommodated by traditional eutrophication models. PCLake is currently being linked to a water transport model DUFLOW so that catchment problems can be investigated (pers. comm. L. van Liere, the Dutch National Institute of Public Health and Environmental Protection - RIVM), and links to PCDitch (see Section 3.3.3) are also being developed.

**PROTECH** (developed by IFE) is currently used by the Environment Agency as its standard lake eutrophication model. This goes further than basic nutrient/chlorophyll regression analyses (e.g. OECD 1982), since it predicts chlorophyll levels associated with functional groups of phytoplankton throughout the year. It does this by updating information on growth conditions in 10 cm horizons throughout the water column. Thus, it is able to predict chlorophyll-a levels at any time of year and to predict what group of algae is likely to dominate. The downside of such a model is that it is data-hungry, requiring bathymetric, biological, hydrological, chemical and meteorological inputs. PROTECH may be used in conjunction with PACGAP - a simple expert system which uses data on lake morphology, biology, retention time and nutrient concentrations to predict the most suitable eutrophication control method(s).

|  | 1                    | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·           |   |  |                                 |  |                                  |   |
|--|----------------------|---|---|--|---------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|---|
| Model name                             | Country<br>of origin | Model type                                      | Biota modelled  | Modes of impact addressed  | Status                          | Area/habitat<br>covered and<br>spatial scale | Ease of<br>application in<br>E&W | Reference                                   |
| AQUAMOD                                | Italy                | Dynamic (ordinary<br>differential equations)    | Algae, macrophytes, zooplankton                                 | Effects of nutrient and oxygen fluxes  | Operational                     | Not specified                                | Generic                          | UFIS database<br>Hull and Lagonegro<br>1988 |
| AQUAMOD1,<br>AQUAMOD2<br>+<br>AQUAMOD3 | Czech<br>Republic    | Dynamic   | Phytoplankton and<br>zooplankton (fish<br>predation)            | Effects of water quality variables on production   | Operational                     | Lakes and reservoirs                         | Generic                          | Jørgensen et al 1996                        |
| ALGAL<br>GROWTH                        | USA                  | Compartment model                               | Algae   | Effects of nitrates, phosphate, turbidity, pH,<br>temperature, ammonia, alkalinity and light on<br>algal growth. | Operational                     | Aquatic -<br>ecosystems                      | Generic                          | UFIS database                               |
| FGETS                                  | USA                  | Compartment model                               | Fish  | Predicts time taken for body concentrations of toxins to reach lethal concentrations                             | Operational                     | Fish<br>populations                          | Generic                          | UFIS database                               |
| The Glumsø<br>model                    | Denmark              | Dynamic   | Phytoplankton and zooplankton                                   | Eutrophication   | Operational                     | Eutrofied<br>and/or shallow<br>lakes         | Generic                          | Jørgensen et al 1996                        |
| ICHORS                                 | Netherland<br>s      | Empirical                                       | Plant species   | Influence of chemical and hydrological factors<br>on species composition   | Operational '                   | Wetlands and<br>lakes                        | Generic                          | Hooghart and<br>Posthumus 1993              |
| LAVSOE                                 | Denmark              | Dynamic   | Algac   | Eutrophication   | Operational                     | Shallow<br>eutrophic takes                   | Generic                          | Jørgensen et al 1996                        |
| LEEDS                                  | Sweden               | Empirical<br>eutrophication<br>management model | Phytoplankton   | Effects of P on biomass  | Operational                     | Large lakes                                  | Generic                          | Jørgensen et al 1996                        |
| LERAM                                  | US                   | Complex, dynamic                                | Growth rates in<br>different<br>compartments of the<br>food web | Effects of chemical exposure   | Requires further<br>development | Lake systems<br>only                         | Generic                          | Hanratty and Stay<br>1994                   |

# Table 3.3 Summary information on models of interest relating to lakes

.

| Model name                        | Country<br>of origin | Model type  | Biota modelled                                     | Modes of impact addressed  | Status                               | Arca/habitat<br>covered and<br>spatial scale | Ease of<br>application in<br>E&W              | Reference                                  |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---|--|--|--------------------------------------|--|---|--|
| PCLake                            | Netherland<br>s      | Compartment,<br>mechanistic                               | Lake vegetation (algae<br>and higher plants)       | Effects of nutrient loading and management measures on species dominance               | Operational                          | Individual lakes                             | Generic and<br>relevant to UK<br>communities  | Janse 1997                                 |
| PROTECH                           | UK                   |   |  |  |                                      |  |   |  |
| SIM-PEL                           | Germany              | Compartment model   | Algae/fish   | Effects of environmental factors (temp and radiation) and xenobiotics on biomass       | Operational                          | Lake pelagic<br>area                         | Generic                                       | UFIS database                              |
| SALMO                             | Germany              | dynamic   | Phytoplankton and zooplankton                      | Effects of water quality variations on productivity                                    | Operational                          | Lakes and<br>reservoirs                      | Generic and<br>applicable to<br>UK            | Jørgensen et al 1996                       |
| SAM                               | Germany              | Dynamic   | Phytoplankton (S<br>taxa), zooplankton (S<br>taxa) | Effects of nutrients and pesticides on Phyto-<br>and zooplankton populations           | Cannot be<br>purchased at<br>present | Ponds  | Generic                                       | Jørgensen et al 1996                       |
| TOXFATE                           | Canada               | Compartment model<br>(ordinary differential<br>equations) | Phytoplankton,<br>zooplankton, fish                | Toxic accumulation of pollutants   | Operational ,                        | Completely<br>mixed lakes                    | Generic                                       | UFIS database<br>Halfon <i>et al.</i> 1984 |
| 3DWFGAS                           | Finland              | Dynamic   | Phytoplankton,<br>zooplankton,<br>zoobenthos, fish | Effects of water quality changes   | Operational                          | Natural and artificial lakes                 | Applicable<br>(covers lakes in<br>N&C Europe) | Jørgensen et al 1996                       |
| Ecological risk simulation models | Germany              | Stochastic and compartment models                         | Plankton communities                               | Ecological risk of toxins  | Operational                          | Plankton<br>communities in<br>lakes          | Generic                                       | Hommen et al 1993                          |
| Lake<br>eutrophication<br>model   | Denmark              | Simple  | Phytoplankton,<br>zooplankton, fish                | Effects of N, P and climate  | Operational                          | Lakc<br>ecosystems                           | Generic                                       | Jørgensen et al 1996                       |
| Lake fishery<br>management        | Denmark              | Not specified   | Fish (3 species)                                   | Effects of climate, available food and water quality on fish growth rate and mortality | Operational                          | Lakes  | Generic                                       | Jørgensen et al 1996                       |

-

.

The forecasting of blue-green algal problems is a particularly important issue for the Agency. PROTECH is able to predict the likely times of dominance and the standing crops of the functional groups containing toxic blue-green algae, but modelling of toxin production is altogether different. There is a two-thirds chance of a potentially toxic blue-green algal bloom being toxic, but the factors controlling toxin production appear not to be sufficiently well understood for the process to be accurately modelled, although such models have been attempted. However the effects of light-driven buoyancy on blue-green algal populations (mediated by gas vacuole inflation/deflation) have been successfully modelled (albeit in a nutrient-saturated isothermal water column - Belov and Giles 1997). This model requires further validation and a user-friendly front end before it could be used by non-modellers, but the general model of Belov and Wiltshire (1995) could be very useful in predicting the likely effect of mixing system operation on blue-green algal populations, and hence be of use to both the Environment Agency and water companies.

LERAM (Littoral Ecosystem Risk Assessment Model) is a relatively complex compartment model that simulates the effects of various chemicals on the growth rates of different sectors of the biological community (as functional groups). However, the model uses only acute toxicity data and assumes a linear exposure-response relationship. Further development is required to improve the way in which the model deals with toxic effects (van Wensum 1997). SIM-PEL is a similar model, focusing on pelagic areas of lakes and dealing with toxic effects on biomass in different biological compartments. TOXFATE is yet another compartmental food web model addressing toxic exposure, but only considers bioaccumulation rather than additionally relating body burdens to toxic effect.

## 3.3.3 Wetlands

Large amounts of effort have been invested in wetland modelling by organisations in the Netherlands, particularly in relation to the effects of anthropogenic alterations to the height of the water table. Table 3.4 is dominated by Dutch models, some of which appear to overlap heavily in the nature of their application.

- ICHORS (Influence of Chemical and Hydrological factors On the Response of Species) uses site-specific data on the distribution of plant species and various (about 25) associated abiotic variables (such as water depth above or below ground level, pH and soil/sediment content of parameters including magnesium and bicarbonate), computing probability functions for selected plant species in relation to the most important abiotic factors (selected by multiple regression). In this way, it may be compared to the PHABSIM model for rivers, with its probability functions being similar to Habitat Suitability Curves. Unfortunately, the large number of parameters it uses makes it expensive to apply, and when scenario-testing many parameters are very difficult to predict.
- WSN (Water-Site-Nature conservation value) is based on the first Dutch ecohydrological model WAFLO (WAter-FLOra) and concentrates on water management issues. It uses Ellenburg's scales of wetland character, which are based on soil moisture, acidity and nutrient availability and are applied to individual wetland plant species. These are used to identify ecological species groups (together with potential conservation value) under

1

different combinations of the three abiotic variables, and physico-chemical models are used to predict changes in the abiotic environment. Where predictions of abiotic change take a site from one species group to another, the site is reclassified and a new potential conservation value is assigned.

- MOVE (Model for the Vegetation see summary sheet in Appendix B) combines the statistical approach of ICHORS with Ellenburg's indicator values. The Ellenburg scales are calibrated to abiotic site factors, such as soil moisture and pH, which are predicted in scenario-testing using dynamic models such as SMART2. MOVE and SMART2 are both incorporated into the decision support system Nature Planner (Section 3.5).
- DEMNAT-2.1 (see summary sheet in Appendix B) is a national-scale model that uses national datasets on soils, groundwater and plant species distributions to develop empirical relationships that are then used in scenario-testing, considering changes in soil moisture conditions, nutrient availability, acidity and salinity.

The two models of greatest apparent applicability are DEMNAT and MOVE, with the former seeming to be of more utility in terms of environmental forecasting simply due to its large scale of application. This allows more strategic, national-level predictions of change, although it does rely on certain national datasets being available in an appropriate format. Although both models are used by RIVM to analyse water management scenarios, there is some concern that MOVE is not ready for operational use (pers. comm. F. Witte, RIVM). A pc version of DEMNAT has recently been produced which is to be sent to the regional water boards of the Netherlands for operational applications.

The Projektzentrum Oekosystemforschung in Germany is developing a similar modelling approach for managing wet grasslands (Schrautzer *et al.* 1996), consisting of an expert system, a database of 1300 sites and autecological information on 200 plant species. Rules concerning autecological preferences, vegetation types and likely succession stages are built into the expert system and used to simulate likely biological changes as a result of possible alterations to environmental conditions. Whilst the system seems to be more orientated towards determining what changes are required to achieve a pre-defined target vegetation type, it can presumably be used to determine what floristic changes would occur if environmental conditions were altered in different ways. It differs from Dutch models in having no abiotic modelling capability (although this is planned for the future), and is largely geared to identifying meadow restoration options.

**PCDitch** (see Appendix B for further details) is a Dutch model that has been developed to simulate the adverse consequences of nutrient enrichment in the drainage networks typically associated with wetland systems. Enrichment of ditch systems, and the consequent loss of the typically diverse assemblages of submerged macrophytes, is an important issue and one that has been poorly researched to date. This model, whilst requiring further development, provides an opportunity to place ditch management on a more objective footing.

# Table 3.4 Summary information on models of interest relating to freshwater wetlands (including ditch systems)

| Model name | Country of<br>origin  | Model type  | Biota modelled                                     | Modes of impact addressed  | Status                   | Area/habitat<br>covered and<br>spatial scale              | Ease of<br>application in<br>E&W                       | Reference                                  |
|------------|---|---|--|--|--------------------------|---|--|--|
| BERI       | Netherlands/<br>UK/Finland/<br>Switzerland/<br>Sweden/Italy | Process-oriented                                  | Plant communities in bogs                          | Effects of atmospheric CO <sub>2</sub> composition, N<br>deposition and climate on plant biodiversity,<br>C-sequestration and methane emission | Under<br>development     | Bog areas   | Applicable to bogs<br>across Europe                    | EC CORDIS<br>homepage                      |
| CALM       | USA   | Spatially explicit<br>dynamic simulation<br>model | Algae, periphyton,<br>macrophytes,<br>consumers    | Effects of varying hydrological and nutrient regimes on landscapes   | Recently<br>developed    | Landscapes (0.25<br>km <sup>2</sup> cells)                | Developed for<br>Everglades - may<br>not be applicable | UFIS database                              |
| DEMNAT-2.1 | Netherlands   | Empirical   | Wetland plant spp.                                 | Impact of hydrological changes on vegetation type  | Operational <sup>4</sup> | Regional  | Generic and relevant to UK spp.                        | Witte 1998                                 |
| ELM        | USA   | Spatially explicit<br>dynamic simulation<br>model | algae, periphyton,<br>macrophytes and<br>consumers | Landscape responses to varying hydrological<br>and nutrient regimes  | Under<br>development     | Landscap <del>e</del> s                                   | Possibly too specific<br>(Everglades model)            | UFIS database                              |
| ICHORS     | Netherlands   | Empirical   | Plant species                                      | Influence of chemical and hydrological factors<br>on species composition   | Operational              | Wetlands and<br>lakes                                     | Generic and<br>relevant to UK spp.                     | Hooghart and<br>Posthumus 1993             |
| MOVE       | Netherlands   | Empirical   | Vegetation   | Effects of stresses imposed by different<br>environmental problems e.g. nutrient<br>availability, soil moisture                                | Operational              | Geographical<br>units                                     | Generic and relevant to UK spp.                        | Cited in Hooghart<br>and Posthumus<br>1993 |
| PCDitch    | Netherlands   | Compartment,<br>mechanistic                       | Ditch vegetation<br>(algae and higher<br>plants)   | Eutrophication   | Under<br>development     | Site-level  | Generic and<br>relevant to UK<br>communities           | Janse (In press)                           |
| SIMO-NEW   | Netherlands   | Dynamic   | River marginal<br>wetland                          | Effects of athropogenic activities on wetland functioning  | Operational              | "units" within a<br>wetland as well as<br>wetland "sites" | European wetlands                                      | Jørgensen et al 1996                       |
| WSN        | Netherlands   | Empirical   | Plant species                                      | Soil wetness, nitrogen, pH   | Operational              | Sites or catchment level                                  | Generic and relevant to UK spp.                        | Kemmers 1993                               |

|  |  |   |   |   | NC   | CRAOA Report 1  | Vo. 3  |
|--|--|---|---|---|--|---|--|
|  |  |   |   |   |  |   | e i i i i i  |
| Country of<br>origin                     | Model typ <del>e</del>   | Biota modelled  | Modes of impact addressed   | Status  | Area/habitat .<br>covered and<br>spatial scale   | Ease of<br>application in<br>E&W  | Reference  |
| UK/<br>Netherlands/<br>Sweden/<br>Greece | Wetland management<br>model type not<br>specified  | Wetland ecosystems  | Effects of different use and preservation options on wetland ecosystems   | Under<br>development  | wetland areas  | Applicable to<br>wetlands within the<br>EU  | EC CORDIS<br>homepage  |
| Germany                                  | Empirical  | Wet grassland plants  | Effects of changes in abiotic conditions  | Under<br>development  | Site-based but can<br>be applied rapidly   | Generic and<br>relevant to UK spp.  | Schrautzer 1996  |
| Netherlands                              | Dynamic  | Vegetation  | Effect of nutrients and organic matter on vegetation type   | Operational   | Landscapes   | Generic   | Jørgensen <i>ei al</i> 19  |
|  |  | p =   |   |   |  |   | ·  |
|  | Country of<br>origin<br>UK/<br>Netherlands/<br>Sweden/<br>Greece<br>Germany<br>Netherlands | Country of<br>originModel typeUK/<br>Netherlands/<br>Sweden/<br>GreeceWetland management<br>model type not<br>specifiedGermanyEmpiricalNetherlandsDynamic | Country of<br>originModel typeBiota modelledUK/<br>Netherlands/<br>Sweden/<br>GreeceWetland management<br>model type not<br>specifiedWetland ecosystemsGermanyEmpiricalWet grassland plantsNetherlandsDynamicVegetation | Country of<br>origin       Model type       Biota modelled       Modes of impact addressed         UK/<br>Netherlands/<br>Sweden/<br>Greece       Wetland management<br>model type not<br>specified       Wetland ecosystems       Effects of different use and preservation<br>options on wetland ecosystems         Germany       Empirical       Wet grassland plants       Effects of changes in abiotic conditions         Netherlands       Dynamie       Vegetation       Effect of nutrients and organic matter on<br>vegetation type | Country of<br>origin       Model type       Biota modelled       Modes of impact addressed       Status         UK/<br>Netherlands/<br>Sweden/<br>Greece       Wetland management<br>model type not<br>specified       Wetland ecosystems       Effects of different use and preservation<br>options on wetland ecosystems       Under<br>development         Germany       Empirical       Wet grassland plants       Effects of changes in abiotic conditions       Under<br>development         Netherlands       Dynamic       Vegetation       Effect of nutrients and organic matter on<br>vegetation type       Operational | Country of<br>origin       Model type       Biota modelled       Modes of impact addressed       Status       Area/habitat<br>covered and<br>spatial scale         UK/<br>Netherlands/<br>Swedar/<br>Greece       Wetland management<br>model type not<br>specified       Wetland ecosystems       Effects of different use and preservation<br>options on wetland ecosystems       Under<br>development       wetland areas         Germany       Empirical       Wet grassland plants       Effects of changes in abiotic conditions       Under<br>development       Site-based but can<br>be applied rapidty         Netherlands       Dynamic       Vegetation       Effect of nutrients and organic matter on<br>vegetation type       Operational       Landscapes | Country of<br>origin       Model type       Biota modelled       Modes of impact addressed       Status       Area/habitat<br>covered and<br>spatial scale       Ease of<br>application in<br>E&W         UK/<br>Netherlands/<br>Sveder/<br>Greece       Wetland management<br>model type not<br>specified       Wetland ecosystems       Effects of different use and preservation<br>options on wetland ecosystems       Under<br>development       wetland areas       Applicable to<br>wetlands within the<br>EU         Germany       Empirical       Wet grassland plants       Effects of changes in abjotic conditions       Under<br>development       Site-based but can<br>be applied rapidly       Generic and<br>relevant to UK spp.         Netherlands       Dynamie       Vegetation       Effect of nutrients and organic matter on<br>vegetation type       Operational       Landscapes       Generic |

## 3.3.4 Estuaries and coastal waters

,

The review has identified a number of models dealing with the simulation of phytoplankton and/or macroalgal populations that are of potential use in forecasting Man-induced change. These include a predictive model for *Phaeocystis* blooms, which are a major feature of coastal areas of England and Wales. Phytoplankton simulation models have been developed in Norway, France, Germany and Italy, whilst EROS 2000 is a collaborative European model that deals with eutrophication in coastal areas. COHERENS is a management-orientated dynamic model of coastal ecosystems, developed by Belgium and the UK, that is particularly worthy of further investigation (see Appendix B for further details).

**ERSEM** and **MIKE21** EU both simulate carbon and nutrient cycling processes through the food web in the North Sea. Their applicability to the analysis of eutrophication problems has been compared by Baretta *et al.* (1994), concluding that both are relevant at different geographical scales. MIKE21 EU has a high spatial resolution (18.5x18.5 km), whereas ERSEM is mechanistically more complex (with 70 state variables) but of coarse spatial resolution. MIKE21 EU is therefore more appropriate for identifying problem areas, whilst ERSEM is better suited to analysing the mechanisms and nature of likely changes. Further details of the ERSEM model are provided in Appendix B.

The EU-funded JEEP-92 (Joint European Estuarine Project) Project has produced a model of ecological processes in European estuaries that may be of value in environmental forecasting. The ecological model **MOSES** simulates basic pelagic and benthic processes relevant to carbon and nutrient cycling, including primary production, degradation processes, zooplankton grazing and macrobenthic feeding (Heip and Herman 1995). The model is said to be sufficiently generic to apply easily to other estuaries, and has potential applications in simulating the impacts of possible future changes in the loads of organic material and nutrients. MOSES is linked to a hydrodynamic model that calculates loads to the system.

Several OECD-type empirical models (linking chlorophyll-a levels to nutrient concentrations) have been produced for coastal waters (e.g. Lack *et al* 1990, Gowen and Ezzi 1992, Gowen *et al* 1992, Giovanardi and Tromellini 1992), the first of which includes the use of site-specific flushing factors to account for phytoplankton loss from dynamic systems. This substantially improved the accuracy of the model for use in Hong Kong coastal waters, but the approach is not known to have been used in estuaries.

The loss of eel-grass beds is of concern in some UK coastal areas, and a European model has been developed under the EU 3rd Framework Programme to simulate the mechanisms causing transition from *Ulva* (sea lettuce) to eel-grass that may be of value to the Agency.

Few models of anthropogenic impact exist that deal with mudflats, despite the high ecological importance of mudflat ecosystems. ECOFLAT is a collaborative European model that simulates the ecological effects of global environmental change, and may be of future use to the Agency.

.

| Model name | Country of<br>origin                    | Model type  | Biota modelled   | Modes of impact addressed  | Status                                | Area/habitat<br>covered and<br>spatial scale  | Ease of<br>application in<br>E&W                | Reference   |
|------------|---|---|--|--|---------------------------------------|---|---|---|
| CECOSECOM  | France/UK                               | Coupled socio-<br>economic and<br>dynamic ecological<br>model | Lower trophic groups   | Eutrophication risk  | Presumed<br>operational               | River basin                                   | Specific to Rhone<br>Basin and Gulf of<br>Lions | EC CORDIS<br>Homepage                                   |
| COHERENS   | Betgium/UK                              | Integrated 3-D<br>dynamic model                               | Pelagic and benthic<br>ecosystems                                  | Diagnostic and prognostic management tool<br>(including bloom formation)   | Under<br>development                  | Marginal seas<br>and ocean<br>basins          | Highly applicable                               | EC CORDIS<br>homepage                                   |
| ECOFATE    | Canada                                  | Dynamic (ordinary<br>differential equations)                  | Contaminant<br>concentrations in biota<br>- including fish         | Ecological or human health risk of chemical emissions  | Operational<br>(ECOFATE<br>Home page) | Freshwater and<br>marine<br>ecosystems        | May be specific<br>to Canadian<br>species       | UFIS database<br>Gobas 1992                             |
| ECOFLAT    | Netherlands/<br>UK/Belgium/<br>France   | Dynamic, mechanistic<br>model                                 | General mudflat<br>ecosystems                                      | Prediction of ecological consequences of global change   | Under<br>development                  | Estuarine<br>intertidai<br>mudflats           | Applicable                                      | EC CORDIS<br>homepage                                   |
| ECOWIN     | Portugal                                | Object-orientated<br>ecological box model                     | Phytoplankton/bentho<br>s,<br>zooplankton/benthos                  | Impact of a range of physical/chemical variables on communities  | Operational                           | Estuaries<br>(present<br>application)         | Generic   | Ferreira 1995   |
| ELISE      | France                                  | Box model   | Phytoplankton  | Effects of hydrodynamics, climatic conditions<br>and biological factors on biogeochemical<br>processes   | Operational                           | Bay of Brest                                  | Generic   | Lepape and<br>Menesguen 1997                            |
| EROS 2000  | France/UK/<br>Ireland/Spain<br>/Belgium | Dynamic   | Biogeo-chemical and<br>ecological flux models<br>- eutrophication  | Long-term influences of man-induced changes<br>in inputs of organic and inorganic compounds  | Operational                           | Land/ocean<br>interface                       | Applicable                                      | EC CORDIS<br>homepage                                   |
| ERSEM      | Denmark                                 | Dynamic, complex  | Carbon and nutrient<br>cycling within<br>ecosystem<br>compartments | Eutrophication   | Operational                           | Regional seas<br>(North Sea),<br>coarse scale | Applicable                                      | EC CORDIS<br>homepage,<br>Radford and<br>Blackford 1996 |
| HEAVY ROCK | Norway                                  | Empirical   | Benthic algae<br>(Ascophyllium<br>nodosum)                         | Uptake of heavy metals (Cu, Zn) in<br>Ascophyllium nodosum as a function of<br>growth rate, mortality and concentration of<br>meal in ambient medium | Operational                           | Rocky shores                                  | Applicable<br>(species found in<br>UK)          | Jørgensen <i>et al</i> 1996                             |

÷ 1.

|           | •      |               |             |                     |                |                    |
|-----------|--------|---------------|-------------|---------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Table 3.5 | Summar | y information | on models a | of interest relatin | g to estuaries | and coastal waters |

.

.

| Model name  | Country of<br>origin | Model type                      | Biota modelled  | Modes of impact addressed   | Status                                | Area/habitat<br>covered and<br>spatial scale | Ease of<br>application in<br>E&W          | Reference                   |
|---|----------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|---------------------------------------|--|---|-----------------------------|
| MIKE21 EU   | Denmark              | Dynamic                         | Carbon/nutrient<br>cycling in lower<br>trophic levels | Eutrophication  | Operational                           | High resolution,<br>applied to North<br>Sea  | Applicable to<br>North Sea                | Baretta et al. 1994         |
| MOSES   | Netherlands          | Dynamic, complex                | Pelagic/benthic<br>processes in estuaries             | Changes in organic loading  | Operational                           | Individua <del>l</del><br>estuaries          | Reasonably generic                        | Heip and Herman<br>1995     |
| SEABIRD   | Norway               | Not specified                   | Seabirds  | Impact of oil spills on the distribution,<br>abundance and recovery of seabird<br>populations                         | Operational                           | Coastal areas                                | Applicable                                | Jørgensen et al 1996        |
| SENSI   | Denmark              | Dynamic                         | Brown algae (Fucus<br>vesiculosus)                    | Quantification (estimates) of the<br>concentrations and turnover of radioactive<br>metal contaminants by a brown alga | Operational                           | Vicinity of<br>radioactive<br>effluent       | Applicable<br>(species found in<br>UK)    | Jørgensen <i>et al</i> 1996 |
| Model of 1 <sup>C</sup><br>production - Cen.<br>North Sea | Germany              | Dynamic                         | Phytoplankton   | Effects of physical, chemical and biological (grazing) on standing crop   | Operational                           | Upper layer<br>model - central<br>North sea  | Applicable                                | Jorgensen et al 1996        |
| Fjord ecosystem<br>model                                  | UK                   | Dynamic                         | Pelagic ecosystem                                     | Effects of marine farming and other activities<br>on productivity   | Operational                           | Fjords and<br>associated<br>coastal areas    | Applicable to<br>Western British<br>Isles | Jørgensen et al 1996        |
| Oyster  | USA                  | Dynamic/                        | Oysters   | Simulation of radionuclide concentrations in  | Operational                           | Vicinity of                                  | Generic                                   | Jørgensen et al 1996        |
| bioaccumulation<br>model                                  |                      | stochastic                      |   | oysters maintained in the effluent of a nuclear<br>plant  |                                       | radioactive<br>effluent                      |   |                             |
| Phytoplankton<br>simulation model                         | Norway               | Empirical                       | Phytoplankton:<br>diatoms and<br>flagellates          | Effects of light and anthropogenic nutrients on populations   | Operational                           | Coastal areas                                | Generic                                   | Aksnes et al 1995           |
| Ecological model<br>- English<br>Channel                  | France               | Dynamic ecological<br>box model | Phytoplankton:diatom<br>s and dinoflagellates         | Biogeochemical cycles of elements limiting<br>primary production  | Operational                           | Shelf scas                                   | Generic                                   | Ménesguen and<br>Hoch 1997  |
| Predictive model<br>to assess impact<br>of waste dumping  | UK                   | Empirical model                 | Demersal fish and<br>benthic fauna                    | Impact of waste dumping on marine ecosystems  | Operational<br>(developed in<br>1985) | Coastal regions                              | Applicabl <del>e</del>                    | EC CORDIS<br>homepage       |

|                                       |                            |                    |                          |   |             | NC   | CRAOA Report                          | ' No. 3               |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|---|-------------|--|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Model name                            | Country of<br>origin       | Model type         | Biota modelled           | Modes of impact addressed   | Status      | Area/habitat<br>covered and<br>spatial scale | Ease of<br>application in<br>E&W      | Referenc              |
|                                       |                            |                    |                          |   |             |  |                                       |                       |
| Predictive<br>eutrophication<br>model | Belgium                    | Dynamic            | Algae (Phaeocystis)      | Effects of nutrient fluxes on <i>Phaeocystis</i> dynamics   | Operational | Coastal areas                                | Applicable<br>(Southern North<br>Sea) | EC CORDIS<br>homepage |
|                                       |                            |                    |                          |   |             |  |                                       |                       |
| Marine universal<br>structural model  | Denmark/<br>Portugal/Italy | Dynamic            | Macrophyt <del>e</del> s | Factors responsible for structural dynamic changes (transition from Ulva to Eel grass)                    | Operational | Shallow marine<br>waters                     | Generic                               | EC CORDIS<br>homepage |
| Ecosystem model<br>- North Adriatic   | Italy/UK -                 | Not specified      | Algac                    | Effects of increases in nutrient supply and<br>climatic factors on algal growth and mucilage<br>formation | Operational | River basins<br>and marginal<br>seas         | Generic                               | EC CORDIS<br>homepage |
|                                       |                            |                    |                          |   |             |  |                                       |                       |
| Mercury-<br>pollution                 | Denmark                    | Steady state model | Phytoplankton, fish      | Effects of mercury discharges and climatic fuctors on accumulation in estuarine                           | Operational | Estuaries                                    | Generic                               | Jørgensen et a        |

## 3.4 Models with terrestrial biological end-points

The review has identified some advanced management-orientated systems for strategic ecological prediction in the terrestrial environment, involving the linkage of physico-chemical and biological models and even economic models. In many cases the model component with the biological end-point is an associative (empirical) model linking plant species occurrence with habitat type, in much the same way as many of the wetland models outlined in Section 3.3.3 operate. There are also numerous complex dynamic models that are aimed at predicting the impacts of climate change and other factors on natural and semi-natural vegetation, including grasslands and forest stands.

ITE undertake modelling of changes in terrestrial communities as a result of land use change, using a matrix-based associative model to predict the likelihood of occurrence of species and species assemblages. This involves the use of national digital datasets of vegetation types and species distributions (at 1 km resolution) and works within the decision support system NELUP (see Section 3.5). Such modelling is being taken further, into the effects of factors such as habitat fragmentation, under the ITE's LANDECONET programme (LANDscape-ECOlogical NETwork).

There are numerous models dealing with the impact of climate change on terrestrial vegetation, some coarsely lumped and relating to the global scale (such as HYBRID, FBM, IMAGE and HRBM), some to the regional scale (GVM, CARBON and CENTURY), others to individual forest areas (such as ECOCRAFT, CARDYN, TEMFES and BIOME-BGC) and one at the level of the individual tree (TREGRO). Other models incorporate simulation of the effects of both climate change and land use change, such as the UK model MEDRUSH and the German/US model SWIM (see Appendix B for more details on both models).

One model funded by the EU 3rd Framework programme and developed collaboratively by the UK and France is aimed at simulating the abiotic conditions required for the development of woody riparian vegetation. The re-establishment of floodplain woodlands is a highly topical subject at present in England and Wales, and modelling to predict changes in the floodplain environment that would affect the success of such woodland is of potential value to the Agency.

Various models deal with the exposure of terrestrial organisms to hazardous substances and subsequent accumulation in biological tissues, including a number of models in the German **CemoS** package and another German model, **PLANTX. CATS** (Contaminants in Aquatic and Terrestrial Systems) is a complex, process-orientated Dutch model that deals with the accumulation of chemicals in the food web, divided into a number of functional groups. Whilst toxic effects are not simulated, they could be predicted by relating toxicological data to information on threshold tissue concentrations. **ACAC** and **PEF** simulate exposure of foraging animals as a result of soil and hence soil invertebrate contamination, with ACAC dealing with individuals and PEF focusing on populations.

# Table 3.6Summary information on models of interest relating to terrestrial ecosystems

| Model name   | Country of<br>origin | Model type  | Biota modelled   | Modes of impact addressed   | Status                                 | Area/habitat<br>covered and spatial<br>scale                                | Ease of<br>application in<br>E&W | Reference                                  |
|--------------|----------------------|---|--|---|--|---|----------------------------------|--|
| ACAC         | USA                  | Simple  | Foraging animal species  | Uptake of hazardous chemicals through foodweb                                       | Operational                            | Single contaminated sites   | Unknown                          | Freshman and<br>Menzie 1996                |
| BIOME-BGC    | USA                  | Compartment model   | Forests  | Effects of climate change   | Operational                            | Forests   | Generic                          | UFIS database<br>Hunt et al. 1996          |
| CARDYN       | Belgium              | Compartment model   | Forest stands  | Impact of climate change on carbon fluxes   | Operational                            | Forests   | Generic                          | UFIS database<br>Veroustracte 1994         |
| CARBON       | Netherlands          | Compartment model<br>(ordinary differential<br>equations) | Woody and<br>herbaceous vegetation<br>(6 types)                            | General carbon cycle model  | Operational                            | Global/regional   | Generic                          | UFIS database                              |
| CATS         | Netherlands          | Compartment model   | Bioaccumulation<br>within different<br>compartments of the<br>food web     | Exposure to chemicals   | Presumed<br>still under<br>development | Covers habitats such as<br>grassland, scrub, forest<br>and aquatic habitats | Generic                          | Traas and<br>Aldenberg 1992                |
| CemoS/Chain  | Germany              | Compartment model   | Chemical degradation<br>and accumulation in<br>consumers/producers         | Exposure to environmental chemicals   | Operational                            | Non-spatial   | Generic                          | UFIS database                              |
| CemoS/Level1 | Germany              | Compartment model   | Steady state<br>distribution of<br>chemicals in plants,<br>fish, soil etc. | Exposure concentration estimates of<br>environmentally hazardous chemicals          | Operational                            | Ecosystems  | Generic                          | UFIS database                              |
| CemoS/Plant  | Germany              | Compartment model   | Plants   | Exposure concentration estimates of environmentally hazardous chemicals             | Operational                            | Organism  | Generic                          | UFIS database                              |
| CENTURY      | USA                  | Compartment model<br>(ordinary differential<br>equations) | Grasslands and agro-<br>ecosystems   | Impact of regional climate change on a variety<br>of important grassland ecosystems | Operational                            | Regional  | Generic                          | UFIS database<br>Parton <i>et al.</i> 1993 |
| CL-CCE       | Netherlands          | Expert system   | Forest soils and surface waters  | Model calculates critical loads of acidity and sulphur                              | Operational                            | Ecosystems - areas of variable size   | Generic                          | UFIS database                              |

| Model name                               | Country of<br>origin                 | Model type   | Biota modelled  | Modes of impact addressed  | Status                                      | Area/habitat<br>covered and spatial<br>scale               | Ease of<br>application in<br>E&W                      | Reference                                  |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|---|--|---|--|---|--|
|  |                                      | •  |   |  |   |  |   |  |
| ECOCRAFT                                 | UK & 8<br>collaborating<br>countries | Process-based,<br>deterministic                                | European trees  | Impacts of rising CO <sub>2</sub> and temperature on forest stands   | Under<br>development                        | Forest stands  | Applicable (UK<br>lead project)                       | EC CORDIS<br>homepage                      |
| EXPECT                                   | Netherlands                          | Modelling system<br>comprising dynamic<br>and empirical models | Forests and heathlands  | Effect of environmental policy scenarios on acidification, growth and overfertilization                              | Operational<br>but extension<br>work halted | Regional (districts)                                       | Developed for the<br>Netherlands                      | Bakema <i>et al</i> 1994                   |
| FBM<br>(Frankfurt<br>Biosphere<br>model) | Germany                              | Compartment model  | 32 vegetation types   | Seasonal and long term carbon dynamics<br>(exchange between terrestrial ecosystems and<br>atmosphere                 | Operational                                 | Global/regional  | Generic   | Jørgensen <i>et al</i> 1996<br>Ludeke 1997 |
| GVM                                      | USA                                  | Static model   | Biomes  | Impact of climate change on geographic extent of biomes  | Operational                                 | Coarse scale<br>(0.5 <sup>deg</sup> X 0.5 <sup>deg</sup> ) | Requires IIASA<br>global climate<br>database as input | UFIS database                              |
| HRBM                                     | Germany                              | Dynamic  | Terrestrial vegetation  | Carbon cycling through terrestrial vegetation<br>in response to climate and CO <sub>2</sub> forcing                  | Operational                                 | Regional to global scale                                   | Generic   | Jørgensen et al 1996                       |
| HYBRID                                   | UK                                   | General global<br>ecosystem model                              | Generalised plant<br>types (grass, broadleaf<br>and coniferous trees) | Effect of environmental factors on carbon, nitrogen and water cycle  | Operational                                 | Global ecosystem   | Applicable  | UFIS database                              |
| IMAGE                                    | Netherlands                          | Unknown  | Vegetation  | Climate and land use change  | Unknown                                     | Global   | Unknown   | Pers comm J Wiertz,<br>RIVM                |
| MEDRUSH                                  | UK                                   | Dynamic GIS-based<br>distributed process<br>model              | Vegetation (growth<br>and distribution)                               | Effects of seasonal/annual and long term<br>climate and land use variations on vegetation<br>growth and distribution | Under<br>development                        | Areas up to 5000 km <sup>2</sup>                           | Applicable  | UFIS database                              |
| NELUP                                    | UK                                   | Biological component<br>is empirical                           | Species and species assemblages                                       | Land use change  | Operational                                 | 1 km grid suitable for regional/national scale             | High  | O'Callaghan 1996                           |
| PEF                                      | USA                                  | Simple   | Foraging animal species   | Uptake of hazardous chemicals through foodweb  | Operational                                 | Individual contaminated sites                              | Unknown   | Freshman and<br>Menzie 1996                |
| PLANTX                                   | Germany                              | Compartment model  | Plants  | Accumulation of anthropogenic chemicals in roots, stem and leaves  | Operational                                 | Landscapes   | Generic   | UFIS database                              |

. .

| Model name  | Country of<br>origin                   | Model type                                      | Biota modelled                          | Modes of impact addressed  | Status                 | Area/habitat<br>covered and spatial<br>scale  | Ease of<br>application in<br>E&W                          | Reference                                    |
|---|--|---|---|--|------------------------|---|---|--|
| RAMAS   | USA                                    | Not specified                                   | Wildlife populations                    | Human impact on wildlife populations   | Operational            | Landscape   | Generic   | UFIS database<br>Kingston 1995               |
| SAEM  | Not specified                          | Empirical (2-D<br>stepwise regression<br>model) | Forests (predicts<br>number of species) | Impact of environmental characteristics on<br>biodiversity (e.g. annual rainfall, human<br>population density)                     | Operational            | Regional landscapes   | Generic<br>(relatively little<br>information<br>provided) | UFIS database                                |
| SWIM  | Germany/<br>USA                        | Dynamic, distributed<br>model                   | Vegetation (growth)                     | Effects of climate change and land use change<br>on hydrology and water quality (and<br>subsequently plant growth)                 | Operational            | Watersheds (100 to<br>20000 km <sup>2</sup> )   | Generic   | UFIS database                                |
| TEMFES  | USA                                    | Process-oriented<br>model                       | Forests                                 | Transient response of unmanaged forest<br>systems to long-term changes in climate and<br>atmospheric CO <sub>2</sub> concentration | Should be<br>completed | Forests   | Generic   | Jørgensen et al 1996                         |
| TREGRO  | USA                                    | Dynamic process<br>model                        | Most tree species                       | Response (growth and patterns of carbon<br>allocation) to levels of ozone, nutrient stress<br>and water availability               | Operational            | Individual trees  | Generic   | UFIS database<br>Weinstein and<br>Yanai 1994 |
| European<br>terrestrial<br>modelling<br>activity  | Sweden/UK/<br>Italy/German<br>y/France | Modular modelling<br>framework                  | Vegetation                              | Human impact and natural disturbance -<br>ecosystem-planetary boundary layer<br>inturactions, CO2 and H2O fluxes                   | Under<br>development   | Simulation of ecosystem<br>at "patch" scale (<0.1<br>km) or regional scale<br>(10-100 km) | Applicable  | EC CORDIS<br>homepage                        |
| Integrated<br>vegetation and<br>economic<br>model | UK                                     | Empirical                                       | Upland plant<br>communities             | Costs of achieving a given area of desired vegetation  | Operational            | Upland area of River<br>Tyne catchment  | Applicable  | Moxcy et al 1995                             |
| Model of<br>woody riparian<br>vegetation          | UK/France                              | Dynamic, GIS-based<br>model                     | Woody riparian<br>vegetation species    | Germination and establishment in relation to<br>hydrological and ecological determinants   | Operational            | Floodplains   | Applicable  | EC CORDIS<br>homepage                        |

| Model name                               | Country of<br>origin                 | Model type   | Biota modelled  | Modes of impact addressed   | Status                                      | Area/habitat<br>covered and spatial<br>scale               | Ease of<br>application in<br>E&W                      | Reference                                  |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|---|---|---|--|---|--|
|  |                                      |  |   |   |   | ······································                     |   |  |
| ECOCRAFT                                 | UK & 8<br>collaborating<br>countries | Process-based,<br>deterministic                                | European trees  | Impacts of rising CO <sub>2</sub> and temperature on forest stands  | Under<br>development                        | Forest stands  | Applicable (UK<br>lead project)                       | EC CORDIS<br>homepage                      |
| EXPECT                                   | Netherlands                          | Modelling system<br>comprising dynamic<br>and empirical models | Forests and heathlands  | Effect of environmental policy scenarios on acidification, growth and overfertilization                               | Operational<br>but extension<br>work halted | Regional (districts)                                       | Developed for the<br>Netherlands                      | Bakema et al 1994                          |
| FBM<br>(Frankfurt<br>Biosphere<br>model) | Germany                              | Compartment model  | 32 vegetation types   | Seasonal and long term carbon dynamics<br>(exchange between terrestrial ecosystems and<br>atmosphere                  | Operational                                 | Global/regional  | Generic   | Jørgensen <i>et al</i> 1996<br>Ludeke 1997 |
| GVM                                      | USA                                  | Static model   | Biomes  | Impact of climate change on geographic extent of biomes   | Operational                                 | Coarse scate<br>(0.5 <sup>dcg</sup> X 0.5 <sup>dcg</sup> ) | Requires IIASA<br>gtobal climate<br>database as input | UFIS database                              |
| HRBM                                     | Germany                              | Dynamic  | Terrestrial vegetation  | Carbon cycling through terrestrial vegetation<br>in response to climate and CO <sub>2</sub> forcing                   | Operational                                 | Regional to global scale                                   | Generic   | Jørgensen et al 1996                       |
| HYBRID                                   | UK                                   | General global<br>ecosystem model                              | Generalised plant<br>types (grass, broadleaf<br>and coniferous trees) | Effect of environmental factors on carbon, nitrogen and water cycle   | Operational                                 | Global ecosystem   | Applicable  | UFIS database                              |
| IMAGE                                    | Netherlands                          | Unknown  | Vegetation  | Climate and land use change   | Unknown                                     | Global   | Unknown   | Pers comm J Wiertz,<br>RIVM                |
| MEDRUSH                                  | UK                                   | Dynamic GIS-based<br>distributed process<br>model              | Vegetation (growth<br>and distribution)                               | Effects of seasonal/annual and long term<br>climate and land use variations on vegetation<br>growth and distribution. | Under<br>development                        | Areas up to 5000 km <sup>2</sup>                           | Applicable  | UFIS database                              |
| NELUP                                    | UK                                   | Biological component<br>is empirical                           | Species and species<br>assemblages                                    | Land use change   | Operational                                 | t km grid suitable for regional/national scale             | High  | O'Callaghan 1996                           |
| PEF                                      | USA                                  | Simple   | Foraging animal species   | Uptake of hazardous chemicals through foodweb   | Operational                                 | Individual contaminated sites                              | Unknown   | Freshman and<br>Menzie 1996                |
| PLANTX                                   | Germany                              | Compartment model  | Plants  | Accumulation of anthropogenic chemicals in roots, stem and leaves   | Operational                                 | Landscapes   | Generic   | UFIS database                              |

| Model name                               | Country of<br>origin                 | Model type   | Biota modelled  | Modes of impact addressed -  | Status                                      | Area/habitat<br>covered and spatial<br>scale               | Ease of<br>application in<br>E&W                      | Reference                           |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|---|--|---|--|---|-------------------------------------|
|  |                                      |  |   |  |   |  |   |                                     |
| ECOCRAFT                                 | UK & 8<br>collaborating<br>countries | Process-based,<br>deterministic                                | European trees  | Impacts of rising CO <sub>2</sub> and temperature on forest stands   | Under<br>development                        | Forest stands  | Applicable (UK<br>lead project)                       | EC CORDIS<br>homepage               |
| EXPECT                                   | Netherlands                          | Modelling system<br>comprising dynamic<br>and empirical models | Forests and heathlands  | Effect of environmental policy scenarios on acidification, growth and overfertilization                              | Operational<br>but extension<br>work halted | Regional (districts)                                       | Developed for the<br>Netherlands                      | Bakema et al 1994                   |
| FBM<br>(Frankfurt<br>Biosphere<br>model) | Germany                              | Compartment model  | 32 vegetation types   | Seasonal and long term carbon dynamics<br>(exchange between terrestrial ecosystems and<br>atmosphere                 | Operational                                 | Globat/regional  | Generic   | Jørgensen et al 1996<br>Ludeke 1997 |
| GVM                                      | USA                                  | Static model   | Biomes  | Impact of climate change on geographic extent of biomes  | Operational                                 | Coarse scale<br>(0.5 <sup>dcg</sup> X 0.5 <sup>dcg</sup> ) | Requires IIASA<br>global climate<br>database as input | UFIS database                       |
| HRBM                                     | Germany                              | Dynamic  | Terrestrial vegetation  | Curbon cycling through terrestrial vegetation<br>in response to climate and CO <sub>2</sub> forcing                  | Operational                                 | Regional to global scale                                   | Generic   | Jørgensen et al 1996                |
| HYBRID                                   | UK                                   | General global<br>ecosystem model                              | Generalised plant<br>types (grass, broadleaf<br>and coniferous trees) | Effect of environmental factors on carbon,<br>nitrogen and water cycle   | Operational                                 | Global ecosystem   | Applicable  | UFIS database                       |
| IMAGE                                    | Netherlands                          | Unknown  | Vegetation  | Climate and land use change  | Unknown                                     | Global   | Unknown   | Pers comm J Wiertz,<br>RIVM         |
| MEDRUSH                                  | UK                                   | Dynamic GIS-based<br>distributed process<br>model              | Vegetation (growth<br>and distribution)                               | Effects of seasonal/annual and long term<br>climate and land use variations on vegetation<br>growth and distribution | Under<br>development                        | Areas up to 5000 km <sup>2</sup>                           | Applicable  | UFIS database                       |
| NELUP                                    | UK                                   | Biological component<br>is empirical                           | Species and species assemblages                                       | Land use change  | Operational                                 | I km grid suitable for regional/national scale             | High  | O'Callaghan 1996                    |
| PEF                                      | USA                                  | Simple   | Foraging animal species   | Uptake of hazardous chemicals through foodweb  | Operational                                 | Individual contaminated sites                              | Unknown   | Freshman and<br>Menzie 1996         |
| PLANTX                                   | Germany                              | Compartment model  | Plants  | Accumulation of anthropogenic chemicals in roots, stem and leaves  | Operational                                 | Landscapes   | Generic   | UFIS database                       |

Other models in the table deal with acid and sulphur deposition onto terrestrial and/or freshwater systems, identifying critical loads above which ecological damage is likely to occur (the UK Critical Loads mapping programme, CL-CCE and EXPECT). EXPECT differs from the critical loads approach in that biological effects (on forests and heathlands) are predicted, rather than the likelihood of impact being related to threshold values of loads. Whilst EXPECT was to be extended to cover freshwaters, development was halted in favour of other modelling systems, particularly NELUP (pers. comm. J. Wiertz, RIVM).

## 3.5 Umbrella software

Umbrella software can provide important user-friendly links between environmental managers and the models required in the process of predicting changes in human activities, thence changes in the physico-chemical environment, and thence changes in biological communities. In the future, such software should bring ecological modelling much closer to the users of model outputs and thereby make environmental forecasting a more efficient and direct process. This type of software can vary from simple decision support systems to complex integration platforms.

Nature Planner is a decision support system under development at RIVM in the Netherlands, acting as an integration platform for a number of ecological models and aimed at assisting the formulation of environmental policy decisions. It is therefore a highly relevant system in terms of environmental forecasting. Nature Planner deals with the combined effects of eutrophication, acidification, water table draw-down, landscape fragmentation, climate change and pollution by toxic substances, in relation to both species and ecosystems (pers. comm. J Wiertz, RIVM). It includes the wetland model MOVE.

The Institute of Terrestrial Ecology has developed NELUP (NERC/ESRC Land Use Programme), a decision-support system for use in assessing the ecological implications of policy changes concerning land use. NELUP brings together an economic model, hydrological models and an ecological model. Land use changes are predicted by economic appraisals, and the hydrological implications of these changes can be simulated by hydrological models at high resolution, small-scale (SHE-TRAN) or low resolution, regional/national scale (NUARNO). The hydrological predictions alone are of great potential value for environmental forecasting within the Agency, indicating potential water resource problems associated with possible future changes in land use. Ecological modelling, as outlined in Section 3.4, does not appear to be linked to hydrological simulations.

The USEPA's **BASINS** package takes an important step in linking non-point source (NPS) models to models of environmental fate and ecological impact. The review of NPS models conducted by Mainstone *et al.* (1994) highlighted that many packages were of reduced value in pollution prevention and control because they stopped at the land/water interface. BASINS brings together a high-powered US NPS model (HSPF) with the important aquatic models QUAL2EU and TOXIROUTE to allow detailed agricultural management scenarios to be linked to ecological consequences for the riverine environment. In terms of environmental forecasting, HSPF is perhaps not the best choice of NPS model, since it has a very high spatial resolution and consequently only models one field at a time (unless it has been modified extensively since 1994). However, it could be used to test general scenarios on a range of

Other models in the table deal with acid and sulphur deposition onto terrestrial and/or freshwater systems, identifying critical loads above which ecological damage is likely to occur (the UK Critical Loads mapping programme, CL-CCE and EXPECT). EXPECT differs from the critical loads approach in that biological effects (on forests and heathlands) are predicted, rather than the likelihood of impact being related to threshold values of loads. Whilst EXPECT was to be extended to cover freshwaters, development was halted in favour of other modelling systems, particularly NELUP (pers. comm. J. Wiertz, RIVM).

## 3.5 Umbrella software

Umbrella software can provide important user-friendly links between environmental managers and the models required in the process of predicting changes in human activities, thence changes in the physico-chemical environment, and thence changes in biological communities. In the future, such software should bring ecological modelling much closer to the users of model outputs and thereby make environmental forecasting a more efficient and direct process. This type of software can vary from simple decision support systems to complex integration platforms.

**Nature Planner** is a decision support system under development at RIVM in the Netherlands, acting as an integration platform for a number of ecological models and aimed at assisting the formulation of environmental policy decisions. It is therefore a highly relevant system in terms of environmental forecasting. Nature Planner deals with the combined effects of eutrophication, acidification, water table draw-down, landscape fragmentation, climate change and pollution by toxic substances, in relation to both species and ecosystems (pers. comm. J Wiertz, RIVM). It includes the wetland model MOVE.

The Institute of Terrestrial Ecology has developed NELUP (NERC/ESRC Land Use Programme), a decision-support system for use in assessing the ecological implications of policy changes concerning land use. NELUP brings together an economic model, hydrological models and an ecological model. Land use changes are predicted by economic appraisals, and the hydrological implications of these changes can be simulated by hydrological models at high resolution, small-scale (SHE-TRAN) or low resolution, regional/national scale (NUARNO). The hydrological predictions alone are of great potential value for environmental forecasting within the Agency, indicating potential water resource problems associated with possible future changes in land use. Ecological modelling, as outlined in Section 3.4, does not appear to be linked to hydrological simulations.

The USEPA's **BASINS** package takes an important step in linking non-point source (NPS) models to models of environmental fate and ecological impact. The review of NPS models conducted by Mainstone *et al.* (1994) highlighted that many packages were of reduced value in pollution prevention and control because they stopped at the land/water interface. BASINS brings together a high-powered US NPS model (HSPF) with the important aquatic models QUAL2EU and TOXIROUTE to allow detailed agricultural management scenarios to be linked to ecological consequences for the riverine environment. In terms of environmental forecasting, HSPF is perhaps not the best choice of NPS model, since it has a very high spatial resolution and consequently only models one field at a time (unless it has been modified extensively since 1994). However, it could be used to test general scenarios on a range of

## 4. CONCLUSIONS

- 1. The review has revealed a large array of models with biological end-points (or physicochemical end-points couched in biological terms) that are of potential value to the Environment Agency in environmental forecasting, including models of the effects of:
  - climate change on terrestrial vegetation and aquatic ecosystems;
  - land use change on terrestrial vegetation;
  - eutrophication in the full range of environmental waters (including ditch systems);
  - exposure to hazardous substances in all environmental media;
  - acidification;
  - dehydration of wetlands;
  - physical changes to river and floodplain ecosystems.
- 2. In addition to the models identified, there are numerous European contacts and data sources highlighted by this review that will be of value to the Agency in the future consideration of models for environmental forecasting. The World Wide Web has proved to be a particularly important source of information on modelling activity worldwide, including one database devoted solely to ecological models.
- 3. Whilst the review has provided a good starting point in the identification of suitable models, it must be treated as a preliminary exercise only. The lists of models produced is not definitive, due to the wide remit of the review (covering all environmental media, all biological components and all types of potential impact), the huge amount of modelling work being undertaken in Europe and the US, and difficulties in obtaining responses from some key organisations.
- 4. If the information that has been gathered is any reflection of the progress made by individual European countries, the Netherlands stands out as being most advanced in developing models with biological end-points that can be used for the type of large-scale, strategic assessments assumed to be of most relevance in environmental forecasting.
- 5. In general, it has not been possible within the time available to draw meaningful comparisons between different models that fulfil similar functions. Such comparisons need detailed attention to both the nature of the predictions required and the characteristics of the models being compared, neither of which has it been possible to address within the current brief study.
- 6. Assuming that environmental forecasting will normally be undertaken at a strategic level covering large geographical areas (either regional or national), simple empirical models will generally be of more value that complex dynamic models. However, there may be no alternative to the use of complex models in certain situations.

## 4. CONCLUSIONS

- 1. The review has revealed a large array of models with biological end-points (or physicochemical end-points couched in biological terms) that are of potential value to the Environment Agency in environmental forecasting, including models of the effects of:
  - climate change on terrestrial vegetation and aquatic ecosystems;
  - land use change on terrestrial vegetation;
  - eutrophication in the full range of environmental waters (including ditch systems);
  - exposure to hazardous substances in all environmental media;
  - acidification;
  - dehydration of wetlands;
  - physical changes to river and floodplain ecosystems.
- 2. In addition to the models identified, there are numerous European contacts and data sources highlighted by this review that will be of value to the Agency in the future consideration of models for environmental forecasting. The World Wide Web has proved to be a particularly important source of information on modelling activity worldwide, including one database devoted solely to ecological models.
- 3. Whilst the review has provided a good starting point in the identification of suitable models, it must be treated as a preliminary exercise only. The lists of models produced is not definitive, due to the wide remit of the review (covering all environmental media, all biological components and all types of potential impact), the huge amount of modelling work being undertaken in Europe and the US, and difficulties in obtaining responses from some key organisations.
- 4. If the information that has been gathered is any reflection of the progress made by individual European countries, the Netherlands stands out as being most advanced in developing models with biological end-points that can be used for the type of large-scale, strategic assessments assumed to be of most relevance in environmental forecasting.
- 5. In general, it has not been possible within the time available to draw meaningful comparisons between different models that fulfil similar functions. Such comparisons need detailed attention to both the nature of the predictions required and the characteristics of the models being compared, neither of which has it been possible to address within the current brief study.
- 6. Assuming that environmental forecasting will normally be undertaken at a strategic level covering large geographical areas (either regional or national), simple empirical models will generally be of more value that complex dynamic models. However, there may be no alternative to the use of complex models in certain situations.

# 6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thanks are due to all those people who provided information on relevant modelling work being conducted in Europe (including the UK). A list of contacts is provided in Appendix A, along with an outline of each person's areas of interest/responsibility. The authors are also grateful to Dr Robert Willows of the Environment Agency, who provided valuable comments on draft text.

# 6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thanks are due to all those people who provided information on relevant modelling work being conducted in Europe (including the UK). A list of contacts is provided in Appendix A, along with an outline of each person's areas of interest/responsibility. The authors are also grateful to Dr Robert Willows of the Environment Agency, who provided valuable comments on draft text. Giovanardi, F. and Tromellini, E. (1992) Statistical assessment of trophic conditions. Application of the OECD methodology to the marine environment. Science of the Total Environment, Supplement 1992, 211-233.

Gobas, F.A.P.C. (1992) Modelling the accumulation and toxic impacts of organic chemicals in aquatic food-chains. In: Chemical dynamics in freshwater ecosystems. Eds. F.A.P.C. Gobas and J.A. McCorquodale. Lewis Publishers, Boca Ratan.

Gowen, R.J. and Ezzi, I.A. (1992) Assessment and Prediction of the potential for hypernutrification and eutrophication associated with cage culture of salmonids in Scottish coastal waters. Dunstaffnage Marine Laboratory. ISBN 0-9518959-0-7.

Gowen, R.J., Tett, P. and Jones, K.J. (1992) Predicting marine eutrophication: the yield of chlorophyll from nitrogen in Scottish coastal waters. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 85, 153-161.

Gustard, A. and Elliot, C.R.N. (1997) The role of hydro-ecological models in the development of sustainable water resources. In: Sustainability of water resources under increasing uncertainty. IAHS Publication No. 240.

Halfon, E., Simons, T.J., Schertzer, W.M. (1984) Modelling the spatial distribution of seven halocarbons in Lake St Clair in June 1984 using the TOXFATE model. International Association for Great Lakes Research, 1990. Journal of Great Lakes Research, 16, 1, 90-112.

Hanratty, M.P. and Stay, F.S. (1994) Field evaluation of the littoral ecosystem risk assessment model's predictions of the effects of chlorpyrifos. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, **31**, 439-53.

Heip, C. and Herman, P.M.J. (1995) Major biological process in European tidal estuaries: a synthesis of the JEEP-92 Project. *Hydrobiologia*, 311, 1-7.

Hommen, U., Poethke, H.J., Dulmer, U. and Ratte, H.T. (1993) Simulation models to predict ecological risk of toxins in freshwater systems. *Journal of Marine Science*, 50, 337-347.

Hooghart, J.C. and Posthumus, C.W.S. (Eds.) The use of hydro-ecological models in the Netherlands. Proceedings and Information No. 47. TNO Committee on Hydrological Research. TNO, Delft.

Hull, V. and Lagonegro, M. (1988) AQUAMOD: an introductory purpose simulation model of plankton dynamics. *Coenoses*, **3**, 55-60.

Hunt, E.R., Piper, S.C., Nemani, R., Keeling, C.D., Otto, R.D. and Running, S.W. (1996) Global net carbon exchange and intra-annual atmospheric CO2 concentrations predicted by an ecosystem process model and three-dimensional atmospheric transport model. *Global Geochemical Cycles*, 10, 3, 431-456.

Janse, J.H. (In press) A model of ditch vegetation in relation to eutrophication. *Water Science* and *Technology*.

Giovanardi, F. and Tromellini, E. (1992) Statistical assessment of trophic conditions. Application of the OECD methodology to the marine environment. Science of the Total Environment, Supplement 1992, 211-233.

Gobas, F.A.P.C. (1992) Modelling the accumulation and toxic impacts of organic chemicals in aquatic food-chains. In: Chemical dynamics in freshwater ecosystems. Eds. F.A.P.C. Gobas and J.A. McCorquodale. Lewis Publishers, Boca Ratan.

Gowen, R.J. and Ezzi, I.A. (1992) Assessment and Prediction of the potential for hypernutrification and eutrophication associated with cage culture of salmonids in Scottish coastal waters. Dunstaffnage Marine Laboratory. ISBN 0-9518959-0-7.

Gowen, R.J., Tett, P. and Jones, K.J. (1992) Predicting marine eutrophication: the yield of chlorophyll from nitrogen in Scottish coastal waters. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 85, 153-161.

Gustard, A. and Elliot, C.R.N. (1997) The role of hydro-ecological models in the development of sustainable water resources. In: Sustainability of water resources under increasing uncertainty. IAHS Publication No. 240.

Halfon, E., Simons, T.J., Schertzer, W.M. (1984) Modelling the spatial distribution of seven halocarbons in Lake St Clair in June 1984 using the TOXFATE model. International Association for Great Lakes Research, 1990. Journal of Great Lakes Research, 16, 1, 90-112.

Hanratty, M.P. and Stay, F.S. (1994) Field evaluation of the littoral ecosystem risk assessment model's predictions of the effects of chlorpyrifos. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, **31**, 439-53.

Heip, C. and Herman, P.M.J. (1995) Major biological process in European tidal estuaries: a synthesis of the JEEP-92 Project. Hydrobiologia, 311, 1-7.

Hommen, U., Poethke, H.J., Dulmer, U. and Ratte, H.T. (1993) Simulation models to predict ecological risk of toxins in freshwater systems. *Journal of Marine Science*, **50**, 337-347.

Hooghart, J.C. and Posthumus, C.W.S. (Eds.) The use of hydro-ecological models in the Netherlands. Proceedings and Information No. 47. TNO Committee on Hydrological Research. TNO, Delft.

Hull, V. and Lagonegro, M. (1988) AQUAMOD: an introductory purpose simulation model of plankton dynamics. *Coenoses*, **3**, 55-60.

Hunt, E.R., Piper, S.C., Nemani, R., Keeling, C.D., Otto, R.D. and Running, S.W. (1996) Global net carbon exchange and intra-annual atmospheric CO2 concentrations predicted by an ecosystem process model and three-dimensional atmospheric transport model. *Global Geochemical Cycles*, 10, 3, 431-456.

Janse, J.H. (In press) A model of ditch vegetation in relation to eutrophication. *Water Science* and *Technology*.

Janse, J.H. (1997) A model of nutrient dynamics in shallow lakes in relation to multiple stable states. *Hydrobiologia*, 342/343, 108.

Jorgensen, S.E., Halling-Sorensen, B. and Nielsen, S.N. (1996) Handbook of Environmental and Ecological Modelling. Lewis Publishers, Boca Ratan.

Jorgensen, S.E. (1994) Fundamentals of Ecological Modelling. Developments in Environmental Modelling, 19. Elsevier, Amsterdam.

Kemmers, R.H. (1993) Staarlkaarten voor een ecologische landevaluatie. Landschap, 10, 1, 5-22.

Kingston, T. (1995) Valuable modelling tool: RAMAS GIS. Conservation Biology, 9, 966-968.

Knorrenschild, M., Lenz, R., Forster, E. and Herderich, C. (1996) UFIS: a database of ecological models. *Ecological modelling*, 86, 141-144.

Kramer, P.R.G., Jonkers, D.A. and van Liere, L. (1997) Interactions of nutrients and toxicants in the foodchain of aquatic ecosystems. RIVM Report 703715001. The Netherlands.

Krysanova, V. and Luik, H. (eds.) (1989) Simulation modelling of a system watershed-riversea bay. Tallinn, Valgus, 428 pp, (in Russian).

Krysanova, V., Meiner, A., Roosaare, J. and Vasilyev, A. (1989) Simulation modelling of coastal water pollution from an agricultural watershed, *Ecological Modelling*, 49, 7-29.

Lack, T.J., Johnson, D. and Mollowney, B.M. (1990) Modelling the Effects of Mariculture in Hong Kong Waters. A statistical flushing corrected nitrogen load model and a tidal water quality model. WRc report No CO 2325-M.

Lepape, O. and Menesguen, A. (1997) Hydrodynamic prevention of eutrophication in the bay of Brest (France), a modelling approach. *Journal of Marine Systems*, 12, 1-4, 171-186.

Ludeke, M.K.B. (1997) The Frankfurt Biosphere Model - a global process-oriented model of seasonal and long-term CO2 exchange between terrestrial ecosystems and the atmosphere. 2. Global results for potential vegetation in an assumed equilibrium state. *Climate Research*, 8, 1, 61-87.

Mainstone, C.P., Weddepohl, J. and Woodrow, D. (1994a) Land management techniques: a detailed review. NRA Project Record 453/7/Y. NRA, Bristol.

Menesguen, A. and Hoch, T. (1997) Modelling the biogeochemical cycles of elements limiting primary production in the English Channel. I. Role of thermohaline stratification. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 146, 173-188.

Moxey, A.P., White, B., Sanderson, R.A. and Rushton, S.P. (1995) An approach to linking an ecological vegetation model to an agricultural economic model. *Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 46, 3, 381-397.

O'Callaghan, J.R. (1996) Land use: the interaction of economics, ecology and hydrology. Chapman and Hall, London.

OECD (1982) Eutrophication of waters: monitoring, assessment and control. Report of the OECD Programme on Eutrophication. Eds. R.A. Vollenweider and J. Kerekes. Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development, Paris.

Parton, W.J., Lauenroth, W.K., Urban, D.L., Coffin, D.P., Shugart, H.H., Kirchner, T.B. and Smith, T.M. (1993) Modelling vegetation structure - ecosystem process interactions across sites and ecosystems. *Ecological Modelling*, 67, 1, 49-80.

Radford, P.J. and Blackford, J.C. (1996) Interdisciplinary methods for successful ecological simulation. *Ecological Modelling*, 86, 265-270.

Rundle, S.D., Weatherley, N.S. and Ormerod, S.J. (1995) The effects of catchment liming on the chemistry and biology of upland Welsh streams: testing model predictions. *Freshwater Biology*, 34, 165-175.

Scheffer, M. and Beets, J. (1994) Ecological models and the pitfalls of causality. *Hydrobiologia*, 275/276, 115-124.

Schrautzer, J., Asshoff, M. and Muller, F. (1996) Restoration strategies for wet grasslands in northern Germany. *Ecological Engineering*, 7, 255-278.

Traas, T.P. and Aldenburg, T. (1992) CATS-1: a model for predicting contaminant accumulation in a meadow ecosystem. The case of cadmium. Report No. 719103001, RIVM, The Netherlands.

van Wensum, J. (1997) The use of models in ecological risk assessment. In: *Ecological risk assessment of contaminants in soil*. N.M. van Straalen and H. Lokke (Eds.). Chapman and Hall, London.

Veroustraete, F. (1994) On the use of a simple deciduous forest model for the interpretation of climate change effects at the level of carbon dynamics. *Ecological Modelling*, **75**/76, 221-237.

Weinstein, D.A. and Yanai, R.D. (1994) Integrating the effects of simultaneous multiple stresses on plants using the simulation model TREGRO. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 23, 418-428.

Whitehead, P.G., Howard, A. and Arulmani, C. (1997) Modelling algal growth and transport in rivers: a comparison of time series analysis, dynamic mass balance and neural network techniques. *Hydrobiologia*, 349, 39-46.

Witte, J.P.M. (1998) National water management and the value of nature. Doctoral thesis, Wageningen University, the Netherlands.

Woodrow, D., Parr, W., Norton, R.L. and Johnson, D. (1994) River eutrophication risk modelling: a GIS approach. WRc Report to SNIFFER, NR 3698. WRc, Medmenham.

Wootton, J.T., Parker, M.S. and Power, M.E. (1996) Effects of disturbance on river food webs. Science, 273, 5281, 1558-1561.

Wright, J.F., Moss, D., Armitage, P.D. and Furse, M.T. (1984) A preliminary classification of running water sites in Great Britain based on macroinvertebrate species and the prediction of community type using environmental data. *Freshwater Biology*, 14, 221-256.

Wyatt, R., Barnard, S. and Lacey, R.F. (1995) Salmonid modelling literature review and subsequent development of HABSCORE models. NRA Project Record 338/20/W. NRA, Bristol.

# APPENDIX A - LIST OF EUROPEAN CONTACTS TO WHOM INFORMATION REQUESTS WERE SENT

,

| Country/Name/Position  | Organisation  | Relevance  |
|--|---|--|
| The Netherlands  |   |  |
| Jaap Wiertz  | RIVM (National Institute of Public<br>Health and Environmental<br>Protection)           | Responsible for most of RIVM's ecological modelling for planning purposes  |
| Remco van Ek   | RIZA (Institute for Inland Water<br>Management)   | Project Leader for DEMNAT  |
| J.P.M. Witte   | University of Wageningen  | Technical contact for DEMNAT and other ecohydrological models  |
| Frans Claessen<br>Head of Groundwater and<br>Regional Waters | RIZA (Institute for Inland Water<br>Management)   | Involved in DEMNAT development and use.  |
| L van Liere  | Laboratory of water and drinking water research, RIVM                                   | Contact for models such as PCLake and PCDitch  |
| France   |   |  |
| G. Decatheaugrue   | L'Agence Seine-Normandie  | Project Leader for a major review of French models<br>of relevance to the integrated management of<br>aquatic systems. |
| Germany  |   |  |
| F. Hosenfield<br>Database administrator                      | Projektzentrum<br>Oekosystemforschung, Ecosystem<br>Research Centre, University of Kiel | General contact for this major German initiative.  |
| M. Asshoff   | Projektzentru <b>m</b><br>Oekosystemforschung   | Technical contact for modelling issues, mainly involved in wet grassland modelling.                                    |
| C Steinburg, Director  | Institute for Aquatic Ecology and Inland Fisheries                                      | No responses to information request received   |
| Sweden   |   |  |
| R Johnson  | University of Agricultural Sciences,<br>Uppsala   | Involved in a Nordic programme of research to develop predictive systems for fish, invertebrates and macrophytes.      |
| G Persson  | University of Agricultural Sciences,<br>Uppsala   | Involved in eutrophication research. No response to information request received                                       |
| T Ebenhardt  | The Swedish Biodiversity Centre   | No response to information request received.   |

Norway

42

. .

.

| Country/Name/Position  | Organisation  | Relevance  |
|--|---|--|
| M Johannesen   | NIVA  | No response to information request received  |
| Denmark  |   |  |
| S Jorgensen<br>Editor-in-Chief of the Journal<br>of Ecological Modelling | Royal Danish School of Pharmacy,<br>Co <del>pe</del> nhagen | No response to information request received  |
| T Moth Iversen   | NERI, Roskilde  | No response to information request received  |
| UK   |   |  |
| P Leed-Harrison  | Silsoe College  | Involved in wetland modelling. No response to information request received   |
| P Armitage   | Institute of Freshwater Ecology,<br>Rivers Laboratory       | Involved in RIVPACS and also ecohydrological requirements in rivers.   |
| S Ormerod  | University of Wales   | Involved in acidification impacts and the development of MEDUSA.   |
| M Dunbar   | Institute of Hydrology                                      | Involved in PHABSIM. Recently reviewed methodologies for setting ecologically acceptable river flows.                        |
| L Firbank  | Institute of Terrestrial Ecology                            | Involved in the LANDECONET initiative on ecology and landscape.  |
| A Stebbing   | Plymouth Marine Laboratory                                  | No response to information request received.   |
| A Harrison   | Institute of Terrestrial Ecology                            | Possible contact for impacts of soil biota. No response to information request received.                                     |
| T Ashenden   | Institute of Terrestrial Ecology                            | Possible contact for impacts of atmospheric pollution on terrestrial vegetation. No response to information request received |
| B Wyatt  | Institute of Terrestrial Ecology                            | Head of modelling research on biodiversity. No response to information request received                                      |
| D Moss   | Institute of Terrestrial Ecology                            | Involved in vegetation/habitat mapping and application spin-offs.  |

÷.

# **APPENDIX B**

# SUMMARY SHEETS FOR SELECTED MODELS

Model name: CemoS

Model type: Compartment

Environments covered: Air, soil, water

Aspects of the biota addressed: Food chain, fish, plants

Country of origin: Germany

Created by: Institute of Environmental Systems Research, University of Osnabrueck

Modes of impact addressed: A suite of models for estimating the exposure concentration of environmental chemicals

Spatial scale: Variable, depending on which model is applied

Status: Operational

Software/hardware: PC, Operation System: MS-DOS

### **Outline description**

The model package CemoS is a program system that has been developed to estimate the exposure concentration of environmental chemical. The package contains the eight models described briefly below.

CemoS/Chain - is a food chain model consisting of three levels: producer, consumer 1 and consumer 2. Chemical degradation and accumulation in consumers is calculated after a single input of a chemical into the producer occurs. The change of the mass of a chemical in the producer and the consumers is described by a linear differential equation system with constant coefficients. Analytical solutions from the concentrations of the chemical in producer, consumer 1 and consumer 2 are given.

**CemoS/Air** - is a box model for calculating the atmospheric transport of a chemical after aerial emission (e.g. city). As well as the stationary chemical concentration in a rectangular box (area of emission source and height of atmospheric mixing layer), chemical deposition, photodegradation, advection, and annual inhalation dose are estimated.

**CemoS/Buckets** - is a cascade model for the description of transport and residing of chemicals in soil. In the model, the soil column is partitioned into different layers and the amount of the chemical and the water content in each soil layer is determined daily by difference equations.

**CemoS/Level 1** - calculates the steady state distribution of a chemical in the compartments "water", "air", "soil", "sediment", "suspension", "fish" and "plant", assuming immediate equilibrium. All compartments are regarded as homogeneously intermixed. Partition coefficients between all media and one reference medium (e.g. water) are calculated. From this and from the volumina of the compartments, chemical concentrations and chemical mass in the compartments are calculated.

**CemoS/Plant** - calculates uptake of anthropogenic organic chemical form soil and air into the above ground part of plants as well as chemical losses from plant by volatilisation and metabolism in a given time. The concentration of a chemical in the plant as well as the concentration in the particles on the plant is governed by a first-order linear differential equation with constant coefficients.

**CemoS/Plume** - calculates the atmospheric transport of chemicals following point emission (e.g. from a chimney). assuming that atmospheric and meteorological properties are constant. Advective transport by wind and as well as dilution by atmospheric dispersion is also taken into account. The model is derived from the dispersion-advection equation.

**CemoS/Soil** - is a dynamical model for the description of the transport and the residing chemical in a soil column. The model can be used in three situations: 1. a single input of a chemical at the soil surface; 2. transport from a contaminated soil layer into deeper layers; 3. transport and residing in the case of continuous injection. The basis of the model is a one dimensional advection-diffusion/dispersion -equation.

**CemoS/Water** - is a steady-state model for the estimation of the pollution of a river by a chemical caused by a single continuous pollution source such as the discharge of sewage effluent into a river. The concentration profile and the mass balance of the chemical in the river, as well as the concentrations in the sediment and in the biota living in the river (e.g. fish), are calculated. The concentration profile of a chemical in the river, caused by a single emission, is described by an analytical equation derived from the mass balance in the river. All elimination processes are described by an aggregated first-order degradation rate. The sorption of the chemical on suspended material as well as the concentrations in the sediment and in the fish are calculated from the concentration in the concentration in the water with the help of partition coefficients.

## References

The above information was derived from the UFIS database of ecological models, administered by the University of Kiel in Germany.

Model name: CL\_CCE (Critical loads model of the CCE)

Model type: Expert system

Environments covered: Forest soils and surface waters

Aspects of the biota addressed: Ecosystems

Country of origin: The Netherlands

Created by: National Institute of Public Health and Environmental Protection, Coordination Centre for Effects (RIVM, CCE)

Modes of impact addressed: Calculates Critical loads of acidity and sulphur

Spatial scale: National (forest soils and surface waters)

Status: Operational

Software/hardware: dBase for using European background data (if no national data available)

Files: FOREST.DBF; critical loads related data on forests. SOIL.DBF; critical loads related to data on soils.

#### Outline description

The model calculates critical loads of acidity and sulphur. It summarises the work of the National Institute of Public Health and Environmental Protection, Coordination Centre for Effects (RIVM, CCE) and a number of National Focal Centres (NFC). A critical load has been defined as "the highest deposition of a compound that will not cause chemical changes leading to long-term harmful effects on ecosystem structure and function".

The model considers the following ecosystems: countries submitting national data on calculated critical loads for either forest soils, surface waters or a combination of the two. For countries not submitting national data, critical loads for forest soils are computed, using European data bases which are described by Downing *et al.* (1993). All maps show the 5-percentile critical load, i.e. the deposition level needed to protect the most sensitive 95% of ecosystem area in each grid cell. (As a basis of the computation of the 5-percentile, the actual ecosystem area rather than the total area of the grid cell is used). Three equations deal with critical loads for aquatic ecosystems.

## References

The above information was derived from the UFIS database of ecological models, administered by the University of Kiel in Germany.

Downing, R.J., Hettelingh, J.-P., de Smet, P.A.M. (eds) (1993) Calculation and mapping of critical loads in Europe: Status report 1993. RIVM Report: 259101003 (1993), ISBN 90-6960-047-1.

Model name: COHERENS

Model type: Coupled hydrodynamical-ecological model

Environments covered: Coastal

Aspects of the biota addressed: Plankton (phytoplankton and microplankton)

Country of origin: Belgium/UK

**Created by:** Currently being developed under the EC 4th Framework Programme by the Institute for Hygiene and Epidemiology (Belgium), The Natural Environmental Research Council (UK) and Napier University (UK).

Modes of impact addressed: Interaction of physics, microbiology and sedimentology of coastal and shelf seas

Spatial scale: Regional and shelf seas

Status: Under development, due for completion end of April, 1999

Software/hardware: Not specified

#### **Outline description**

The objective of COHERENS (Coupled Hydrodynamical-Ecological model for Regional and Shelf Seas) is the dissemination and exploitation of an integrated three-dimensional model which couples the physics, microbiology and sedimentology of coastal and shelf seas. the model has been developed over the period 1990-1996 within two MAST-supported projects by a multinational European group, and used to simulate conditions in stratified coastal areas with an important river input to the North Sea. The model will be made available to the scientific and coastal management communities for further diagnostic use and for testing as a prognostic and management tool.

The model has four major components: 1. a physical component with a general module for solving advection-diffusion type equations; 2. a biological module describing the cycling of carbon and nitrogen through microplankton and detrital compartments, with corresponding changes in nitrate, ammonium and oxygen concentrations; 3. a sediment module describing the deposition and erosion of suspended organic and inorganic material through a "fluff" layer; 4. an Eulerian and Lagrangian transport module for contaminants. The modular design allows easy updating of any particular process, the inclusion of an alternative solution method or the addition of new processes. a series of switches is implemented allowing the user to select whichever processes are required.

## References

The above information was obtained from the European Commission's CORDIS (Coordinated R&D Information Service) homepage.

Model name: DEMNAT 2.1

Model type: Empirical

Environments covered: Fresh and brackish water wetlands

Aspects of the biota addressed: Plant species/vegetation

Country of origin: The Netherlands

Created by: Wageningen Agricultural University (in association with others)

Modes of impact addressed: Dehydration and associated water quality effects.

Spatial scale: National/ regional

Status: Ready for use (in Dutch) but a further version is being prepared.

Software/hardware: GIS-based.

Linked physico-chemical models: NAGROM and MOZART

#### **Outline description**

DEMNAT 2.1 is used by the Dutch Government for national-scale predictions of the effects of long-term changes in the hydrology of wetlands. The system uses 1:50 000 scale soils data aggregated into 52 ecologically relevant soil units, and a 6-class groundwater classification, which are used to define abiotic 'ecoseries'. In parallel with this, the national botanical database FLORBASE is used to produce vegetation maps of community-based species groups and indicate the level of 'completeness' of the community at individual sites. These maps are combined with ecoseries to link vegetation with environmental conditions. The combination of a species group with an ecoseries is termed an 'ecoplot', which is a site-level characteristic. Effects are computed at the site level and then aggregated up to  $1 \times 1$  km grid cells so that local information is not lost. Predictions can be made of the effects of changes in spring groundwater level, upward seepage, the water level of small surface waters and the inlet of river water into local systems.

Predictions of changes in moisture conditions, nutrient availability, acidity and salinity are made for each soil type for a given hydrological 'dose' (or change). These are then related to vegetational changes through empirical relationships derived through FLORBASE. These two steps allow the derivation of a 'dose-effect' function for each ecoplot, which allows changes in hydrology to be translated into likely local effects. Dose-effect relationships for soils are based on small-scale process-based studies and so are more reliable than relationships typically used in national assessments. Hydrological changes are simulated by a national groundwater model NAGROM and a hydrological model of the unsaturated zone, MOZART. Vegetational changes can be converted into numbers relevant to nature conservation, with indices calculated on the basis of species rarity and richness.

The model is undergoing further development to allow predictions of vegetation recovery, which will require a better understanding of seed dispersal and the persistence of seed banks, as well as information on vegetation management. A PC version will soon be ready (in Dutch) which will be used nationally and will also be sent to the regional water boards of The Netherlands for medium-scale applications.

#### References

van Ek, R., Witte, J.P.M., Runhaar, J., Klijn, F., Nienhuis, J.G. and Pakes, U. (1996) DEMNAT 2.1 - Latest innovations on a national dose-effect model for the analysis of dehydration of wetlands in The Netherlands. Poster paper No. 90182 at Hydro GIS '96, Vienna, Austria.

Witte, J.P.M. (1998) National water management and the value of nature. Doctoral thesis, Wageningen University, the Netherlands.

Model name: ERSEM (European Regional Seas Ecosystem Model)

Model type: Dynamic comprehensive ecosystem model

Environments covered: Coastal

Aspects of the biota addressed: Carbon and nutrient cycling within ecosystem compartments

Country of origin: Denmark

Created by: Netherlands Institute for Sea Research

Modes of impact addressed: Eutrophication

Spatial scale: Regional seas (North sea) coarse scale

Status: Operational

Software/hardware: Runs on a UNIX workstation using the SESAME simulation modelling package

#### **Outline description**

ERSEM is a comprehensive ecosystem model which dynamically simulates the large-scale cycling of organic carbon, oxygen and the macronutrients nitrogen, phosphorous and silicon over the seasonal cycle in the North Sea. The model consists of an interlinked set of modules, describing the biological and chemical processes in the stratified or non-stratified water column and in the benthic system, as forced by light and temperature. Physical transport is included by driving the model with the output of physical circulation and dispersion models.

The model is generic in that it can be set up for any temperate shelf sea area by replacing the physical description of the North Sea by the appropriate description of another area. The biological and chemical processes contain no site dependence.

#### References

The above information was obtained from the European Commission's CORDIS (Coordinated R&D Information Service) homepage.

### Model name: MEDRUSH

Model type: GIS-based distributed process model

Environments covered: Terrestrial

Aspects of the biota addressed: Vegetation

Country of origin: Joint - European Community (EC)

**Created by:** EC - as part of the Mediterranean Land Use and Desertification Project (MEDALUS)

Modes of impact addressed: Vegetation growth and distribution in response to land use and climatic factors

Spatial scale: Areas up to 5000 km<sup>2</sup>

Status: Currently under development

Software/hardware: No information

### **Outline** description

MEDRUSH is a combined geographical information system and large scale distributed process model that is intended to be applicable to areas of up to 5000 km<sup>2</sup> and for periods of up to 100 years. It is intended to provide scenarios of vegetation growth and the distribution of function types and to forecast water runoff, sediment yield and the ways in which these factors evolve in response to short-term sequences of storms, seasonal and annual variations in climate and long-term trends in climate and land use.

The development of this model is being funded by the European Community as part of the Mediterranean Land Use and Desertification Project (MEDALUS).

#### Reference

The above information was derived from the UFIS database of ecological models, administered by the University of Kiel in Germany.

Model name: PCDitch

Model type: Compartment-based, process-orientated but relatively simple

Environments covered: Ditch systems

Aspects of the biota addressed: Macrophyte and algal communities

Country of origin: The Netherlands

Created by: RIVM

Modes of impact addressed: Eutrophication

Spatial scale: Small drainage networks

Status: Under further development

Software/hardware:

### **Outline description**

PCDitch has been developed from PCLake and simulates the competitive interactions occurring between algae and different functional groups of macrophytes. Algae are treated as one functional group, whilst macrophytes are divided into rooted submerged angiosperms, non-rooted submerged angiosperms, charophytes (stoneworts), non rooted floating plants (essentially the duckweeds but also water fern), and rooted plants with floating leaves. The processes simulated by the model include nutrient transport between sediment and water column, phosphorus adsorption, mineralisation of detritus, nitrification and denitrification. Model input parameters are flow rate of water, external nutrient load, water depth, and various sediment characteristics. The model simulates competition between functional groups using light availability, nutrient availability (both water column and sediment pools) and flow rate through the ditch system. The principal model output is dry weight of tissue residing in each functional group, which is converted into plant cover.

The model has been optimised with field data from the Netherlands and has had some success in modelling the effects of different rates of nutrient loading on the balance between submerged macrophytes and duckweed. Unfortunately, results were less reliable for charophytes and algae. So far it has only been used to model semi-stagnant, unmanaged ditches. Further developments proposed by the developers would account for management regime and a range of other factors (including water level fluctuations and ditch interconnectivity).

.

## References

Janse, J.H. (In press) A model of ditch vegetation in relation to eutrophication. *Water Science and Technology*.

Model name: PCLake

Model type: Compartment-based, process-orientated but relatively simple

**Environments covered:** Lakes

Aspects of the biota addressed: Phytoplankton and submerged macrophytes.

Country of origin: Netherlands

Created by: RIVM

Modes of impact addressed: Changes in nutrient loading.

Spatial scale: Single lake

Status: Not fully operational

Software/hardware: ACSL Version 10

### **Outline description**

PCLake accommodates the theory of alternative stable states in lake systems, whereby a lake can exist in one of two states (phytoplankton-dominated or submerged macrophytedominated) that possess inertia to change through a number of biological feedback mechanisms. It simulates changes in the competitive balance between the phytoplankton and macrophyte communities in response to changes in nutrient loading and the application of methods of biomanipulation. The model strikes a compromise between traditional eutrophication models (that deal only with nutrient cycling) and detailed biological models. The food web is described by a number of functional groups, comprising phytoplankton (divided into 3 groups - cyanobacteria, diatoms, and other small edible algae), zooplankton, macrozoobenthos, whitefish and predatory fish. The processes of sedimentation, resuspension, diffusion, burial and chemical adsorption are all simulated. The model calculates chlorophyll a, transparency, phytoplankton types and the density of submerged macrophytes.

The value of the model lies in its ability to simulate the effects of a range of lake management scenarios (including not only changes in external nutrient loading but dredging and fish population management) and to successfully account for the crucial role of trophic structure in the balance between phytoplankton and macrophytes. PCLake is currently being linked to a water transport model DUFLOW so that catchment problems can be investigated (pers. comm. L. van Liere, RIVM). It is also being linked to PCDitch, so that the integrated modelling of lake and drainage systems can be undertaken. Given the uncertainty inherent in lake ecosystems, it may be that in the future model outputs are couched probabilistically in

terms of ecological risk (scenarios of degradation) or likelihood of lake recovery (scenarios of improvement).

## Reference

Janse, J.H. (1997) A model of nutrient dynamics in shallow lakes in relation to multiple stable states. *Hydrobiologia*, 342/343, 108.

Model name: QUAL2EU - The Enhanced Stream Water Quality Model

Model type: Ordinary Differential Equations - can be operated as either a steady-state or dynamic model

Environments covered: Branching streams and well mixed lakes

Aspects of the biota addressed: Algal production

Country of origin: The United States of America

Created by: United States Environmental Protection agency (USEPA)

Modes of impact addressed: Water quality and eutrophication (major reactions of nutrient cycles, algal production, benthic and carbonaceous demand, atmospheric reaeration and their effects on the DO balance)

Spatial scale: Stream and lake systems

Status: Operational and widely used in the US

Software/hardware: Original DOS Operating system now with Windows interface.

### **Outline description**

The Enhanced Stream Water Quality Model (QUAL2E) is applicable to conventional pollutants in branching streams and well mixed lakes. It can be operated either as a steadystate or dynamic model and is intended for use as a water quality planning tool. The model can be used to study impact of waste loads on instream water quality and identify magnitude and quality characteristics of non-point waste loads. By operating the model dynamically, the user can study diurnal dissolved oxygen variations and algal growth. However, the effects of dynamic forcing functions, such as headwater flows or point source loads, cannot be modelled with QUAL2E. QUAL2EU is an enhancement allowing users to perform three types of uncertainty analyses: sensitivity analysis, first order error analysis and Monte Carlo simulation.

The QUAL2E Windows interface was developed to make the model more user friendly. It provides input screens to facilitate preparing model inputs and executing the model. It also has help screens and provides graphical viewing of input data and model results. Examples with datasets are included which illustrate how to use the windows interface to enter the model inputs, run the model and plot its results.

QUAL2E forms an integral component of the USEPA's BASINS (Better Assessment Science Integrating Point and Non-point Sources) model used widely as an environmental analysis system in watershed and water quality based studies where it allows fate and transport modelling for both point and non-point source loadings.

## Reference

The model and associated files can be downloaded from the USEPA home page at http://www.epa.gov.

Model name: SMART2/MOVE

Model type: Process-based/Empirical.

**Environments covered:** Terrestrial habitats

Aspects of the biota addressed: Plant and bird species

Country of origin: The Netherlands

**Created by:** RIVM, National Institute of Public Health and Environmental Protection.

Modes of impact addressed: Changes in nitrogen availability and pH due to nitrogen deposition, water management and vegetation development.

**Spatial scale:** National/ regional

Status: Operational for predicting national and regional policy scenarios.

Software/hardware: ?

#### **Outline description**

SMART2/MOVE are linked models simulating the combined effects of acidification, eutrophication and desiccation on vegetation. They work within Nature Planner, a Decision Support System and integration platform developed for environmental policy decisions. The aim of Nature Planner is to provide assessments of the combined effects of eutrophication, acidification, water table draw-down, landscape fragmentation, climate change and pollution by toxic substances on ecosystems and species. It is currently operational for plant and bird species, but it may be extended to other biological groups.

SMART2 is a simple process-orientated soil model, evaluating the changes in nitrogen availability and pH due to changes in N deposition, water management and vegetation development. MOVE uses the output from SMART2 and predicts the probability of occurrence of plant species using a database of species distributions and soil conditions. The system operates at a maximum spatial resolution of 250 x 250 metres.

#### Reference

Outline description provided in:

Witte, J.P.M. (1998) National water management and the value of nature. Doctoral thesis, Wageningen University, the Netherlands.

Model name: SWIM

Model type: Dynamic distributed model

**Environments covered:** Terrestrial

Aspects of the biota addressed: Vegetation

Country of origin: Germany

Created by: V. Krysanova, D.-I. Müller-Wohlfeil and A. Becker - Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research, Germany

Modes of impact addressed: Analysis of climate change and land use change impacts on hydrology and water quality at the regional scale

**Spatial scale:** Mesoscale watersheds(from 100 km<sup>2</sup> to 20000 km<sup>2</sup>)

Status: Operational (SWIM users manual not yet available)

Software/hardware: Operating system: UNIX

#### **Outline description**

The objective of the Soil and Water Integrated Model (SWIM) is to simulate the hydrological cycle, erosion, vegetation growth and nutrient transport in mesoscale watersheds (from 100 km<sup>2</sup> to 20000 km<sup>2</sup>); to analyse climate change and land use change impacts on hydrology and water quality at the regional scale.

The modelling approach utilises a three-level scheme of spatial disaggregation at basin, subbasin and hydrotope levels. The soil profile is represented by a maximum of ten soil layers. A SWIM/GRASS (GRASS is a Geo. Information System) interface is used to initialise the model by extracting distributed parameters of elevation, land use, soil, climate, and to create hydrotope structure and routing structure files. The meteorological parameters used to drive the model are daily precipitation, air temperature (average, minimum and maximum) and solar radiation. The model operates within a daily timestep. SWIM can be used either for hydrological modelling only, or for integrated/crop, hydrological erosion, hydrological/water quality modelling.

SWIM is based on two previously developed models: SWAT (Arnold *et al*, 1993) and MATSALU (Krysanova *et al*, 1989) as direct application of both models for German watersheds was not possible (SWAT was specific to US datasets and MATSALU was specific

to Matsalu Bay in Estonia). The model includes modules from both predecessors and attempts to combine the advantages of both.

The following hydrological processes are included: precipitation; snow melt; evapotranspiration; surface runoff; lateral subsurface flow (interflow), percolation to groundwater, groundwater contribution to stream flow, streamflow routing. The following geo- and hydrochemical processes are included; input of fertilisers, mineralisation, denitrification and nitrification, sorption/desorption (for phosphorus), crop uptake of nutrients, leaching to groundwater, transport with surface flow, transport with subsurface flow.

#### References

The above information was derived from the UFIS database of ecological models, administered by the University of Kiel in Germany.

Arnold, J.G., Allen, P.M. and Bernhardt, G.T. (1993) A comprehensive surface-groundwater flow model. *Journal of Hydrology*, 142, p47-69.

Arnold, J.G., Engel, B.A. and Srinivasan, R. (1993) A continuous time, grid cell watershed model; In: Proceedings of Application of Advanced Technology for the Management of Natural Resources, sponsored by the American Society of Agricultural Engineers, June 17-19. 1993, Spokane, WA.

Krysanova, V. and Luik, H. (eds.) (1989) Simulation modelling of a system watershed-riversea bay. Tallinn, Valgus, 428 pp, (in Russian).

Krysanova, V., Meiner, A., Roosaare, J. and Vasilyev, A. (1989) Simulation modelling of coastal water pollution from an agricultural watershed, *Ecological Modelling*, 49, 7-29.